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Lead-In Song: Eye in the Sky
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Join National Liberty Alliance's Open Forum and weekly news and updates on NLA's advancements in the courts every Monday night, 9 PM Eastern weekly NLA teleconference. Click "Weekly Call” on NLA website home page and click the Green phone or call (605) 475-3250, enter access code 449389# PRESS \*6 TO MUTE/UNMUTE, then 1 if you want to get into the queue Playback number 605-475-3257, access code 449389#.

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

(5:23)

Scripture Reading : John 8:12 – 8:30

(8:30)

We spoke last week about getting the course up and going

I have loaded up five chapters plus an introduction

A lot of information

There’s going to be about 15 chapters in the entire course

The course and the book will be one and the same but there will be a little more in the book.

I am also going to share some forms through this book and through this course

Those forms will be posted online somewhere

We may post them right underneath the course

To find the course you would go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org

Highlight “free course”

Then come down to “Government by Consent Course”

Right underneath that is probably where we are going to put a page called “Forms”

We’re going to create some forms that will identify the court of record which is a court of justice.

The course is up there and it should be opening soon

Karl is working on some technical situations

The moment the course is available we will send out an e-mail to our members to let everybody know that the course is available

You can go to the website , NationalLibertyAlliance.org right now under “Free Courses”

highlight “Free Courses” come down Click on “Government by Consent Course”

I’m going to read a few things on this page

Some of the new people may not know what a jury administrator is

We’ve brought together not only the understanding and knowledge of the law and the court process and putting all of that together with the jury both the grand jury and petit jury

We are also going to include in this course information on how to take control of the political process. We’ve lost one hundred percent total control of our court process whereas We the People should be controlling anything that has to do with our courts as far as courts of justice are concerned.

It should not be a political individual that’s controlling that process especially if there’s a criminal element to the case

You can always choose a court of equity if you’re arguing a case against another individual in a contract disagreement but again if you’re going up against the status quo in today’s environment you’ll lose.

Even if you go up against an individual and it’s not a situation where the status quo is concerned but you’re going up against someone who has a lawyer and you’re coming in pro se nine times out of ten you’re going to lose because the judge is going to do a favor

You lose the case because you’re coming in pro se

How dare you think that you can come into their court without a lawyer and pay the toll to seek their decisions That’s their attitude

Again they need to humble themselves

With our next court case we are going to humble them considerably

We are working on that

We got five chapters up for the course

We will be working more diligently on writing that case

We’ve been keeping some notes and writing up some information on this

Now we’re going to press toward that mark

We also have the court case that we’ve filed the New York case we filed in the federal courts concerning the gun issue here in New York

If we can get a hearing on that and win the case in New York that case will extend across the nation.

That case has been thrown out by the judge under Rule 12 they never explain themselves they use that whenever they want to get rid of someone who’s going to come into the court and think that they can come in and be pro se and get justice Who do you think you are that you can come into their court and get justice? That’s their attitude

A court of equity for a contract argument would be great if these people were honest and just

(15:00)

We got to get them to become honest and just

These people have to change their ways or leave their job

And again hopefully this case will make it clear a line in the sand the one we’re writing now suing the judiciary

Going back to the course

If anybody wants to follow along

I’m going to read a few lines

We got a little over a thousand people interested in becoming an administrator

It is a paid position

It pays between $45,000 to $80,000 a year

It would be a job within your county

The job ultimately is orientating the grand juries and the trial juries

We can no longer allow these people to have any control over this

It was never meant to be that they should have any control over this

That’s too much power

This is why you cannot go and get justice against any of these people

You can’t go in there under the First Amendment the right of redress of grievances they refuse to answer us

Every time John files a case in the federal court without fail he gets a visit from the FBI

Every single time

They want to know if I’m one of those sovereign citizens

They never tell me the truth They should go to jail for lying or not telling me why they’re here to see me

A lot of people go through the same thing Every single time

Every single time we go to court if it’s up against the status quo out on Rule 12

They don’t even explain themselves

It is very frustrating and these people need to be brought to justice

Let me read the page that leads into the first page of the course which is the Introduction part of the course

Actually there’s a question page asking questions about the person signing up

What their intent is their interest in becoming an administrator or not

People can become an administrator if they change their mind later

All they have to do is go up to the top of the page under the blue bar click on “My Account” and you can uncheck that box that makes you an administrator

If people take the course and later on decide that they want to become an administrator if they didn’t check the box when they came in to the course they can go again up into “My Account” under the blue bar if you click on that again you get in there you can change status of whatever it is Anybody giving a donation you can also change the status of your donation If you wanted to stop your donation you could do that right there If you wanted to raise up your donation you might have to cancel out the last one and resign up for the new amount

Government by Consent Course

We’re hoping that this will be available in the next few days

Again we will notify everybody as soon as we can

It starts off with large cap letters

**PLEASE READ THIS PAGE CAREFULLY**

**CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED COURSE:** To take this course requires your financial support of NLA. We want this knowledge to be free but we also need your financial support to keep the lights on and continue filling papers in the court. So, we will leave the value of this course and the worthiness of NLA support up to you. Therefore a **minimum donation of $5 a month or more** for a two year commitment is required, (that’s a minimum of $60 per year); We also trust that after two years you will continue to believe that NLA is worthy of your continued support.

Thank You. Click on our Donate Now Tab above the blue menu bar Click "BECOME A MONTHLY DONOR" and choose an amount, after which you can access the course.

DO NOT CHOOSE PAYPAL IT WILL NOT ALLOW YOU ACCESS TO THE COURSE. If you must use PayPal please contact Jan@NationalLibertyAlliance.org for a solution.

**We want this course to be available to everyone therefore if you cannot afford $5 a month or more contact** Jan@NationalLibertyAlliance.org **for Free Access.**

**COURSE TABLE OF CONTENTS**

(26:00)

**CHAPTER 1 - LIBERTY LOST**

* Government by consent
* Our Constitution
* Common Law v Civil Law
* Right of Self-Governance
* The Rule of Law
* Seditious Conspiracy
* The Systematic Destruction of America
* Non Judicial Foreclosure
* Standing
* The Solution

**CHAPTER 2 - LAW**

* Sovereignty
* Self-Evident Truth
* Republic
* Law and Equity
* Natural Law
* The Author of Law
* Rudimental Precepts
* Jurisdiction
* Courts
* United States Codes
* Statutes Codes and Regulations
* The General Rule
* Two Meanings
* USC Title 18 is not the law of the land, but the sea
* USC Title 26 is an enigma

**CHAPTER 3 - HISTORY**

* One Nation Under Gods Law
* The Birth of a Nation
* Subversion
* Origin of Law
* Christianity
* Prerequisite to Justice
* Original Source of Authority
* The only Established Law
* The Purpose of Government
* Original Source of Law
* Purpose of America
* Scripture & Common Law Authority
* Liberty is a Blessing
* Religion in Law and Government

**CHAPTER 4 - THE UNFETTERED JURY**

* Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury
* Grand Jury Authority
* Petit Jury decides both Facts and Law
* Jury Nullification
* Nullification was Never Moot
* Unalienable Right of the Jury in Sentencing
* The Final Arbitrator of All Things
* Grand Juries in the Colonies
* Federal Jurist Questionnaire
* Federal Trial Handbook
* Federal Grand Jury Handbook
* Hung Jury
* Unalienable Right of Grand & Petit Jury
* Jury Procedures

**CHAPTER 5 – HISTORY COUNTY SHERIFF**

* Constitutional Officer -v- Code Enforcement Officers
* The County Sheriff
* Duty of the County Sheriff
* Sheriff and the Court
* Sheriff and Warrants
* Sheriff and the County Jail
* Chief Law Enforcement Officer
* Just Courts
* The General Rule
* Law of the Land
* Laws of Nature
* Legislated Law
* Sheriff and Statutes

**CHAPTER 6 - DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE**

* Laws of Nature and of Nature’s God
* Americas Covenant with Natures God
* Americas Foundation

**CHAPTER 7 - CONSTITUTION FOR THE UNITED STATES**

* Preamble, Purpose of Government
* Article I Legislative Powers
* Article II Executive Power
* Article III Judicial Power
* Article IV Full faith and credit
* Article V Equal Suffrage
* Article VI Supremacy Clause
* Article VII Ratification

**CHAPTER 8 - BILL OF RIGHTS**

* The Preamble
* Amendment I religion, speech, press, to assemble & redress of grievances
* Amendment II militia, keep and bear arms
* Amendment III no soldier to be quartered in any house
* Amendment IV secure in their persons, houses, papers, warrants
* Amendment V grand jury, double jeopardy, right to remain silent & due process
* Amendment VI speedy & public trial, jury, right to defend, Assistance of Counsel
* Amendment VII right of trial by jury and common law
* Amendment VIII cruel and unusual punishments
* Amendment IX there is many more rights retained by the people
* Amendment X powers not delegated are reserved to the states and peopleCHAPTER 9 - AMENDMENTS 11-27

**CHAPTER 10 - POLITICAL**

* Committees of Safety
* Committeemen
* George Washington Farewell Address
* Filing a petition for Committeeman
* Political Process
* Political v Politics
* Republic v Democracy
* ROBERTS RULES
* Robert Introduction
* Robert Rules of Order
* Roberts Rules Basic Principals
* Robert's Rules Motions Chart
* Roberts Rules Simplified

**CHAPTER 11 - COURT ACCESS**

* How to open an Article III Court
* How to open a Court of Record
* Anatomy of a Court case
* Causes of Action
* Just Courts of Law rest upon Six Foundational Truths
* Federal Court Rules
* In Rem
* State Courts
* Move to Federal Court for cause
* Federal Courts
* Federal Court of Appeals
* Supreme Law is the Basis of all Law
* All Fiction of Law is Null and Void
* No One is bound to obey an Unconstitutional Law and No Courts are Bound to Enforce it
* No Emergency is Just Cause to Suppress the Constitution
* Constitutions must be construed to Reference the Common Law
* Summary Proceedings are Null & Void
* Due Process (most abused right)
* Law of the Land
* The General Rule
* Irreconcilable Conflict between Statute and Constitution
* Unalienable Rights
* Sovereignty
* Right to Practice Law
* Licensing Liberty
* Congress Cannot Alter Rights
* Rights Do Not Come in Degrees
* States Cannot License Rights
* Interpretation in Favor of the People

**CHAPTER 12 - UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT LANDMARK CASES**

* Marbury v Madison
* Chisholm v Georgia
* Miranda v Arizona
* US v Williams

**CHAPTER 13 - JURY ADMINISTRATORS HANDBOOK**

* Grand Jury Administrator
* Remedy for Every Injury
* Jury Tampering & Stacking
* The Kings Bench
* Informed Jurors
* Consent to Indict
* Only The People can File a Criminal Complaint
* Only The People can Judge

**CHAPTER 14 - QUOTES**

**CHAPTER 15 – MISCELLANEOUS**

* Naturalization Test
* 8th Grade Test 1895
* Dodd Report to the Reece Committee
* Cooper File
* 1½ Cents worth

(1:00:00)

This should be opening up within the next day or two or so

We will notify everybody as soon as that happens

We hope everyone goes out and takes this course

Everyone has a duty to learn how to control their government

They have a duty to their fellow citizens and neighbors and their family to take control

and get educated and participate and get behind the people who are really participating

People become committeemen

Everyone should become a committeeman once in their life

Get behind the people who are the administrators for the grand jury and the trial jury

Become one It’s a full time job It pays well It’s a good career

We’ll lay out all of that information in the handbook

Support the people who are doing it

Get knowledge

When you’re called for jury duty go sit on the jury

The People can not only do a better job but they can do it cheaper and do it better

Right now it’s all abusive

Hopefully everyone is going to take that course

Get knowledge and hopefully a lot of people are going to become administrators

Anybody who signs up to become an administrator they have first dibs on their county

The first people who finish the course when you finish the course those people have first dibs in their county

If you got six or seven people who want to work in a county there are four administrators in every county the first four who complete the course gets the choice and the other three would have to go to the county next door to work

They could transfer when a position opens

Some people may want to go to another county

You don’t have to work in the county that you live in

If you want to work in a particular county first come first serve

If these positions open up and people are still in the course as long as they’re progressing in the course it will be a matter of the date that they signed up on

We’re going to detail all of these things so that there’s no confusion

Get into the course even if you don’t want to become a committeeman and if you don’t want to become an administrator that’s fine being educated and get behind these people who are doing the work and become a jurist when you’re called

(1:05:50)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

You already touched on the grand jury administrators

We have 1,029 currently signed up

That’s 7 percent of what we need

We need about 15,000

We continually have a problem trying to find callers for the Welcoming Committee

If you have a phone would you consider spending a little time calling members

members from NLA We have script to tell you what to talk about It’s well structured and well laid out We could use some volunteers

Another area that we’d like a couple volunteers this is a new issue

we’re starting a little group a new committee to arrange interviews for John and Gerard by news media We’d like a couple of people to step forward this would be calling and looking to see the different news sources around give people a call and see if we can’t get them to interview John and Gerard

The next item that we have is speakers for the Natural Healing Call

If you know someone or if you yourself are into the healing arts we are open to any particular type of healing anything natural

If you would like to give a talk and have time for questions and answers if you know someone who has those abilities and is working in that field or if you yourself are call Jan

The last one is simple we occasionally have the need for someone to transcribe audio files

Occasionally we come up with an affidavit that comes in on a tape

We’d like to be able to get that transcribed

Give Jan a call

(1:08:42)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: Can one of the nonmilitary American people be tried by a military tribunal even if the charge is treason?

Brent may be able to better answer that question

To be tried by a military if you’re at war and you’re connected with a group and you’re subverting that’s one way

People who are in government people who are elected individuals holding positions they I believe can be tried They were talking about that when they were taking Kavanaugh in to become a Supreme Court Justice

Good question Brent may have an answer

Brent gave his comments:

There was a case that was tested for the first time after the war between the Northern and Southern tiers of states and there was a copperhead that’s what they called the people that lived in Southern Indiana and Southern Illinois that supported the Confederacy.

(1:10:16)

There was a copperhead there. He was arrested. He was from Southern Indiana. He was arrested and he was tried at Fort Harrison which is an army fort near abouts Indianapolis, Indiana I think that the Fort is still there He was tried there

He was tried in a martial courts martial convicted to hang for what he had said and what he was saying He didn’t do anything He just exercised his First Amendment duties according to his conscience and he spoke out against what the Union was doing in some cases

Tempers were running high then as you could imagine

When it was all over there were 600,000 dead boys right in our own country 600,000

There were only about 34 or 35 million people in the country at that time

When people go to war they take sides and they go to extremes

Just like politics you take sides and you go to absolute extremes

No rules that’s what politics is no rules whatever you can get away with

War without bloodshed

This fellow he was arrested and he was tried to hang So he appealed

He said You can’t do this

He said I’m not a military man You can’t try me in a courts martial This is crazy

The government was doing that all over the country a lot

There were a lot shot down tied to trees by Union soldiers and shot down in cold blood

Boys young fellows out in the woods hunting

They look like they’re subversives We’ll try them on the spot and shoot them

They did it I read the accounts

This case went to the Supreme Court

Justice Stephen Field Stephen Field wrote the opinion Stephen Field was a Yankee of Yankees He was from New England He was from a Puritan family

He spent his teenage years with his sister as a missionary in Islamic Asia Minor

His father was a Congregationalist preacher

His brother became the man that orchestrated the laying of the first Atlantic cable, the telegraph cable His name was Cyrus Field

Justice Field wrote the opinion and he said This seems to be simple enough If a person doesn’t fall under military law he’s not a member of the armed forces of the United States

He’s not been sworn in to the armed forces and secondly if the common law courts are open

the nonmilitary courts and a man’s tried for a crime He ought to be tried in a nonmilitary court.

And that is the law and the application in the United States today regardless of what others say and whatever abuses may occur

During that war the federal government said that this is a military jurisdiction

the country is under siege if anybody steps out of line we can do anything that we want to

And they did

That became a real problem

Justice Field wrote a beautiful opinion

If the common law nonmilitary courts are open then people convicted of crimes cannot by law under our Constitution be tried in a military court even if we are at war like we were then

The whole country the federal government said was under siege

There’s a great lesson in that case that should be learned

(1:15:23)

John continued

There is USC Titles for this You have to be in the game in the military

Question 2: We have completed and mailed all In Power Movement Documents 1, 2, and 3

notarized and legitimate We received responses back from our power company not from the individuals we sent these documents to The individual that wrote us back said that our preceding letters notarized affidavits were all legal nullity

We also received a phone call from an Interior Regulatory attorney saying that he had a room full of people Probably more attorneys ready to answer any questions over the phone concerning our smart meter Has any of the listening audience out there had any success in having analog electric meters reinstalled on their property?

If so could anybody please give some feedback on this?

Gerard has information on that

Cal has forced them to answer Like they said they got a letter back from the attorneys

what they did they sent a notice of liability to the person

Now that person is still under liability and they should not back down from that

because he didn’t answer He’s in dishonor

They said all of your stuff is nullity That’s their opinion

This is a contract When you have a regular meter you contracted with them already by paying the bill

They want to come in in the middle of the contract and change the contract

The very nature of a contract is that it’s negotiable

If you say No I don’t want to do it They’re forced to negotiate with you

That’s what they’re doing They’re trying to change the contract in the middle of the stream

You have every right to say No I don’t want that

And they have to come to some kind of an agreement

And they’re telling you that this is not a legal process That it’s not a contract

It absolutely is

It’s a contract between you and the electric company

They send you a bill You pay the bill That is a contract

They’re nullifying contract law

I would give all those lawyers a notice of liability

If you listen to Cal he explains it very well

All Cal wanted to know from NLA was how to enforce the default

We can do that It’s just the matter of finding the time to do that

We have been so busy

There are not enough people to help on all of the work that we got going

We need people to help us

John would like to get back to that and help them with the final paper

But that doesn’t mean that we will win in court

Going up against the status quo

Gerard will touch base with Cal and get the particulars and see where they’re at

(1:24:47)

Question 3: Any news of all these impending indictments in election fraud?

If you do a web search there’s a ton of stuff on it

If they mean what’s going on with Q we can’t tell them any more

Anyone who really wants to keep up to date with the details that’s really most important to us

needs to be listening to X 22 Report

It is the best report

He has connections to individuals that have connections

We have them up on our website

You can click in through us by going through Q and if you click on Q on our blue bar on our website you can then come down and you can find these individuals

He’s one of the top ones we have the X 22 Reports you click on that and you go right to his YouTube page

He reported on this the belief is that this is the month before November is up the indictments are going to be opened information is going to be released

They’re collecting information right now on election fraud

They knew they were going to commit election fraud

(1:29:00)

Gerard has a question for Brent

Aren’t officials like the Secretary of State and the Attorney General aren’t they part of the government Can’t they be tried in military tribunals doing stuff against the state that’s treasonous? They’re not civilians these officials that do these things

In the case of certain people like Hilary and the one that met on the tarmac I think that they could be held under military tribunals couldn’t they?

Brent’s understanding is not

There has been a lot of things written recently law review articles written about military contractors in Iraq and Iran a lot of contractors there and the question is When the contractors are right beside the military working with the military and they do something that’s against military law can they be tried in military tribunals? That’s another question

That’s different than people here in the United States

The name of the case that Brent was talking about was Ex Parte Milligan

Ex Parte Milligan is that case about the fellow from Southern Indiana that was tried in Indianapolis

The decision the Supreme Court said this:

“The Constitution of the United States is the law for rulers and people, equally in war and peace, and covers with the shield of its protection all classes of men, at all times, and under all circumstances. No doctrine involving more pernicious consequences was ever invented by the wit of man than that any of its provisions can be suspended during any of the great exigencies of government. Such a doctrine leads directly to anarchy or despotism, but the theory of necessity on which it is based is false; for the government, within the Constitution, has all the powers granted to it which are necessary to preserve its existence; as has been happily proved by the result of the great effort to throw off its just authority.”

Hilary is not a member of the United States military

Our President , even though he commands the United States military he’s commander-in-chief He’s a nonmilitary man

That’s an important part of our understanding of constitutional government and the way that we understand our common law

No president in the history of our country has ever donned the military uniform while in office.

For a good reason

It’s very bad business to take a person who has spent his whole life working for the government and taking orders from the government doing what’s politically expedient and then make him President of the United States

It’s something we should be leery of

Hilary and all those people in government are not members of the armed forces of the United States

The one exception we make to the separation of powers it’s a slight exception but it is an exception We allow people to serve in the United States Congress who are members of Army Reserve Navy Reserve National Guard drilling on weekends

That clearly takes someone who is a member of the executive branch to that degree under the power of the President of the United States as a member of the armed forces potentially even the National Guard

The oath the National Guard takes is not to the sovereignty of their own state but to the United States and they obey the order of the President of the United States when called into duty

That makes them part of the armed forces of the United States potentially always

Those folks that are in Congress that are members I know of one Congressman there he’s a West Point graduate and he’s been a reservist for years

That’s a violation of separation of powers

And those kinds of questions have come before the Supreme Court

And the Supreme Court has said no that’s not enough of a separation of power that’s not enough to cause a big problem

These are important questions and I’m so glad that somebody brings them up

Justice Field was an interesting fellow

They expanded the Supreme Court from nine to ten during those days because they were afraid

that they had a Southerner on the Supreme Court that they couldn’t count on for the support of the President during the war So they packed the court like Roosevelt threatened to do

He threatened to pack it up to 14

Justice Stephen Field he was the tenth justice

He had migrated to California during the gold rush

He’d been through a lot of rough scrapes

He’d been disbarred and thrown out of the courts

He’d been challenged to duels with butcher knives

Finally the former Supreme Court Justice tried to kill him at the train station when he was on the Supreme Court He was out there travelling by train through Stockton and like Supreme Court Justices still do sit on the lower appellate courts and the sit on the federal courts and tried to kill him at a diner when they stopped to get something to eat

The U S Marshal ended up killing this fellow

This fellow that tried to kill him was from Texas

He hated him because he was a Yankee and again tempers ran high

That was after the war

The US Marshal shot him dead right in front of Fields he had a knife and was going to kill Fields He was a big man Six and a half feet tall It’s hard for us to imagine how crazy things used to be They’re crazy now

If you’re not a member of the armed forces and the common law courts are open that you are entitled to trial for crimes in those courts

There’s plenty written about it Ex Parte Milligan

That was the fellow that was sentenced to death by military commission in Indianapolis, Indiana during the war for giving aid to the rebellion

Aid to the rebellion that means that he was saying things that they didn’t like

And he sought habeas corpus from the federal district court on certified questions of the Supreme Court and the opinion was joined by Fields but Justice Davis wrote the opinion

Justice Field and Justice Davis upheld the standard of common law that you are entitled to a trial before a jury

If you’re tried by a military commission there is no jury not a common law jury

Now some of our court martials have had a jury of peers military

half the jury is from the Officer Corp and half are from the enlisted Corp

So you have both there and that’s not a bad idea It’s a nice thing that they do

It follows the common law rule It is peers people that understand your circumstance if you are a military man and understand the pressures and things that go on

The question of Milligan was avoided during the war

In other words they wouldn’t hear the case

Milligan was arrested and sentenced to hang during the war

The court held during the war that the Supreme Court had no jurisdiction to review the judgment of a military court

Milligan got tried in a military court and he filed for a habeas corpus in a federal district court

that’s a common law court with a jury and he asked them he didn’t ask them he demanded

the court is obliged by law when habeas corpus is filed to order the jailer whoever it may be

to bring the body of the prisoner before the court and justify his imprisonment

That doesn’t always happen but that’s what the law has always said about habeas corpus

It’s not an option Once the court issues the Writ of Habeas Corpus commanding the jailer to bring the body of the prisoner The reason you bring the body of the prisoner before the court is that if he is judged falsely imprisoned he is freed on the spot

They’re not given the opportunity to take him back to jail

He’s got to be freed on the spot

He filed for habeas corpus this fellow did He was in the military prison on a military base

During the war they wouldn’t hear it

After the war the case they said it became moot because it should be presumed that Milligan had been hanged as directed

Well he wasn’t

They ended up dismissing it

Milligan had been reprieved

He wasn’t hung but the court didn’t know that when they rejected it and said he was hung

They said he was hung and it doesn’t make a difference at this point

It does make a difference

Roe v Wade was that way

Roe v Wade by the time it got to the Supreme Court the woman who wanted the abortion already had the baby

The Supreme Court should have said that this case is moot

But it didn’t It said We’re going to take this case anyway

That’s a violation of our common law principles

Sir Thomas Moore was a cruel man who took people into his own home he was chancellor in England and butchered them personally to get them to tell him where they had held the Lord’s Supper without permission instead of a mass

He killed a lot of people

When it came time for him to have his head chopped off he made sure that he had all of the common law protections

He was eloquent in expressing the common law principles

He made sure that other people didn’t get to enjoy them if they didn’t follow his religious point of view

There was a famous movie made about it The movie was called “A Man for All Seasons”

It presents a lot of the principles of our common law

The story itself about history is a complete turnaround.

Sir Thomas Moore is made the hero in that movie He really is the villain

That’s the way Hollywood does things often

In this particular case in the movie he makes a point this fellow Sir Thomas Moore

who was really a villain but they made him a hero he could express the common law principles well and he said

You can flatten all of the protections that we have in order to get at the person who you think is the criminal

Isn’t that what’s going on in America today?

The government is screaming We got to get these criminals

We got too many barriers in the way namely the Constitution and the Bill of Rights

That’s what they’re saying

You can flatten all those protections to get your hands on the devil himself

Once you flatten those protections and you reach out and grab the devil what are you going to do when he turns on you

People in government think that they’re part of the club They’re not going to get it

Well they’re going to get it

They think that the Evil Empire is not going to get them

The Evil Empire eats it’s own They don’t care

People who join government and think that they’re going to play in that arena and they think that they’re going to get away with it They’re not

They think they’re going to get away with abusing other people

They’re not going to get away with it

You don’t do that kind of thing to people

Read the Prophets The Prophets talk a lot about the courts and what’s really going on inside of the courts

They’re trying to say that there are terrorists in our country and we got to get our hands on them

therefore we got to flatten the barriers that protect everybody

As soon as they flatten the barriers to get their hands on the Islamic terrorists that are coming from other countries and have this religion that demands that they murder people

brutally and rape women and all the things that Mohammad did once they get to where they can get their hands on them then they start going after Americans

You can read the records of it in the courts

The federal government, the prosecutors, the FBI , the CIA, they’re having a hay day right now.

We’ve heard this on the Monday Night Calls

The government accuses whoever they want of being a sovereign citizen

Once you’re accused of being a sovereign citizen you’re on equal level with Islamic fundamentalists

If you’re a fundamentalist you’re a danger to yourself and others so we got to jail you.

Maryland has a law that the legislature has pushed through that says if you know of someone who has firearms and you believe that they are dangerous or potentially dangerous

all you got to do is contact the police call them, or write them a letter, or e-mail them

you can do it anonymously and we will go out and confiscate their firearms

No warrant, No due process

They just come out and get the guns

They came to a fellows house He had an in-law that didn’t like him She called the government and said I know he got a lot of guns He’s dangerous

They came to his house real early in the morning and banged on the windows and doors

They said they were there to confiscate his firearms

An argument ensued

They shot and killed him because he said No You’re not taking my firearms

Even if he is resisting officers and says No You got to have a warrant

If they shoot and kill him They ought to be tried for murder

It’s better to let 20 guilty men go free than it is to jail one innocent man

That’s the idea of our common law tradition

I’ve never seen anything like the mania of the O J Simpson trial

People are tried for murder every day

The media made that case

They’re the ones that made it what it was

As it turned out O J was acquitted

If O J Simpson got due process and the jury acquitted him if people on the jury acquitted him that ends it

It’s over move on

The protections of our Constitution apply wherever on the faces of our Earth the United States chooses to act And we’re not doing that

Torture is not found anywhere in the government of God or our common law

Torture is out of bounds for men

Men do not have the jurisdiction to torture other men

And anybody that does that is spitting in God’s face

We as Americans allow it some do It’s not an option

If we do that we will eventually pay a terrible penalty

If you got to kill the other fellow you just kill him

You don’t make him suffer

If you’re going to kill a bumble bee You knock him down and kill it

Don’t let him lay there on the ground and buzz

Put your heel into him and put him out of his misery

An injured animal you find a way to kill it quickly

You don’t purposely try to make the other fellow suffer

That’s why we have a provision in the Constitution of the United States the 8th Amendment against cruel and unusual punishment

There’s a limit to what you can do

If you torture you stoop to the devices of the devil himself

Brent’s opinions are his own

(2:04:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

I’ve been going through the Constitution of the United States

I went through the Declaration of ‘76

Now we’re going through the Constitution of the United States

We’re up to Section 6 Clause 2 of Article1

Article 1 is about Congress

Article 2 and 3 are about the executive and judicial branches

This is about Congress the legislative branch

“No Senator or Representative shall, during the Time for which he was elected, be appointed to any civil Office under the Authority of the United States, which shall have been created, or the Emoluments whereof shall have been encreased during such time: and no Person holding any Office under the United States, shall be a Member of either House during his Continuance in Office.”

(2:05:31)

We got a separation of power here in common law government and our Constitution is a brief of common law government

That means that you can’t be working for the President of the United States in one of the bureaucracies that he controls and also be a member of Congress

cause you’re under the command of the President and then you’re a legislator

That’s a violation of separation of powers

This clause keeps any member of Congress from holding office in either of the other two branches of government the executive and the judicial

And it keeps him from holding a job if he’s a member of Congress that Congress has created

They’ll create a job and then they’ll appoint one of their members

He can do that but then he has to resign his seat from Congress

Article 1 Section 7 Clause 1

“All Bills for raising Revenue shall originate in the House of Representatives; but the Senate may propose or concur with Amendments as on other Bills.”

(2:06:42)

No tax legislation can originate in the Senate

The ObamaCare bill it was said when they passed it This isn’t a tax bill

But the Supreme Court of the United States says Yes this is tax legislation

If that’s true and the Supreme Court has declared that to be true then it would have had to start in the House of Representatives but it didn’t It was introduced in the Senate

Therefore it stands to reason it’s ipso facto unconstitutional from the getgo

All tax bills must originate in the House of Representative for two reasons

First unlike the Senate it’s members stand for election every two years and consequently respond quicker to the People

and second, taxation must be according to equal representation

Unlike the Senate each member of the House of Representatives represents the same number of people in accord with the required ten year census

Because the people can more readily control the membership of the lower house than the Senate all tax legislation must begin in the lower house

Article 1 Section 7 Clause 2

” Every bill which shall have passed the House of Representatives and the Senate, shall, before it become a Law, be presented to the President of the United States; If he approve he shall sign it, but if not he shall return it, with his Objections to that House in which it shall have originated, who shall enter the Objections at large on their Journal, and proceed to reconsider it. If after such Reconsideration two thirds of that House shall agree to pass the Bill, it shall be sent, together with the Objections, to the other House, by which it shall likewise be reconsidered, and if approved by two thirds of that House, it shall become a Law. But in all such Cases the Votes of both Houses shall be determined by yeas and Nays, and the Names of the Persons voting for and against the Bill shall be entered on the Journal of each House respectively. If any Bill shall not be returned by the President within ten Days (Sunday excepted) after it shall have been presented to him, the Same shall be a Law, in like Manner as if he had signed it, unless the Congress by their Adjournment prevent its Return, in which Case it shall not be a Law.”

In order for a bill to become law it must pass muster in both houses and then it is sent to the President of the United States for his signature

If he signs it then it’s law

If he doesn’t agree with it he doesn’t sign it He’ll put his reasons why he doesn’t sign it on it and send it back to them

The framers of our Constitution didn’t make that up

That’s the way it had been in common law for centuries

(2:14:30)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Felicia

My stuff is in storage from the foreclosure that just happened last year

and I’ve been making payments on it every month

According to Jim he’s saying that my the last time I visited it it was October 12

and I came in on November 7 to pay my bill she was ready for me to pay my bill and then she said Your storage was broken in to So nobody called me and told me that my storage had been broken in to from October 12 to November 7

Things are missing out of my storage unit

I called the police filed a report When the police got there she drove back there with us One of the coworkers touched the lock

I asked for video tape They won’t give it to me

I called the police office

There are lies in the police statement as well

They’re trying to brush it under the rug

Someone broke into my unit They didn’t tell me for an entire month

They won’t give me any video

How come they didn’t file a police report when they knew that your place was broken in to

They said that they couldn’t get ahold of you

The police should have been trying to get ahold of you

U Haul never called the police

If they know that your place has been broken in to and they have knowledge of a crime and they’re the ones that are supposed to be protecting this place and they’re collecting the money on this place why wouldn’t you file a police report immediately?

How could they wait a month to tell you?

The police only heard about it when you went in with the story

and they didn’t know anything

They need to be arrested for probable cause for being the perpetrators

Why would they wait a month ? You’re the one that filed the police report

They would never have filed it

It sounds to me like they set themselves up for a lawsuit

They’re supposed to be taking care of your stuff

They discovered that the lock was cut and they let it sit there

That’s incompetence

Sounds like an inside job

Everybody keeps changing their story

Why can’t I get a copy of surveillance?

They won’t give me any surveillance or anything

I called the police again today for the officer to redo his statement because I never touched the lock.

They’re helping them cover it up

Nobody told me anything

They stole something that’s very important that’s monetary

Why wouldn’t they tell me that my storage has been broken in to?

Why wouldn’t you call the police and tell the police that my storage has been broken in to?

They won’t give me any evidence to support anything that I’m saying

I was at the Laundromat and someone stole my laundry detergent

Tell them you want to swear a criminal complaint at the police office

Tell them that you’re making an accusation that it was an inside job at U Haul and you want to subpoena the tapes

You want all the evidence because they’re not answering and they’re not giving you the tapes

You want it investigated as a crime

Make an affidavit to the effect that you’re accusing U Haul of an inside operation

You may want to hang around and ask some other people if they have had other break-ins in the past from other people

Everybody knew but me

I would swear out a criminal complaint and say you need to investigate this

U Haul is not co-operating

Everybody knows that your lock is broke but you

They don’t secure it

They didn’t put another lock on it They left it open

See if you can swear out a criminal complaint against the manager and employees at that particular U Haul

The send it to U Haul Corporate

Take it to the police as a criminal complaint

They should subpoena the tapes

(2:34:14)

Caller 2 Paul

I’m in Pennsylvania

Suppose I got arrested for a statute speeding running a stop sign

If I get a ticket would I be required to sign it?

And if I did sign it does that turn me over to the corporation?

There’s tons and tons of stuff online about this

You can sign it under All Rights Reserved or Under Duress

They can’t require you to sign anything

You don’t want to get into a battle with them on the side of the road

It will not end well for you

If I signed it I would put one of the normal disclaimers

Record everything so you have proof

Then I would go to the magistrate

First I need to know your jurisdiction

If he plays dumb I would say Is this common law court? Is this equity court? Maritime admiralty ? What?

If it is maritime admiralty I’m not going to put myself in your jurisdiction by admitting that I’m the one on the citation in capital letters

I’m not going to come and sit in the defendant seat because that puts me under your authority

If it’s common law, who is the injured party?

There’s no injured party

The state cannot be the injured party

I move that you dismiss it

It’s a hard battle because you get these judges and they’re taught how to handle people like you.

They’ll try to trip you up

John has a paper written up on jurisdiction that you could send in to the court even ahead of time

A lot of times when they get that paperwork they realize that you’re going to be fighting them

A lot of times the realize that they are going to be spending more time and effort with you

They can’t answer your first paper and they don’t want to get your second paper

Sometimes the problem goes away

Try to file something in paper

They can’t hold you in contempt when you file something in paper

In the courtroom they can get you to say one thing or another they can hold you in contempt

It’s good to file the paper ahead of time

Then when you go in you can say I already filed my paper on jurisdiction and you need to answer that

They need to answer it they only have some many days

Caller inquired What is Rule 12?

Rule 12 is where they throw you out for being frivolous that ‘s generally in the federal court

They always throw out for no cause of action when they don’t want to answer

We have quite a bit of writings on it in this last case that they basically threw us out for Rule 12

Go in to that court case The New York State Gun case and see everything that we wrote

Our response to their claim

Caller has trouble taking the course on the cell phone He will go to the library

Can the government take away a right?

No you can’t take away any right

If you commit a crime then you’re a felon then you can’t own a firearm anymore

The Constitution says that that’s a right

Besides taking away a right they’re punishing you twice for the same crime

They can take your rights away when you’ve been convicted by a jury for the period of time that you’re convicted under that conviction they can say that you can’t own a gun

Once that period is over that right should be restored

Today they don’t believe that

Forty years ago I stole some car radiators from a junk yard shed

I took them to a scrap dealer

They caught me I did wrong I don’t want to give them trouble I’ll plead guilty

Back then I thought there was mercy

They nailed me good They called it a violent crime

They said it’s the same thing as breaking in to a house

I’ve been told that they dropped the charges

If they dropped the charges there is no way that the state can pick it up

The state cannot be the injured party

If they did that they stepped out of their jurisdiction

This was forty years ago

What is the danger with smart meters?

They have some that they can drive by and it puts out like a cell phone signal

The other one actually monitors your house it watches what you’re turning on and off

That one is pretty strong

The cases of health hazards from high frequency radio waves is definitely documented

Some people are more affected than other people

How do you notify them to get rid of them?

There’s a whole procedure

Cal and Josh are the originators of it

It’s called In Power inpower.com

He walks you through the procedure of doing a Notice of Liability to the officers of the corporation You are noticing them that this is illegal and that they’re violating your rights

Caller 3 Crystal

She’s been in the hospital

She sent stuff over to you

I’ve been in to court last Monday again

I missed the other two because I was in the hospital

I filed these papers

I faxed this over to them the Friday before last

It’s called the IRC code and it’s number 6065 Volume 53 part 1

I will get this over to you Everybody needs to follow this

The attorneys did not show up

They are the ones who bought my case to expedite it so that I would be out by the 19th of January

Then they said that they were going to be the sole owners

They’re going to be the only ones to bid on it

I faxed it over to them that Friday evening and I also faxed it over to the court

The attorneys did not show up

The ones that started the case originally they were in the courtroom they had a big smile

when the judge called my name first I was the first one on the dockets there

all of a sudden she spoke out who are the people who are opposing the other attorneys

And she said it three times

I said Mark my motion mark ready I am here

and I said since they are not here I would like this case to be discharged

We’re not going to do anything

They discharged my case because I was not there at 9:30

Crystal got dropped

When you go to court and the other party doesn’t show up you’re not supposed to ask them to dismiss it You have to order them to dismiss it

The other party is not here I’m ready to go This is a default and it needs to be dismissed

You can make a motion up to dismiss before you go to court

So you say Here I am entering this motion to dismiss for the judge to sign since the other party is in default and not here

When Crystal wasn’t there at 9:30 they defaulted her and dismissed her paperwork

That’s the whole thing about a timely objection and when to put motions in and when to move the court

They’re always testing you to see if you know how to do that

Caller 4: Constance from Connecticut

(2:57:21)

I just wanted to tell Jan that I got his message

I will contact Jan about detox and cleansing

For the lady who called in earlier and said about the storage unit

She better look up targeted individuals

From what she was describing she sounds like a targeted individual where the police don’t write accurate reports her storage unit is broken in to and she can’t get the surveillance

And her laundry detergent is stolen at the Laundromat

If I were her I would contact the Better Business Bureau or Consumer Affairs

and put in a complaint against the company

but that might make her more of a targeted individual

I would also go up the chain of command for that police officer

I would go to small claims court and do the maximum or whatever value

I did small claims court about 20 years ago and I prevailed

When I was in Maryland working for the FDA I had an apartment and they put the smart meter on without my knowledge

I’m electrically sensitive because I’ve been microchipped from head to toe without my consent I’m a targeted individual nonconsensual human guinea pig

I had my smart meter removed They charged $75 It was $25/month for three months

And then they charged $11/month

In Connecticut I had them do the same thing It’s called Opt Out

They charge every month for Opting out

Eventually I’m going to go after them

I have medical documentation from a licensed medical doctor that indicates that I am EMF

Electrically Hypersensitive EHS EMF Sensitive

There are calls There’s one which is Stop 5G and that’s very important

because when they roll out 5G they’re going to place them every hundred feet or hundred yards and when they roll it out my personal opinion a lot of people are going to get harmed

very quickly because how close in proximity they’re going to put these small cells it’s going to be the experts that go on the Stop 5 G calls they have former politicians lawyers, lobbyists, activists they said it’s going to be like being hit with the military active denial system

That when people start using their cell phones when they roll out 5G the big effort to stop 5G , remove smart meters There’s somebody by the name of Arthur Firstenberg who’s making great strides in New Mexico regarding the smart meters

They showed that there is no benefit

They’re not as durable as the analog meter

This lady that is on the 5G calls all the houses around her burned down She did not have a smart meter and her house was left standing

I would urge people if you’re getting pulled over, or if your stuff is getting stolen, and there’s inaccurate police reports , and people don’t seem to want to help by giving video surveillance, you might want to look up targeted individuals , electronic harassment, organized gang stalking, there’s billboards going up about organized gang stalking. It’s like Department of Homeland Security, government contractors , local neighbors, and stuff.

Some people would not know about targeted individuals but once you know you’ll know how to protect yourself

You won’t feel like you’re alone

(3:03:33)

Crystal just got back on the call

You don’t ask them to dismiss the case

Have the form drawn out before you go to court

The dismissal for the judge to sign because they defaulted by not showing up

Have it all ready and give it to the judge to sign the motion to dismiss due to the fact that they’re not there and you’re ready to move forward

Same thing they’d do to you if you’re not there

Go through Jan to get John and we can talk tomorrow