

Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury:

P.O. Box 59; Valhalla, New York, 10595; Fax – 888-891-8977

THIS IS AN EXTRAORDINARY JUDICIAL ACTION filed via U.S. Postal Service in all 94 Federal District Courts and served upon all parties listed below by fax. All Clerks are to perform their ministerial function under penalty of law 18 USC §2076 to file¹ or deliver to the recipients listed below. Whoever intercepts, obstructs or impedes will be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law 18 USC §1512(b).² This is a matter of national security and it is expected that the recipients, being oath-takers read and understand the nature and gravity of the contents of these papers. This official judicial process executed by the Unified United States Common Law Grand Juries concerning treason against the People of the United States of America in violation of the • United States Constitution Article III Section 3 treason; • 18 USC §2385 advocating overthrow of Government; • 18 USC §2384 seditious conspiracy; • 18 USC §2382 misprision of treason; • 18 USC §2381 treason; • 18 USC §1349 attempt and conspiracy; • 18 USC §1622 subornation of perjury; • 18 USC §115 treason, sedition, and subversive activities; • 18 USC §4 misprision of felony.

The purpose of filing in ALL U.S. Federal District Courts is because of wide spread failing to file 18 USC § 2071.

ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 *The United States shall guarantee to every state in this union a republican form of government, and shall protect each of them against invasion;*

FILED: - via US Mail and fax for action

United States Supreme Court; under penalty of law, clerk is to forward to all Justices
United States District Courts [94]; under penalty of law, clerk is to forward to all Judges/Magistrates

SERVED: - via fax for action

All State Courts; under penalty of law, clerk is to forward a copy to all Judges/Magistrates.
United States Congressmen [435] under penalty of law clerk is to forward a copy to all congressmen.
United States Senators [100] under penalty of law clerk is to forward a copy to all senators.
Assemblymen all 50 States; under penalty of law clerk is to forward a copy to all assemblymen.
Senators all 50 States; under penalty of law clerk is to forward a copy to all senators.
Governors all 50 State; under penalty of law clerk is to forward a copy to Governor.
All County Sheriffs [3133]; under penalty of law, clerk is to forward a copy to Sheriff.
Federal Special Agent in Charge [94]; under penalty of law, clerk is to forward a copy to agent.
US Marshal [94]; under penalty of law clerk is to forward a copy to Marshal.
Joint Chiefs of Staff; under penalty of law clerk is to forward a copy to Joint Chiefs of Staff.
State Militia; under penalty of law clerk is to forward a copy to highest ranking officers.

SERVED: - via e-mail 1st Amendment duty to inform

News media; under penalty of law Editors are to REPORT or PRINT a copy for the People. Failure to do so will be considered aiding & abetting the enemy.

This document is not for interpretation by BAR attorneys; "Laws are made for men of ordinary understanding and should, therefore, be construed by the ordinary rules of common sense. Their meaning is not to be sought for in metaphysical subtleties which may make anything mean everything or nothing at pleasure." - **Thomas Jefferson to William Johnson, 1823 ME 15:450**. All respondents took an oath to uphold and protect the Constitution and therefore should understand these documents; if not learn or resign your post.

¹ **18 USC §2076 Clerk is to file:** Whoever, being a clerk willfully refuses or neglects to make or forward any report, certificate, statement, or document as required by law, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

² **18 USC §1512(b)** Whoever obstructs or impedes any official proceeding shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

- Unified Alabama Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 46; Gurley, AL, 35748
- Unified Alaska Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 240952; Anchorage, AK, 99524-0952
- Unified Arizona Common Law Grand Jury; 2030 W. Baseline Road, Phoenix, AZ, 85041
- Unified Arkansas Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 234; Roland, AR, 72135
- Unified California Common Law Grand Jury; 2681 Calloway Dr., Box 158; Bakersfield, CA, 93312
- Unified Colorado Common Law Grand Jury; 2000 Wadsworth #168, Lakewood, Colorado, 80214
- Unified Connecticut Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 225; Southington, CT, 06489
- Unified Delaware Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 26337; Wilmington, DE, 19899
- Unified Florida Common Law Grand Jury; 1532 US Hwy 41 Bypass So, PMB 301; Venice, FL 34293
- Unified Georgia Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 587; Millen, GA, 30442
- Unified Hawaii Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 7222; Ocean View, HI, 96737
- Unified Idaho Common Law Grand Jury; 16433 No Midland Boulevard, Suite 83; Nampa, ID, 83687
- Unified Illinois Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 494; Wadsworth, IL, 60083
- Unified Indiana Common Law Grand Jury; PMB 344, 2113 East 62nd Street; Indianapolis, IN, 46220
- Unified Iowa Common Law Grand Jury; 5006 Sergeant Road PMB 125; Sioux City, IA, 51106
- Unified Kansas Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 22; Dearing, KS, 67340
- Unified Kentucky Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 270; Tollesboro, KY, 411189
- Unified Louisiana Common Law Grand Jury; 5860 Citrus Blvd, SUITE D#131; Harahan, LA, 70123
- Unified Maine Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 463; Hallowell, ME, 04347
- Unified Maryland Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 519; Stevensville, MD, 21666
- Unified Massachusetts Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 433; Greenfield, MA, 01302
- Unified Michigan Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 663; South Haven, MI, 49090
- Unified Minnesota Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 56; Rockford, MN, 55373
- Unified Mississippi Common Law Grand Jury; 313 Telly Road; Picayune, MS, 39466
- Unified Missouri Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 322; Mount Vernon, MO 65712
- Unified Montana Common Law Grand Jury; 1106 West Park Street, Box 160; Livingston, MT, 59047
- Unified Nebraska Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 877; O'Neill, NE, 68763
- Unified Nevada Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 20263; Reno, NV, 89515
- Unified New Hampshire Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 4134; Manchester, NH, 03108
- Unified New Jersey Common Law Grand Jury; 957 Broadway, PMB # 126; Bayonne, NJ, 07002
- Unified New Mexico Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 82; Santa Rosa, NM, 88435
- Unified New York Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 59; Valhalla, NY, 10595
- Unified North Carolina Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 391; Saxapahaw, NC, 27340
- Unified North Dakota Common Law Grand Jury 1515 Burnt Boat Dr. PMB 232; Bismarck, ND 58503
- Unified Ohio Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 547; Jackson, OH, 45640
- Unified Oklahoma Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 2391 Edmond, OK, 73083
- Unified Oregon Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 781; Scappoose, OR, 97056
- Unified Pennsylvania Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 278; Centre Hall, PA, 16828
- Unified Rhode Island Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 105; CAROLINA, RI 02812
- Unified South Carolina Common Law Grand Jury; 104A Franklin Ave, 302; Spartanburg, SC, 29301
- Unified South Dakota Common Law Grand Jury; 1430 Haines Ave, 108, #224; Rapid City, SD, 57701
- Unified Tennessee Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 681; Talbott, TN, 37877
- Unified Texas Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 992; Onalaska, TX, 77360
- Unified Utah Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 552351; Salt Lake City, UT, 84152-2351
- Unified Vermont Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 58; Newport, VT 05855
- Unified Virginia Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 500; Sandston, VA 23150
- Unified Washington Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 4506; Richland, WA 99352
- Unified West Virginia Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 1131; Princeton, WV 24740
- Unified Wisconsin Common Law Grand Jury; 2545 Roosevelt Rd, Suite 107-280; Marinette, WI, 54143
- Unified Wyoming Common Law Grand Jury; PO Box 384; Gillette, WY, 82717-0384

Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury:

P.O. Box 59; Valhalla, New York, 10595; Fax – 888-891-8977

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR ALL DISTRICTS

5 We the People, UUSCLGJ

Sureties of the Peace

No. 1776-1789-2015

- Commanding -

CORAM NOBIS¹

10 County Sheriffs [3133]; Federal Special Agent in Charge [94];
US Marshal [94]; Joint Chiefs of Staff; State Militia;
Governors all 50 State; All Federal and State Courts
Assemblymen all 50 States; Senators all 50 States;
U.S. Congressmen [435]; U.S. Senators [100]; News media;

15 Respondents

Writ Mandamus²

20 **TO ALL LAW ENFORCEMENT TO INFORM & FOR IMMEDIATE ACTION:**

This series of informations, orders and writs by We the People filed in the United States Supreme Court, United States District Courts, the State Supreme Courts and served upon our elected and appointed servants, when not acted upon, forms an indictment against the same. We the People are exposing the foundational errors of our judicial systems, errors founded on subversion and contempt of law by enemies past and present. It is expected that said servants and all recipients understand the subject matter. All servants and recipients have authority under the law, and have taken an oath to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution. Today these servants violate this sacred oath and now lives have been destroyed and liberty hangs in the balance. Silence when you have a duty to speak or act, advocates the present conspiracy to overthrow the Governments of the United States willfully and or knowingly.

30 **WARNING: Do not seek an interpretation by an Attorney that is not responsible for the oath you took concerning this Information. Ignorance of the law or excuses that you were acting under advice of legal counsel, will not be an acceptable excuse for there is nothing to interpret.**

35 The purpose of filing in ALL US Federal District Courts is because of 18 USC § 1961-68 wide spread RICO; 18 U.S. Code § 2385 - Advocating overthrow of Government; Seditious conspiracy 18 USC § 2384 with wide spread mutilating and failing to file 18 USC § 2071.

¹ CORAM NOBIS. Before us ourselves, (the king, i. e., in the king's or queen's bench.) Applied to writs of error directed to another branch of the same court, e. g., from the full bench to the court at nisi prius. 1 Archb. Pr. K. B. 234.

² MANDAMUS Lat. We command. This is the name of a writ (formerly a high prerogative writ) which issues from a court of superior jurisdiction, and is directed to a private or municipal corporation, or any of its officers, or to an executive, administrative or judicial officer, or to an inferior court, commanding the performance of a particular act therein specified, and belonging to his or their public, official, or ministerial duty, or directing the restoration of the complainant to rights or privileges of which he has been illegally deprived. Lahiff v. St. Joseph, etc., Soc., 76 Conn. 648, 57 A. 692, 65 L.R.A. 92, 100 Am.St.Rep. 1012.

TERRORISM IN AMERICA

• CONSPIRACY THEORIST • DOMESTIC TERRORIST • MENTAL DISORDERS

40 The purpose of this Mandamus is to expose the fascist tactics being used by tyrants that have infiltrated our government at all levels in an effort to enslave the sovereign People of America; classifying sovereign citizens, a/k/a the People, as terrorists or having mental disorders for challenging authority and demanding their unalienable rights; thereby concluding People incapable of defending themselves. This is in fact a communist conspiracy to destroy America, not a theory as these tyrants would like uninformed
45 People to believe. Conspiracies have been effective for millennia, the cause for the ruin of every government that self-destructs. Having to write this Mandamus demonstrates that it has become a sad day in America, bearing witness that the People have lost their moral compass, not without help, thereby losing our way and near self-destruction.

In the Soviet Union, a systematic political abuse of psychiatry took place, based on the interpretation of
50 political dissent as a psychiatric problem. During the leadership of General Secretary Leonid Brezhnev, psychiatry was used as a tool to eliminate political opponents ("dissidents") who openly expressed beliefs that contradicted official dogma. The term "philosophical intoxication" was widely used to diagnose mental disorders in cases where people disagreed with leaders and made them the target of criticism that used the writings by Karl Marx, Friedrich Engels, and Vladimir Lenin.

55 The advent of psychiatry eliminated the need to exile political prisoners thereby allowing governments instead to declare such dissidents mentally ill and unfit for society. For example, government officials in the Cold War-era Soviet Union often used psychiatric hospitals as prisons in order to isolate political prisoners from the rest of society, discrediting their ideas, and breaking them physically and mentally through the use of electric shocks, drugs and various medical procedures; insisting that "ideas about a
60 struggle for truth and justice are formed by personalities with a paranoid structure," the psychiatric community actually went so far as to provide the government with a diagnosis suitable for locking up such freedom-oriented activists.

In New York State, and other states, the same systematic political abuse of psychiatry is being employed under the so called competency evaluation (NY Criminal Procedure Law Article 730), which means a
65 defendant who, as a result of mental disease or defect, lacks the capacity to understand the proceedings against him or to assist in his own defense. This ploy is used upon anyone who refuses to use a BAR lawyer while challenging jurisdiction, and gives the court the opportunity to silence dissenters and cleanse the court record of all that crazy common law stuff such as US Constitution, Bill of Rights, Declaration of Independence, US Supreme Court rulings, founding fathers quotes, and that dreaded Bible. In due course
70 of time, unless arrested, America will end up as the Nazi government which substantially supported psychologists many of whom, in turn, espoused extermination of the people they considered to be "racially and cognitively compromised."

Under the Obama administration the APA (American Psychological Association) created the new
75 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (5th Edition) which was recently adopted. DSM-V is highly controversial and has sparked outrage from the mental health practitioners. As many of these practitioners point out, the new DSM-V makes pathology out of simple and normal behaviors such as grieving for the

loss of a loved one. This constitutes a new subjective approach in diagnosing of mental illness that promises to end free speech and any form of political dissent. The federal government has already declared anyone who opposes its unconstitutional policies as having “political paranoia,” which is now diagnosed as a type of mental illness.

Paper terrorism is a neologism to refer to the use of false liens, frivolous lawsuits, bogus letters of credit, and other legal documents lacking sound factual basis as a method of harassment, especially against government officials. These methods are popular among some anti-government groups and those associated with the redemption movement. Mark Pitcavage of the Anti-Defamation League states that these methods were pioneered by the posse comitatus, an absurd statement in another attempt to discredit common law powers, when in fact the posse comitatus (common law) gives the authority of a “Sheriff” to conscript able-bodied males to assist him in an emergency. The Posse Comitatus Act is the United States federal law (18 U.S.C. §1385, original at 20 Stat. 152) that was passed on June 18, 1878, after the end of Reconstruction and was updated in 1981. Its intent in concert with the Insurrection Act of 1807 was to limit the powers of Federal government in using federal military personnel to enforce the state laws.

If these false liens, frivolous lawsuits, bogus letters of credit, and other legal documents lack sound factual basis why aren’t they just defeated in a court of law? The reason they cannot is because of the fiction of equity courts. Corporatists have been using to fleece the People for over a hundred years. Now that another type of unscrupulous People discovered how to use the equity courts of fiction to get revenge upon the judges and lawyers that have been making a fortune at this fraud, now cry foul when their own tactics are used against them.

Violent confrontations are rare, but the FBI says at least six police officers have been killed by sovereigns since 2000. The agency claims these sovereign citizens number between 100,000 and 300,000 labeling them a "domestic terrorist movement," when in fact the targeted liberty minded groups are People discovering their sovereignty. They are not violent. These People just want law and order restored.

In the 1990s, political dissenters were labeled “conspiracy theorists” and as being mentally ill. In the 2000s dissenters were labeled domestic terrorists. The Missouri Information Analysis Center (MIAC Report) labeled Ron Paul, Bob Barr, Chuck Baldwin, in fact, all Libertarians as Terrorists. People were declared to be domestic terrorists if they supported Ron Paul, demanded the government follow the Constitution, were pro-Second Amendment, were Libertarian, Constitutionalist, a returning veteran, or were opposed in any way to the Federal Reserve.

This latest globalist trick to quell dissent, and subsequently violate the rights of legitimate government protesters, is to label them “SOVEREIGN CITIZENS.” The term “sovereign citizen” is deceptive because in political discussions about who has the power in society, the term gets used quite often. For example, if the people are the sovereign, then the people have power over the government. If, on the other hand, the government has power over the citizenry, it could be accurately said that the government is the sovereign.

These tyrants that motivate useful idiots to bring in communism call themselves progressives and control both parties. They have seized control of our government, and are working overtime to cast legitimate dissenters in a negative light, simply by associating a legitimate word with an evil act. In contemporary vernacular, the term sovereign citizen has its roots in a shoot-out, following a routine traffic stop in Arkansas which turned into a violent confrontation between police and a father-son pair of so-called “sovereign citizens.” According to the corporate-controlled media, this event, which occurred on July 1,

120 2010, “brought attention to a so called ‘secretive and dangerous subculture’ who believes American laws don’t apply to them.” In short, if you do not like some aspect of government, you are now one of the new domestic terrorists and should be subject to governmental control outside the bounds of Constitutional protections.

125 Police Magazine (www.PoliceMag.com) that goes out to every police department in America addresses and defines the sovereign citizen as the new “Domestic Terrorist.” This magazine’s comprehensive definition of what constitutes a sovereign citizen *includes* minor offenses such as the manufacture of fraudulent license plates, registration cards, or currency (e.g. use of gold coins). These people should be considered armed and dangerous; calling in of a SWAT team during routine traffic stops might not be an overreaction to the threat posed by sovereigns. They might complain about mortgage fraud, or banking fraud. The police are cautioned to be on the lookout for words like “Indigenous,” “Sovereign,” “Diplomatic,” “Exempt,” or “Private Property” displayed on the personalized license plates. Police officers are advised that Sovereigns will engage in willful terrorism by video-recording their interactions with law enforcement officers. Police are also cautioned to be aware of certain “dangerous key words” that sovereigns are likely to use such as “oath of office”, "traveling in a private capacity," “domicile” and the “14th Amendment.”

130 The strategy is simple: if one criticizes the government in any form they can be labeled a sovereign citizen. If the government can label one a sovereign citizen, then that citizen has no rights, and ostensibly, the government can do what they will with that citizen. This is a very slippery slope and the government and its police agencies, is fully committed to this strategy.

New York Statutes say that “**PEOPLE ARE SOVEREIGN**” –

140 **NEW YORK CODE - N.Y. CVR. LAW § 2 : NY Code - Section 2:** Supreme sovereignty in the people - No authority can, on any pretense whatsoever, be exercised over the citizens of this state, but such as is or shall be derived from and granted by the people of this state.

The United States Supreme Court says “**SOVEREIGNTY ITSELF REMAINS WITH THE PEOPLE**”

145 “*Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts And the law is the definition and limitation of power...*” **Yick Wo v. Hopkins**³

150 The United States Supreme Court says “**THE SOVEREIGN MAKES THE LAW**” and law is made by the people (Constitution) not legislators. The Constitution permits limited statute making and the control of the behavior of people is not among them.

"The very meaning of 'sovereignty' is that the decree of the sovereign makes law."
American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co.,⁴

The New York Supreme Court says People of New York are as **sovereign as any king** before us.

³ Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 356, 370 Quotiens dubia interpretatio libertatis est, secundum libertatem respondendum erit

⁴ American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co., 29 S.Ct. 511, 513, 213 U.S. 347, 53 L.Ed. 826, 19 Ann.Cas. 1047

155 “The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative”. **Lansing v. Smith**⁵

The United States Supreme Court says **people under common law have the defense of sovereign immunity** against all legislated laws.

“The doctrine of Sovereign Immunity is one of the Common-Law immunities and defenses that are available to the Sovereign.” **Yick Wo v. Hopkins**⁶

160 The United States Supreme Court says **sovereignty dwells in the People**.

“In United States, sovereignty resides in people. The Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the People to override their will as thus declared.” **Perry v. US**⁷

The New York Supreme Court says **People of all states are categorically sovereign**.

165 “It will be admitted on all hands that with the exception of the powers granted to the states and the federal government through the Constitutions, the people of the several states are unconditionally sovereign within their respective states.” **Lansing v. Smith**⁸

The United States Supreme Court says **sovereignty is vested in the People**.

“Republican government [Blacks 4th] One in which the powers of sovereignty are vested in the people and are exercised by the people,” **Minor v. Happersett**⁹

170 The United States Supreme Court says **Sovereignty abides in the People not government servants**.

175 “The sovereignty of a state does not reside in the persons who fill the different departments of its government, but in the People, from whom the government emanated; and they may change it at their discretion Sovereignty, then in this country, abides with the constituency, and not with the agent; and this remark is true, both in reference to the federal and state government.” **Spooner v. McConnell**¹⁰

The United States Supreme Court says the **People are sovereigns without subjects**.

“...at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects...with none to govern but themselves...” **Chisholm v. Georgia**¹¹

180 The United States Supreme Court says **public agencies exist to aid people, not lord over them**.

⁵ Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7.

⁶ Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 318 US 356, 371 and Terry v. Ohio, 392 US 1, 40

⁷ Perry v. US, 294 U.S.330

⁸ Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wendell 9, (NY) 6 How416, 14 L. Ed. 997.

⁹ In re Duncan, 139 U.S. 449, 11 S.Ct. 573, 35 L.Ed. 219; Minor v. Happersett, 88 U.S. (21 Wall.) 162, 22 L.Ed. 627." Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, p. 626

¹⁰ Spooner v. McConnell, 22 F 939 @ 943

¹¹ Chisholm v. Georgia (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455 @DALL (1793) pp471-472

“It is the public policy of this state that public agencies exist to aid in the conduct of the people's business.... The people of this state do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them...” **Chisholm v. Georgia**¹²

185 The United States Supreme Court says **Either People are sovereign or government is sovereign they cannot co-exist.**

190 *“The words "sovereign state" are cabalistic words (hidden meaning), not understood by the disciple of liberty, who has been instructed in our constitutional schools. It is our appropriate phrase when applied to an absolute despotism. The idea of sovereign power in the government of a republic is incompatible with the existence and foundation of civil liberty and the rights of property.”* **Gaines v. Buford**¹³

RIGHTS TRUMP STATUES AND CODE

The United States Supreme Court says **Bill of Rights are not to be defeated by statutes.**

195 *“The assertion of federal rights, when plainly and reasonably made, is not to be defeated under the name of local practice.”* **Davis v. Wechsler**

The United States Supreme Court says **No rule making on rights.**

“Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them.” **Miranda v. Arizona**¹⁴

The United States Supreme Court says **no statutes to prevent rights.**

200 *“There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of constitutional rights.”* **Sherer v. Cullen**¹⁵

“The state cannot diminish rights of the people.” **Hurtado v. People of the State of California**¹⁶

The Constitution for the United States of America, Article VI, Clause 2 is the **LAW OF THE LAND.**

205 *“This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby; any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.”*

210 How did we get from the People being sovereign to the conclusion that sovereigns are terrorists? The answer is simple: the progressives of both major parties simply despise the America that our fathers

¹² Chisholm v. Georgia (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455 @DALL (1793) pp471-472

¹³ Gaines v. Buford, 31 Ky. (1 Dana) 481, 501

¹⁴ Miranda v. Arizona, 384 US 436, 491.

¹⁵ Sherer v. Cullen, 481 F 946.

¹⁶ Hurtado v. People of the State of California, 110 U.S. 516.

215 founded and they are resolute on destroying the foundation of LAW our founders established, and replacing it with codes and/or statutes. Lawyers are taught by progressive BAR law schools that statutes are law and that common law has been abrogated. Statutory law and common law, i.e. natural law, are like mixing oil and water; they are a contradiction to each other; you either have one or the other. As William Penn said: “Men must be governed by God [common law] or they will be ruled by tyrants” [statutes].

Statutes

220 Federal Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
State Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
County Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
Town Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
City Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
Mind of man trying to control every aspect of your life

Common Law

Declaration of Independence
US Constitution
Bill of Rights
Magna Carta
Holy Bible
Mind of God,
225 *So each man controls his own behavior and answer to the People (Grand Jury) if they injure or violate another.*

230 “Government is not reason; it is not eloquent; it is force. Like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master.” **George Washington**

235 Being sovereign is the American experiment, but tyrants want the People to be subject to them and not free and independent. Presently these tyrants lord over us with “unconstitutional legislation” called statutes. We the People have been dumbed-down in that we cannot realize what the law is, until we start puzzling over it and start reading books again. The people who are waking up and standing up in the courts for their rights and elsewhere are being labeled “sovereigns” which law enforcement are being taught means terrorist and cop killers.

240 Police across the Nation are being militarized and soon they will be going out on “operations” instead of patrol and you will be caught up in arresting and killing people in the name of America; after all they are just sovereign terrorists. If the People don’t learn the truth about liberty and lead others in Honor, we will be like any other occupied third world country with no hope and at the mercy of two-bit tyrants.

245 **CONCLUSION:** Marxist and Communist have infiltrated our government, at all levels in a conspiracy to enslave the America People. These tyrants under the name of progressives control both parties and have been quite successful within the judiciary and law enforcement agencies in classifying sovereign People (citizens) as terrorists or having mental disorders. They cast legitimate dissenters in a negative light, simply by associating the word “sovereign” with an evil act. Whenever the peaceful sovereign People challenge the authority of their government servants they find themselves surrounded by violent police eager to rumble sent by tyrants because the sovereign mind is a threat to the Marxists status quo.

250 Awakened sovereigns who challenge jurisdictions and demand justice are patriotic Americans, discovering their purposely hidden American roots and in that discovery have come to realize by the contrast of today’s America and the America envisioned by our founding fathers, that our government servants are not obeying the Constitution, trashing the Bill of Rights and are turning America into a militarized zone.

255

ORDERED: Law enforcement is not to institutionalize, arrest or harass People because they exercise their liberty by challenging the jurisdiction of unconstitutional courts, refuse representation by BAR attorneys, question police authority, video tape authority or claim their God-given sovereignty;

260

ORDERED: News media; under penalty of law, Editors are ordered to perform their 1st Amendment duty and REPORT or PRINT a copy for the People. Failure to do so seeing you have a duty to inform will be considered aiding & abetting the enemy.

ORDERED under SEAL: Dated June 3, 2015



Grand Jury Foreman

265