

"I know no safe depository of the ultimate powers of the society but the people themselves; and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform their discretion by education. This is the true corrective of abuses of constitutional power." **Thomas Jefferson**

Press Release

NLA: Only the People can Save America.

by; John Darash, spokesman, one of the 1000+ organizers across our great nation.

The following is in response to a press release by ADL, dated February 20, 2014, Mark Pitcavage, ADL Director of Investigative Research made the following unsubstantiated, fabricated incendiary statements concerning the Peoples' desire to turn back to **Thomas Jefferson's vision of "government by consent of the people"**¹, by taking their rightful seat in their court, through the Grand Jury.

Mr. Pitcavage said the People of the Common Law Grand Juries are an extreme anti-government scheme of vigilante disciples emanating from the subversive "Sovereign Citizens" movement with a contemptuous disregard of the Law and who claim all established government institutions as illegitimate with the intent to harassed government officials by creating a fictitious judicial or governmental entities led by a guru.

Mr. Pitcavage statements doesn't deserve a response, but I will take this opportunity Mr. Pitcavage has given us to springboard truth, to inform the People. The simple response to the above is; Mr. Pitcavage is a provocateur who is painting a disdained radical anti-government picture out of a true grassroots movement of concerned people from all walks of life emanating out of every county in America, who just want their nation and their children's future back.

I find Mr. Pitcavage's choice of words to describe this grassroots movement both revealing and dangerous. I perceive he is thirty pieces of silver richer today, he should be ashamed of himself, his description of who we are is nothing more than a fiction of his imagination, in a knee jerk response brought on by fear caused by the Peoples' desire to re-find self-rule.

We are not associated with, nor do we have knowledge of the "Sovereign Citizens" movement. We believe in the government described in the Constitution for the united States of America, and we believe that government structure is still there and that there is nothing wrong with it. The problem we have found is that our government has become infiltrated by tyrants, just as **George Washington** warned us would happen if we did not stop the party backbiting. He warned the nation would be destroyed, "*by cunning, ambitious, and unprincipled men [that] will be enabled to subvert the power of the people and to usurp for themselves the reins of government, destroying afterwards the very engines which have lifted them to unjust dominion... One method of assault may be to effect in the forms of the constitution alterations which will impair the energy of the system and thus to undermine what cannot be directly overthrown.*" There is no doubt that George Washington was an inspired man with a discerning spirit, and that we are blessed to be able to call him the father of our nation.

¹ Declaration of Independence

We have no guru's or acknowledged leaders, we are organizing for the purpose of learning about and exercising our unalienable rights protected by the Bill of Rights, and we are disciple of no one except the LORD. All the People "UP-HERE" on the grass-roots level are equal. I can only presume that we the People are a threat to Mr. Pitcavage's desired status quo, and if Mr. Pitcavage's opinion is ADL's view than I am sorry to say they have lost their way. We the People have embraced the Biblical principles that Washington, Jefferson and Franklin held, claiming no King but God.

CONTEMPTUOUS DISREGARD OF THE LAW The Constitution for the united States of America is the Law of the Land and it is the BAR² attorneys and judges that have a disdain for the law. BAR schools teach "statutes as law" and that common law has been "abrogated" by the legislators and the court, which the United States Supreme Court calls lawless violence. *"No judicial process, whatever form it may assume, can have any lawful authority outside of the limits of the jurisdiction of the court or judge by whom it is issued; and an attempt to enforce it beyond these boundaries is nothing less than lawless violence."* Ableman v. Booth³

Our U.S. Constitution for the united States of America Article III section 2, Bill of Rights 5th & 7th Amendments and N.Y. Constitutions Article VI (b) all agree that the Law of the Land is Common Law as expressed by the Supremacy Clause: US Constitution Article VI Clause 2 *"This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, **shall be the supreme law of the land;** and the **judges in every state shall be bound thereby,** anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding"*⁴. This clause has been supported by a mountain of U.S. Supreme Court Rulings, following are a few monumental cases that make clear the meaning:

"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them" Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 49

"All laws, rules and practices which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and void" Marbury v. Madison, 5th US (2 Cranch) 137, 180

"... that statutes which would deprive a citizen of the rights of person or property without a regular trial, according to the course and usage of common law, would not be the law of the land." Hoke vs. Henderson, 15, N.C. 15, 25 AM Dec 677

The law that judges and lawyers practice is statutory law, which "IS NOT" the Law of the Land. The People who have awakened to this fraud (reason for the imaginary law license) are not lawless but revived sovereign People, it is the judges and lawyers that have a contemptuous disregard of the Law (of the Land). The difference between the two is in fact light or darkness. Statutory (so called) law arises out of the mind of man with a focus on controlling behavior and deactivates our Bill of Rights. Whereas Common Law flows from the mind of God and requires adherence to the Bill of Rights.

BY WHAT AUTHORITY DO WE THE PEOPLE ACT? Now for the authority that we the People act upon. Again, there is a mountain of cases but the clearest and most robust is UNITED STATES -v- WILLIAMS; Decided May 4, 1992; 112 S.Ct. 1735 504 U.S. 36 118 L.Ed.2d 352.

² The term "BAR" is an acronym for British Accredited Registry

³ Ableman v. Booth 21 Howard 506 (1859)

⁴ Despite anything to the contrary

Whereas in a stunning 6 to 3 decision Justice Antonin Scalia, writing for the majority, confirmed that the American grand jury is neither part of the judicial, executive nor legislative branches of government, but instead belongs to the people. It is in effect a fourth branch of government "governed" and administered to directly by and on behalf of the American people, and its authority emanates from the Bill of Rights. It is this case that clarifies our position in contrast to the unwilling government servants who arrogantly commit felony rescue without any regard for the Law "of the Land".

The following are highlights of the case UNITED STATES -v- WILLIAMS concerning the "Common Law Grand Jury" which can be found on our front page at <http://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/>

- 1) "...the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of the institutional government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the people."⁵
- 2) "...the grand jury normally operates, of course, in the courthouse and under judicial auspices, its institutional relationship with the judicial branch has traditionally been, so to speak, at arm's length."⁶
- 3) "The grand jury's functional independence from the judicial branch is evident both in the scope of its power to investigate criminal wrongdoing, and in the manner in which that power is exercised. "Unlike [a] [c]ourt, whose jurisdiction is predicated upon a specific case or controversy, the grand jury 'can investigate merely on suspicion that the law is being violated, or even because it wants assurance that it is not.' "⁷
- 4) "Grand Jury need not identify the offender it suspects, or even "the precise nature of the offense" it is investigating."⁸
- 5) "The grand jury requires no authorization from its constituting court to initiate an investigation"⁹
- 6) "And in its day-to-day functioning, the grand jury generally operates without the interference of a presiding judge."¹⁰
- 7) "It swears in its own witnesses, and deliberates in total secrecy,"¹¹
- 8) "...we have insisted that the grand jury remain "free to pursue its investigations unhindered by external influence or supervision so long as it does not trench upon the legitimate rights of any witness called before it...."¹²
- 9) "Recognizing this tradition of independence, we have said that the Fifth Amendment's "constitutional guarantee presupposes an investigative body 'acting independently of either prosecuting attorney or judge' . . ."¹³
- 10) "Given the grand jury's operational separateness from its constituting court, it should come as no surprise that we have been reluctant to invoke the judicial supervisory power as a basis for prescribing modes of grand jury procedure. Over the years, we have received many requests to exercise supervision over the grand jury's evidence-taking process, but we have refused them all, including some more appealing than the one presented today. We accepted Justice where we held that "it would run counter to the whole history of the grand jury institution" to permit an

⁵ *Stirone v. United States*, 361 U.S. 212, 218, 80 S.Ct. 270, 273, 4 L.Ed.2d 252 (1960); *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U.S. 43, 61, 26 S.Ct. 370, 373, 50 L.Ed. 652 (1906); G. Edwards, *The Grand Jury* 28-32 (1906).

⁶ *United States v. Calandra*, 414 U.S. 338, 343, 94 S.Ct. 613, 617, 38 L.Ed.2d 561 (1974); Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(a).

⁷ *United States v. R. Enterprises*, 498 U.S. ----, ----, 111 S.Ct. 722, 726, 112 L.Ed.2d 795 (1991) (quoting *United States v. Morton Salt Co.*, 338 U.S. 632, 642-643, 70 S.Ct. 357, 364, 94 L.Ed. 401 (1950)).

⁸ *Blair v. United States*, 250 U.S. 273, 282, 39 S.Ct. 468, 471, 63 L.Ed. 979 (1919).

⁹ see *Hale*, supra, 201 U.S., at 59-60, 65, 26 S.Ct., at 373, 375,

¹⁰ See *Calandra*, supra, 414 U.S., at 343, 94 S.Ct., at 617.

¹¹ Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(c), see *United States v. Sells Engineering, Inc.*, 463 U.S., at 424-425, 103 S.Ct., at 3138.

¹² *United States v. Dionisio*, 410 U.S. 1, 17-18, 93 S.Ct. 764, 773, 35 L.Ed.2d 67 (1973).

¹³ *Id.*, at 16, 93 S.Ct., at 773 (quoting *Stirone*, supra, 361 U.S., at 218, 80 S.Ct., at 273).

*indictment to be challenged "on the ground that there was incompetent or inadequate evidence before the grand jury."*¹⁴

Clearly the People have an unalienable right, protected by the 5th Amendment, to be the consentors of their own government. Clearly the "servant judges have taken over our house" in an act of arrogance and greed. These judges believe they are above the law and that the people are too dumb to see. We are about to prove that wrong as we enter the court of public opinion, and the sovereign People will rise up and demand of our servants "LET OUR HOUSE GO"!

The People need to understand what these BAR attorney's are saying, which is, that the Bill of Rights, U.S. Constitution, Declaration of Independence, Magna Carta and the Holy Bible are no longer law, they have decided "for you" that you have "NO RIGHTS". Ask them where they get their authority and the silence will be deafening! Let us be clear, We the People are not on a witch hunt, we have no plan to seek out and punish past sins. We are concerned with how our servants act now and into the future, if they cooperate with us, we're good!

WHO IS THE COMMON LAW GRAND JURY – The short answer is "you", most of the people that are presently involved in this movement are awakening out of the liberty groups that had its birth from the Ron Paul movement. I believe I can say that majority of people involved are between the age of 40-70 and are just plain folk who are determined to save our Republic.

As of 2-22-14 We the People of New York have "Sixty-one Constituted Common Law Grand Juries" in sixty-two counties. And We the People of the united States of America are "now" constituting Common Law Grand Juries in all 50 States of our union. We are the People from every state and every county across New York and across America.

WHAT ARE WE DOING We are doing what the People should have been doing, self-governing, with the mission of bringing Justice back into our courts. We have developed a network across America to facilitate the People in each county to take control of the administrative process of empanelling trial and grand juries for every Common Law Court as is our unalienable right and duty secured under the 5th Amendment to do. Each county will have a minimum of four administrators and a secretary, who will work out of the court-house under judicial auspices. These people will take on the daily work of the jury administrative processes including jury orientation, and will be an investigative body for the same. Each court presently has a budget, office space, and a jury meeting room that will now be allocated to the people for the same. People can go to www.NationalLibertyAlliance.org to register for jury duty in their county, when we exhaust this list jurist will be chosen from various sources including but not limited to voters registration rolls and the DMV.

WHO IS NATIONAL LIBERTY ALLIANCE NLA is just a facilitator for education, jury registry, communications, organization, and directory. There is no top down structure, all state coordinators and county administrators are pure grassroots and self ruling that operate under the principles of Honor, Justice and Mercy, and take an Oath to the Governor of the Universe.

DUTY OF THE PRESS AMENDMENT I Congress shall make no law ... abridging the freedom of the press; The Press has a duty to speak when the people are in jeopardy and they remain silent they consent to tyranny and in due time they will answer to the People.

¹⁴ Nelson's description Costello v. United States, 350 U.S. 359, 76 S.Ct.; 406, 100 L.Ed. 397 (1956); Id., at 363-364, 76 S.Ct., at 409.

I would like to end with the words of a fallen hero

*...there is little value in insuring the survival of our nation if our traditions do not survive with it. And there is very grave danger that an announced need for increased security will be seized upon by those anxious to expand its meaning to the very limits of official censorship and concealment.... Today no war has been declared... Our way of life is under attack. Those who make themselves our enemy are advancing around the globe. The survival of our friends is in danger. And yet no war has been declared, no borders have been crossed by marching troops, no missiles have been fired.” **John F Kennedy 1961***

Necessity is the plea for every infringement of human freedom. It is the argument of tyrants; it is the creed of slaves. William Pitt the Younger