

Unified United States
Common Law Grand Jury
c/o NLA, suite 107
3979 Albany Post Road
Hyde Park, NY. 12538

August 26, 2026

TO: SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON DC. 20543-0001

Clerk Robert Meek

RE: Unlawful rejection of Grand Jury Presentment to the United States Supreme Court:

We are refiling two copies and one original to all nine Justices individually in reasonable compliance to your Rule 22 which states, "Applications addressed to an individual Justice shall be filed with the Clerk," WHO WILL TRANSMIT IT PROMPTLY TO THE JUSTICE CONCERNED. Enclosed are three copies addressed to each of the nine justices.

We note that you acknowledged that you received a Presentment from the Grand Jury and returned it on June 4, 2026. You wrote, "These papers fail to comply with the Rules of this Court and are herewith returned," see copy of your letter of acknowledgement attached, dated June 4, 2026. Please note, you identified our "Petition" as a "paper" whereas, the legal definition of our filing is a "Petition for redress of grievances" which is a 1st Amendment Right that cannot be abridged by rule or statute. Therefore, your refusal to file is an abridgment of We the Peoples 1st Amendment Right to Petition for Redress of grievances. Our powers are greater than government powers as We the People "Ordained" in the United States Constitution. There exists no rule to block a right of petition.

PLEASE TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT, on August 5, 2026, Chief Justice Roberts, restored the Supreme Court's independence from Congress by giving its Clerks notice that, "the First Amendment includes the right to petition." We the People are grateful for this good faith obedience by returning to original law. We the People now send this Remonstrance to this Court so that it continues its good faith restoration of the Republic by guaranteeing the right to petition as a command, instead of a request. We the People created government to serve us, and reserved our constitutional rights as inherent and individual powers that, by their nature, are outside of and greater than government's powers. People may freely command (petition) government (legislative,

executive, judicial) for a redress of grievances. No government has authority to oppose (directly or indirectly) the exercise of right. As it is clearly stated in, *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436, 491 (1966) “Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rulemaking or legislation which would abrogate them.” Additionally, Joseph Story, *Commentaries*, §1886-1888 (1833). “§1886. “The remaining clause secures “the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.” §1887. “This would seem unnecessary to be expressly provided for in a Republican government, since it results from the very nature of its structure and institutions. It is impossible, that it could be practically denied.”

It is our wish, order, and demand that this Court, by necessity, fully restore and openly explain that the hidden right to petition is a command to government (legislative, executive, judicial) for redress, that it is not a request for favor, and, in the interest of justice and as a sign of this Court’s good faith to restore the Republic, that this Court give redress to the People’s petition that this Court’s Clerk rejected three times with a rule.

Furthermore, our filing concerns a national emergency, as per 18 USC §2076 whereas, the clerk is required by law to file under penalty of law. A law which you have thrice broken. The Law is clear in that you possess no constitutional authority to judge its merits!

Experience with the officers of de facto courts leads us to perceive that you attempted to confuse jurisdictions that many believe will protect them from liability, in the end it won’t! Because it’s all part of the fraud and subversion to destroy our Republic! By responding to us as a person,¹ when in fact we are, “We the People” as understood in the Preamble to the United States Constitution aka the sovereign law makers.

Your RE: (With regard or relation to) in your letter to us clarifies that you understand that our petition is a “Grand Jury Presentment,” not a writ certiorari and not an Action at Law! As you know being a lawyer “In Law” a Presentment is an accusation of a crime made by a grand jury on its own initiative. Blacks Law quoting 4 Bl. Comm. 301; *Bennett v. Kalamazoo Circuit Judge*, 183 Mich. 200, 150 N.W. 141, 142, Ann.Cas.1916E, 223. “Criminal practice, the written notice taken by a Grand Jury of any offense, from their own knowledge or observation, without any bill of indictment laid before them at the suit of the government.” Bouviers Law quoting Vide 1 Brock. C. C. R. 156; Grand Jury stating “The writing which contains the accusation so presented by a grand jury, is also called a presentment.”

Furthermore, there are no rules that govern the Grand Jury! We are free and independent! You have no authority over us! “We the People have been providentially provided legal recourse to address the criminal conduct of persons themselves entrusted to dispense justice,” see *United States v. Williams*, 112 S.Ct. 1735, 504 U.S. 36, 118 L.Ed.2d 352 (1992). “The authority to judge what are the powers of the government, and what are the liberties of the people, must necessarily be vested in one or the other of the parties themselves--the government, or the people; because there is no third party to whom it can be entrusted. If the authority be vested in the government, the government is

¹ 26 U.S. Code §7701 (a)(1)

absolute, and the people have no liberties except such as the government sees fit to indulge them with.”²

The Grand Jury is “We the People” whereas, We the People ordained and established the Constitution for the United States of America that you took an oath to uphold and obey. We the People are sovereign, *“sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts and the law (US Constitution) is the definition and limitation of power.”³* Natural Law is written by nature’s God in His Word and written on the hearts of men⁴ aka “Common Sense!”

We the People did not vest the Judiciary with law and rulemaking powers. Article III is the limitation of their powers. Whereas, in 1938 the Supreme Court in an act of treason wrote rules that have been implemented as law and thereby covertly, but not lawfully, abrogated the “Law of the Land” by changing Natural Law to civil law which traces its origin back to Babylonian law; as they methodically and seditiously abrogated and concealed our Natural law courts and abrogated the “*Sovereign Writs*” of We the People. Therefore, your rules are repugnant and herein are Nullified!

To reject our Presentment as doorkeeper is an act of aiding and abetting those guilty of treason advocating the overthrow of our Republic and thereby levying war against the United States in violation of 18 USC §2381, 18 USC § 2384, and 18 USC §2385; which is the subject matter of said Presentment. With that said, you are bound by Law just as we are; you being under 18 USC §2382 and we being under the Laws of nature’s God that, we “MUST DISCLOSE” and “MAKE KNOWN” the same [*concerning treason*] to the President or to some judge of the United States, and we have done both. Whereas, your blocking of the filing of said Presentment is also in violation of 18 USC §2076 and 18 USC §2071.

In conclusion, your duty as the clerk is to file, as clearly stated in 18 USC § 2076 - Clerk is to file, *“Whoever, being a clerk of a district court of the United States, willfully refuses or neglects to make or forward any report, certificate, statement, or document as required by law, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.”*

Trump v. United States, 603 U.S. 593 (2024) “There is no immunity for unofficial acts.”

² Essay by Lysander Spooner on the trial by jury, 1852.

³ Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 356, 370 Quotiens dubia interpretatio libertatis est, secundum libertatem respondendum erit.

⁴ Romans 2: 13-16 For not the hearers of the law are just before God, but the doers of the law shall be justified. For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these, having not the law, are a law unto themselves: Which show the work of the law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witness, and their thoughts the mean while accusing or else excusing one another

YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED AND REQUIRED BY LAW under 18 USC §2381, 18 USC §2382, 18 USC § 2384, 18 USC §2385, 18 USC §2076, 18 USC §2071, and most importantly the Constitution for The United States; to deliver the enclosed Presentment to the nine Justices immediately. We also demand that you send us a letter confirming that you have done so.

If any Clerk or Justice believes we are wrong in the law or that rules can abrogate rights, he or she may submit affidavit, sworn under penalty of perjury and postmarked within ten (10) days receipt of this Remonstrance, showing the constitutional provision or fundamental principle of law authorizing constitutional rights to be abrogated by rules, or, by tacit acquiescence, each Justice of the Supreme Court agrees that all written herein is truth, and that each time hereafter that a Clerk rejects a petition, it shall stand as each Justice has denied the right to petition with full knowledge, malice, and intent to perform maladministration in breach of the public trust of the Constitution, and that each Justices performs an unofficial act contrary to their oath and to deny justice. This is sent to you in the peace and love of Jesus Christ, so that this Court continues its good faith restoration of original law and fundamental form.

SEAL



Grand Jury Foreman

COPIED: President Donald J. Trump, Press Secretary Karoline Leavitt, Vice President JD Vance, White House Chief of Staff Susie Wiles, Secretary of State Marco Rubio, Deputy Chief of Staff Stephen Miller, Secretary of War Pete Hegseth, Secretary of the Interior Doug Burgum, CIA Director John Ratcliffe, Secretary of Commerce Howard Lutnick, Director of Dept. Justice Todd Blanche, Secretary of Health and Human Services Robert F. Kennedy Jr., Director of National Intelligence Jay Clayton, Secretary of Homeland Security Markwayne Mullin, Secretary of Education Linda McMahon, Secretary of the Treasury Scott Bessent, Congressman Tim Burchett, Congressman Mike Johnson, Congressman Jim Jordan, Congressman Steve Scalise, Congressman Brandon Gill, Congressman Chip Roy, Senator John Kennedy, Senator Josh Hawley, Senator Ted Cruz, Sen Rick Scott, Donald Trump Jr.