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Scripture Reading John 15 : 1-17

( 8:00 )

Last week Gerard took over for me

We were planning on doing jury nullification and so tonight we are going to do jury nullification.

Before we read this and take a look at this

It is a memorandum that we wrote concerning jury nullification

We got about 20 memorandums done

If anybody is interested in following through with the reading of this memorandum go to our website nationallibertyalliance.org Highlight Grand Jury and click on

Action Against the Judiciary the one we are reading is on Jury Nullification

We got about 20 memorandums up right now and three or four more will be going up shortly.

We’re working on maybe another half dozen

The purpose for writing these memorandums is really focusing in on specific problems or specific topics concerning the common law or concerning equity

In law or at law means natural law

Sometimes you’ll hear that the titles are referred to as law but they’re really statutes or codes

They really should be identified as statutes or codes or regulations

and of course those are inequity courts

Anytime you have statutes you’re in an equity court

When you’re in a court without statutes and you have a jury you’re in an actual law court

We’re looking at a lot of topics

We’ve done a couple of these already

We’ll be doing tonight the jury nullification

We did one on civil v natural rights There is a difference between civil rights and natural rights

Civil rights are particularly statutory

At least ten of our rights we codified

We have many many rights that have not been codified

The rights that we codified would be found of course in the Bill of Rights

We also did a memorandum on USC Title 18 which is where the penal code is found

There’s a lot of subjects here we did a court of record Article III Courts Amendment 13 The True Amendment 13 The Tenth Amendment The Second Amendment Acts of Treason High Treason Jury Nullification Jury Tampering and Stacking

Law and Equity the Difference Between NonJudicial Foreclosures Sovereignty

Statutes, Codes, and Regulations Tax Courts and then again there’s quite a few more that should be coming up

The purpose of writing all these and again people can use these memorandums in their own cases if they want They need to write them with the proper court name and proper information whoever is filing the court case It proves the point of whatever the subject matter might be

Memorandums of law they’re undeniable they’re self evident

they cannot be argued they are facts

Each one of them end with a conclusion

Each one of these conclusions we will bring into our case against the judiciary

We’ve been preparing for the judiciary case for awhile

We’re going to be filing suit against the judiciary federal judiciary

We were back and forth in the beginning thinking about the United States Supreme Court

We were hoping to do this without labeling them as part of the law suit

As we write these things and put this together and start to put a case together to see how and who is liable for all of this we have to go to the boss we have to go to the top

and so we therefore have to sue the United States Supreme Court

We’re going to be doing things in a very unique way

Each one of these memorandums covers a topic and the abuse of the courts

and certain things that the courts ignore

They’ve hidden our natural law courts

The only courts available are equity courts or quasi equity

A court of record requires a jury

No judge is allowed no judge opinions no judge statements just a magistrate

All judges are magistrates So they can fill the position of a magistrate inside a courtroom

that is a court of record A court of record is one that is tried by jury

The judge is not to bring up anything concerning his decisions or ideas or how he interprets the law or anything

The magistrate should be notifying the jury at the beginning of the case the knowledge of jury nullification and explaining that to them

They don’t

We as administrators bringing in juries within our own counties the positions that We the People need to take up We the People make the decision We the People decide whether we want to indict or not We the People decide whether there’s guilt or innocence We the People decide how do we restore the individual

There’s a lot of money to be made by incarcerating an individual

They go to prison there’s money to be made

These rules and procedures that they follow are not the way natural law courts are to be run

The judges are only to be there as the administrator of the court

They’re not to persuade the jury one way or another

They’re not to make any decisions

They’re there to certify the decision of the jury

To put the seal and signature on whatever the determination is by the jury

The case is a court of record

A court of record cannot be appealed

You cannot appeal from a court of record

We’ve been robbed of our courts of law

That is the purpose of our lawsuit

We have to go after the United States Supreme Court because they are the responsible ones

They are the chief they are the top

They are the ones that are supposed to be correcting the problems that are taking place in the lower courts

The way they’ve set things up and they’ve hidden they’ve concealed and that’s a crime

Concealing the natural law courts that should be open to us is a crime

There is no paperwork available that permits you to get into anything other than civil

Civil courts and criminal courts and not courts of record They’re equity courts

It’s extremely important that We the People understand these things

We are incarcerated in statutes incarcerated in codes

And the reason that they get away with this and the reason the courts are the way they are

and the reason that the natural law courts have been hidden concealed and you can’t find them

People aren’t aware that they exist it’s because we’re ignorant

If we don’t know these things then we don’t know them

You can’t fix them you can’t identify them

We’re ignorant

That’s the purpose of our course

It is to get rid of that ignorance

Our course is covering everything pretty much that a person needs to know to be a patriot and understand the law of the land and understand the three founding documents which is the law of the land understanding the common law process and procedure

Our children should know this before they even get out of school

They should know this in grammar school

But they don’t and we don’t

We’re ignorant to the law We’re ignorant to the founding documents

We the People are slaves we’ve been enslaved by the state

We’re in a statutory prison

We’re governed by codes

We’re fined to death Taxed on everything

We start off our program every Monday night once a week and one of the things or one of the points that we make is to support what we’re doing here and that the proceeds go to support NLA’s effort to save America

The only way America is going to be saved is if the people become educated

The people get rid of their ignorance to come to a knowledge and understanding of what the common law is what our founding documents are if we don’t know these things then we can’t have freedom

We have been incarcerated in many different ways

Our freedoms have been blocked in many different ways

And people don’t know it

(30:00)

We’re ignorant to the committeeman process

We have to have control over our court

and you get that through the grand jury and the trial jury

When we have a true grand jury and a true trial jury that is not tainted in anyway that is not controlled by any prosecutor or any judge

How do we control our political process

We do that through the committeemen

These people have taken total control over our political process

They don’t care who gets elected a Republican or a Democrat they’ve got total control over both parties

Trump’s doing a great job Things are heating up and getting ready to bring us much closer to freedom and getting rid of the Deep State

But Trump cannot save America

He can work with others and break down the Deep State and the Justice Department and bring up these indictments and we can put these people in jail

Do whatever the law permits or requires

That’s not going to give us liberty

If our courts are not controlled by the people

If our political process is not controlled by the people

we cannot have liberty

We will lose it because we are ignorant

I speak to myself first

I am ignorant no more

Everyone should study and know these things

It’s not boring It’s exciting

True law is really exciting

The way our nation was built the law of the land which is the three founding documents the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution , and the Bill of Rights

fascinating documents

as you look into the conversation the Federalists Papers the AntiFederalists Papers reading decisions of the United States Supreme Court defining the meaning of terms and phrases that we use and so on Of course the enemy is in there We have a couple of Socialists on the Supreme Court We should not tolerate them

Judges get to serve only during good behavior

If they’re not obedient to the Constitution then they’re not in good behavior

They’re in bad behavior and we can remove them

and that includes United States Supreme Court justices

It’s the job of Congress to get rid of these people that are in bad behavior

They don’t seem to do it

If they don’t do it We the People can do it

But the people don’t know

Everybody should become a committeeman at least once in their life time

It’s a two year term

It requires time during the election process time

40 to 50 hours a year

It’s exciting because you get to sit down and get to interview these people that want to run for office

Why do you want to run for office? Are you a statesman or a politician?

Do you know the Constitution? What’s the Second Amendment? What’s the Fifth Amendment? What’s the Fourth Amendment? What do they know about the law? What is equity? What is law? What’s the difference between the two?

There are four administrators in every county

Those four people would be responsible for orientating the juries the grand juries and the trial juries

Full time job Paid position

Everybody needs to respond and get educated

They need to take the course Government By Consent

A lot of people if you’re looking for a good job consider becoming an administrator within your county

which means you administer to the grand juries and the trial juries you orientate them

you give them advice you research things

If they want to investigate something you make sure that the pathway is open so that they can do that

If they want to go down to the county building and find out what’s going on look into their computers look into their desk drawers and quiz the people down there that’s their right We’re the boss

We have every right to go down and see what’s going on at the workplace

But it’s not the way it’s running

The people are not in control

It’s unbelievable what’s going on it the courts today

And they get away with it

Because We the People are ignorant

Everybody should be taking that course

Everybody should be considering becoming an administrator

We need to get rid of ignorance by taking this course and studying

We’re writing a book at the same time

That should come out not long after the course is finally completed

Going back to these memorandums that we’re writing Each one is a lesson on it’s own

It has a focus and a conclusion

Each one of these situations and violations of these particular issues are going to be addressed in our lawsuit

The lawsuit and all these memorandums will become part of the course also

People need to read through these things to understand the meaning of these terms

In law or at law is common law

Equity is statutes

The people don’t understand this

They’re in equity courts all day long

They’re there to maintain the status quo because there’s a lot of money in there for them

There’s a money flow for all these judges

How is it that anybody that goes into Congress or any elected individual anywhere

How is it that they all become millionaires

They’re all millionaires

We’re going to get into jury nullification

Let’s start off

(46:00)

The paper begins as follows:

“MEMORANDUM OF LAW

 The purpose of this memorandum is to clarify for the court that the People being the author and source of law have the unalienable right as jurist to judge the law as well as the fact in controversy, to exercise its prerogative of nullification, sentencing, and to disregard instructions of the judge. It is the Jury that is the final arbitrator of all things and not the judge, this is government by consent! Any judge who forces his will upon the jury would be guilty of jury tampering. It would be an ‘absurdity’ for jurors to be required to accept the judge's view of the law against their own opinion, judgment, and conscience. Since natural law was thought to be accessible to the ordinary man, the theory invited each juror to inquire for himself whether a particular rule of law was consonant with principles of higher law.”

The paper concludes with the following:

“Therefore, to permit the servant to rule the master is absurd, and as recent years have proven, the control of our courts by BAR members throughout the last quarter of the twentieth century has brought We the People under the rule of despotism of an oligarchy as Jefferson had warned. We the People of the Kings bench, being the source and arbiter of the law, have a duty and an unalienable right to judge and decide in all things, which includes sentencing with an eye on restitution, as the tribunal of all lawful courts. To deny our unalienable right of consent in these things is to war against We the People; thereby, our word is final.”

(1:20:00)

That was Memorandum on Jury Nullification

Everybody needs to be taking these courses

Once we get the book out which will cover everything plus more

everything you need to know to have government by consent will be in this book

which will follow the completion of this course

(1:21:30)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: I would like your feedback about secured party creditor status change

Not familiar with that

A secured party is a party that has a lien on something or a right to a property that secures his debt

If you don’t pay he gets to come in and he’s got your property liened up and he can take it as a mechanic would have a lien on an automobile that he just worked on

He’s got it in his garage in his building in his lot and he doesn’t have to give it up until you pay him He’s got an automatic lien on it

That’s a secured debt

If there’s a secured party that has a secured position over a debt because of collateral

That means the property that’s put in it’s place to secure the debt

If there’s a change of status that means he’s no longer secured

(1:23:36)

Question 2

Have you heard of correct sentence structure parse syntax grammar with the postal court

That’s the David Wynn Miller stuff

He died a year or two ago

We looked into that we didn’t find much validity we couldn’t find anything logical to agree to on it

If you get sucked in to all the secret codes of the law then they’ve sucked you in

If you get sucked into their realm of arguing about secret codes and the law there’s no end to it

You’re in an arena that wastes your time

It’s our duty as patriotic Americans to say no

It’s our duty to say no we’re not going to understand the law according to some secret code that some group of lawyers has devised

It’s a distraction away from the truth

We don’t want to get caught up in the system that makes everything mysterious

(1:28:03)

Question 3

I filed a bankruptcy at the end of March in New York State to stop the sale date scheduled for this week Does the federal court notify the county court of the filing? I checked my file at the county clerk’s office on Friday afternoon and the bankruptcy was not there Do I have to go down and file it with the county? My concern is that the referee will claim that they have not received the paperwork and proceed with the sale illegally which will only compound the situation

I do have a little experience with bankruptcy It’s been a long time since I looked into those things or was involved with putting together a bankruptcy

A couple of things are very important

If you don’t list very specifically whatever it is the creditors that you owe particularly

if you don’t list that in and you miss them they can come and get you and they can get you after or during

After the bankruptcy is complete if you missed someone you lose you’re going to have to pay that creditor

When you put this and you file and you keep the papers really you’re responsible for maintaining a lot of the paperwork and notifying anybody that tries to collect

There is a particular statement within the conclusion of the court for bankruptcy as far as the law is concerned

Once they’ve been notified and the amount what was owed to those individuals and the amount that was owed to that individual you only need to re-serve the papers to these people to remind them because it’s against the law for them to come and try to collect a debt that was already covered and approved through a bankruptcy

Anybody who has filed bankruptcy they should have a copy of the bankruptcy and they should have a copy of the listings

They can provide these people with a copy of that paperwork

They are bound by law to back off to either the conclusion or back off while the bankruptcy is in process

And if there’s a problem with that you can go to the judge that’s running the bankruptcy

There’s a special court that you go to for bankruptcy It’s a bankruptcy court

It’s your responsibility to notify everyone

You can even file it in the county records

and send a copy to anyone that is trying to collect on something that has already been disbursed

or is in the process of being considered for disposal

I don’t know about them going out and making the notifications for you

You got to see that things are done right

Make sure everybody’s on notice

It’s up to the person who filed for the bankruptcy to make sure of notification

It’s up to them to file it with the county if that’s what they want or need to do

The person that’s trying to make the sale on behalf of the creditors they should be notified of that

And they have to back off and if they don’t you can report it to the bankruptcy court

Also, I want to file a federal suit against the bank and all parties involved Can I buy an index number without submitting paperwork immediately or must I file a notarized affidavit when I purchase the index number?

Depends on what’s going on and what you’re doing

You can start off by not even going into the court and do a Notice and Demand and see where that takes you

You can then bring that Notice and Demand and do a Show Cause which is when you would have to buy an index number at that point

When they default on that which they usually do

They ignore the people There’s a consequence for ignoring the people

Silence when they have a duty to speak is fraud

Do the Notice and Demand

Go through the process of defaulting them

They’re ignore it all

As long as you’re certifying everything that you’re doing make sure that when you serve these people you do it with an affidavit of service

Then go and get your index number

You go in and get your index number I think that in New York the index number is $110 or $95

for the RJI they want $210

I think it’s $95 and $210

I’ll do the $95 and get the index number for the filing

If you did a Notice and Demand you then do a Show Cause

They’ve already defaulted

They have to respond to that

When I initiate a case I never go and get the RJI
Usually the other side will eventually do that

Lawyers are there and they’ll push that to get a judge in to the mix

If you are in a court of record I would reject the RJI
I would reject the intervention by a judge

I’d object to anybody coming into the case

If it’s a contract thing which generally usually these things are if you think you can get justice then why not go before an equity court and let them decide

Equity courts can be done quickly

You purchase an index number and then you use that to file your paperwork afterward

You can do a Notice and Demand beforehand

If you’re suing the bank you definitely want to move this into a court of record

They’ll battle you out of this thing

They’ll push their way to prevent that from happening

The judges pay much allegiance to the banks

They like to maintain the status quo

You got to try to prevent that from happening

It’s very difficult to sue a bank

(1:38:27)

Question 4

This person just started the Government By Consent Course

She was born in Illinois and lives in British Columbia with her husband

and stepson for the last four years

They’re moving back to the most constitutional place in the US that we can find.

We’ve become aware of the New Illinois Movement in which Representative Halbrook of Shelbyville and colleagues are introducing H R 101 This will separate Illinois from Chicago

Representative Halbrook states it is based on Article 4 Section 4 that guarantees the republican form of government to the people

He stated in a speech that I saw on YouTube that there are six steps needed to create a new state.

1. Organize by county
2. Declare independence
3. Prepare grievances that are published and read aloud on the steps of every courthouse
4. Constitutional conventions are convened
5. Gain approval from the Illinois General Assembly
6. Gain approval from the US Congress

It sounds like they’re trying to create a state inside a state

Try to split Chicago out of the state

The law is clear on that you can’t break a state up like that

They tried to do that in California because it’s such a big state

The state can be divided

California tried to do it

It introduced legislation within the last year

The state of Jefferson in Northern California they call it the state of Jefferson since after World War II They tried to do that

Illinois is trying to do it now

It’s serious business

The Illinois legislature has carved out counties along the Wabash River next to Indiana

quite a few counties and they said these counties want to join the state of Indiana

They’re tired of Chicago sucking blood out of them

New York, Texas , Nevada has it now California has it

our whole country is divided urban rule

That’s been that way since the beginning

In Illinois this is serious business they’ve introduced legislation into the state house Springfield

They introduced the idea they want a resolution

They’re signing a petition now a petition is going around among the counties

If they can get the signatures that they require to get it on the ballot it could be put on the ballot.

According to the Constitution of the United States Congress has to OK the arrangement.

If Congress OKs the arrangement yes it can be done

These are tough hurdles to get over

(1:49:00)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

We are in need of grand jury administrators

We have slightly over 1,000

We looking for 13,000

We need more volunteers for the Welcoming Committee

We could use three initially

This would involve calling people

You would have training available

They’re more than willing to train you

We need some more guest lecturers for the Natural Healing Call

If you or someone you know has a working knowledge of some form of natural healing

and you’d like to give a talk Probably 35 minutes minimum We follow that with a question and answer period

If you know someone or you would like to volunteer contact Jan

Jan’s contact information is at the NLA page under Directory

(1:51:34)

Reminder

We are gearing up for our Action against the United States Supreme Court and the Federal Judiciary

We’re a month or two away from filing

We need to finish the memorandums up We’re writing the paper itself as we do the memorandums

We’d like to get a copy of the case to every single federal district court

It’s going to cost quite a bit of money to do that

I would like to do that for the purpose of education and opening their awareness to all the federal judiciary by doing that

Our focus will be directly towards their boss which would be the United States Supreme Court

who have a duty to make sure that they uphold the fact that we are a republic a republican form of government They have a duty to uphold the law and they haven’t been doing that

I find it hard to believe that the United States Supreme Court does not know that natural law courts have been concealed across America in every federal district

It’s almost impossible to get into a court that is not violated by judges and isn’t controlled by statutes dealing with civil instead of natural

I cannot believe that they don’t know that they got to know it

They need to fix it

We have notified the court on numerous occasions with numerous papers concerning numerous problems over the past couple of years

They have done nothing to fix any of the problems that we have made them aware of

We have also made them aware of the fact and they know that it’s going that there is subversion going on by enemies both foreign and domestic inside our own government

The United States Supreme Court needs to get onboard

We already notified them

We have reported it to the United States Supreme Court and they have done nothing

We have reported it also to the Justice Department

And they have done nothing

The way we’re going to do this and file this and the way we’re going to position ourselves is going to be quite different than anything that has been done

We have lots of precedences things in our law and in our past that gives us the authority to do so

particularly the Declaration of Independence

We the People made it clear in the Declaration of Independence that we have the right to dismantle this entire government and restart all over new again

We have a perfectly good constitution

We have this problem because We the People are ignorant

We do not know the law

We don’t know our history

We don’t understand the processes and procedures that we live under as far as the courts are concerned

We don’t know these things

We’re putting it together in a course

We’re putting it together in a book

(2:01:27)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

We have a question that came through the e-mail

it was in response to a comment that I had made last week

I said the government likes corporations

I said if they get people in corporations or get involved with corporations they can control the corporation and thereby control the activity of the people

He asked if I would elaborate on that

Corporations were promoted in America in a big way back in the early sixties

and into the late sixties and early seventies

Some how it became popular for the smallest businesses mom and pop operations and farms

family farms to incorporate

It did the government a lot of good

especially those folks in the business of collecting taxes

It made it easier

A corporation gives a lot of government control

The government produces corporations

They pass legislation that allows it

And then the Secretary of State has to sign off on it

The reason corporations are popular is because they’re promoted as limiting liability

limiting liability of the folks that invest in the corporation the shareholders

Their liability is limited to the amount of money that they invest in the corporation

You can get the same limited liability and protection from lawsuit with a trust arrangement as you can by establishing a corporation

if the trust arrangement is properly made

It’s foolish for a church to wrap a corporation around itself

All it does is give the government power over it

That defeats a lot of freedom when that happens

If you want to know more about corporations go to [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com)

go to the media page and there are two windows on the media page

you can scroll down the mp3 clips in each one

I think that there’s 100 MP3 clips audio clips

in each one a total of 200

you can scroll down and find eleven presentation on corporations

that’s at commonlawyer.com on the media page

We’ve been going through the Constitution of the United States

We’re at Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 says this:

It says they’re mad at the King of England King George III

because he exercises his exercising exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever

We’re in the section that talks about the powers of Congress

to exercise exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever over such district not exceeding ten miles square as may, by Cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of Government of the United States, and to **exercise** like Authority **over all** Places

purchased by the consent of the legislature of the state in which the same shall be

for the erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards, and other needful Buildings;

This clause of our Constitution of the United States gives Congress exclusive and full legislative power not only over the District of Columbia but also over all federal military property

and in common law circles people that talk about Constitutional law call this reference to peddle property they call them federal enclaves

that means a place carved out of a state

The federal government doesn’t have any property except it could have property by the consent of one of the states it has jurisdiction over property such as a federal territory

that includes Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards, and other needful Buildings for military purposes in addition it ensures to each state legislature the power to refuse consent to the general government’s request to build military works and structures within the state’s borders however once the state has of it’s own consent transferred such land title to the federal government that government has erected structures for military purposes as long as that land is being used for military purposes that state is without right to demand return of that land to the exclusive jurisdiction of that state’s laws

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 18:  Congress shall have power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this [Constitution](https://www.u-s-history.com/pages/h3956.html) in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

This is known as the Necessary and Proper Clause of our Constitution

It leaves an open ended permission to Congress to do prid near anything they want

This is the famous Necessary and Proper Clause allowing Congress to deal with matters never mentioned in our Constitution but which are none the less necessary to carry out the powers the Constitution enumerates That was their point Being necessary under this clause however never allows Congress open ended power

This is what our courts have said

Thus even though an act of Congress is necessary government must carry out such an act in keeping with all the Constitution’s other demands and restraints under due process

which is the course of our common law

In all events the words necessary and proper include no power to pass criminal statutes take criminal jurisdiction over a matter or otherwise seek a prescribed result

In sum the words necessary and proper are not words of raw power but words of administration of process let the chips fall where they may When the right process is followed our Constitution ratifies the result

That’s some of the words of the Constitution of the United States Article 1

I want to make mention of a subject that was mentioned awhile ago

It was about the jury and jury instructions

Some states have gone so far now as to requiring that potential jurors swear an oath saying they will follow the instructions of the judge

Now that’s going too far

I don’t know if it’s going too far for the judge to instruct the jury as to what he thinks is right

Enlighten the jury about the case encourage the jury charge the jury

But to tell the jury that you can’t be a juror unless you take an oath to follow the instructions of the judge that’s going too far it confuses people

Although even in places where that oath is required that doesn’t bind the juror to follow the instructions of the judge if the juror in his heart of hearts feels that those instructions are unlawful

No man has the right to do wrong therefore an oath to do something that later you find out is wrong if you do that then you are required to disregard your oath

You have a requirement to disregard your oath

The law demands it

That’s something we’ve forgotten

You have every right to presume that that judge will instruct you properly

That presumption may be destroyed after you listen to the case for awhile

It may be destroyed after you deliberate

You may say to yourself that judge is dead wrong

No man has the right to do wrong and so an oath a swearing to do something that’s unlawful once you discover that it’s unlawful you have a duty to disregard your oath and your commitment to do that

Among us right now we have this duty called judgment and justice in government

And the courts are part of that and jury duty is a part of that If you’re serving on a jury you have to make the final decision from which there is no meaningful appeal

Anyone who has the authority and power to decide right from wrong in individual instances from whose decision there is no meaningful appeal is equivalent to lawgiver

The lawgiver is a person or combination of persons of whose decision there is not meaningful appeal in individual instances

That’s the most powerful power that is available to any person in the universe

Jesus said to the Pharasites Which is the greatest power that I say to this crippled man rise up and walk or that your sins are forgiven?

The question was rhetorical

Your sins are forgiven anyone who can take away the penalty of the law that is power

You have suspended that which will not change

No man has been delegated the power to forgive sin lawbreaking

You can’t forgive your own sin and you can’t forgive before God it’s contrary to the claims of priesthood that they have the power to absolve sin They don’t

God says that’s My business that’s My power

You talk about taking that power you’re an usurper you’re an antichrist

Men who have authority to make decisions of right and wrong from whose decision in individual instances there is no meaningful appeal that’s what a juror is

No one among mankind has authority to call the hands of the jurors

(2:28:00)

Brent added These comments are my own and may or may not be the opinions of other principals of National Liberty Alliance

(2:33:37)

CALLERS

Caller 1 Dan

I have had some good conferences with one of our helpers up in Charlotte we came to the opinion that we ought to bring this out tonight

If you can imagine all of the courthouses in 3,133 counties at least that is just so monumental representation of taxes for one part but fines and penalties for the greater part

This individual this lady that has been tutoring me to some degree

It comes to the attention that we should recommend to our group that we’re not getting our fair share of taxes by the way we need buildings that have to do with taxes for the construction and building of a grand jury meeting place hearing facility

This lady is in training to go into some of the district courts and be an overseer for common law especially for jury trials

In every county generally there is a civic building and in a lot of cases well constructed and could serve our purpose until the federal government could come to the understanding that we need a building all for justice for the individuals to go there have their case heard there and for a common law jury court to not only write the law but clearly determine the law and the outcome.

I’m wondering I’ve gone in and looked at Mr. Brent’s website and it mentions in there where there could be a common law lawyer I’m wondering about that and do we have those and in some cases they’re known in law as next friend?

Going into the court as far as having a place for the people to gather and be the grand jury or to take care of the business of natural law

We need only go back to the Constitution and the authority we gave to Congress to create the courts We vested one Supreme Court with the authority we vested them with the jurisdiction we also vested Congress with the authority and power to create the tribunals

That we find in Article 1 Section 8 the power and authority for them to create the tribunals

When they wrote they said in each district there shall be one court it clearly spoke of being a court of law or a court of record

Anything in law or at law is common law

Anything that is equity is done by codes and statutes

There are 94 districts in the United States

So there are 94 federal courthouses

Each one of those districts might have 2 or 3 or more courts different locations

There are 94 federal district courts

Each one of them is to be a court of law which is natural law courts

Inside those same districts we’ve given them the power an authority to hear the equity cases

Equity cases are controlled and run particularly on the federal level under U S Titles

On the state level it will be under whatever statutory processes they have to control the bureaucrats

because that’s what statutes , and codes, and regulations are written for to control the people that work within the different government agencies to control the bureaucrat

to give them the authority to do this or prevent them with the authority to do that

We’ve given them the power and authority to do those things

It does not control the people

The courts have had 200 years plus more than 200 years they have been in control of the grand jury in the beginning they weren’t probably a lot less than that probably 100

that the courts have taken full control over the calling of the grand jury and the controlling of the trial juries

Judges which should be magistrates in natural law courts they have been controlling some of the juries over the past 100 years

The memorandum that we read earlier tonight it is clear how things should be and what the judges should be informing the juries

They have the power and authority of jury nullification

That they have the ability to decide both law and facts

and to deal with what the sentencing might be which should have an eye on the focus of restitution. So all of this is already in place but you can’t find in any one of these 94 federal districts you cannot find one place where you can gain access into a natural law court

a court of law it has been concealed

And this has gone all the way down to the state level

This is the mission of National Liberty Alliance to fix this problem

This is the mission of the people through the common law grand juries

we came together as the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury

for the sole purpose of dealing with the subversion against the people against the Constitution against the United States of America by these tyrants in the courts

We need to put them back under the Constitution

back under the chains of the Constitution

bring them under the control of the people

That’s what our lawsuit is about

We’re going to be approaching this in a very unique way

It’s going to take the people to step up behind this

We have over 7300 members right now

We got to wake up a lot of them who have fallen asleep

We have a lot of people who have signed up for our courses and they’re taking their time and they haven’t gotten through yet

We have roughly 1100 people signed up to become administrators

The administrators which are the people who are really they need to be trained

We’d have a real serious problem if people take up this job and don’t get trained and don’t get educated

We need to educated these people they need to be educated they need to understand how things work they need to understand the history they need to understand natural law they need to understand the validity of statutory courts the equity courts

The need to be educated

We provide that education

We’re also trying to bring together to get enough people to cover all these counties

We have 3,133 counties we need four people for each county That’s about twelve or thirteen thousand people we need Let’s say 15,000

We only have about 1100

We need about twelve to fifteen thousand

These positions would be paid

When we lay this case out it’s going to be amazing on how much liberty they’ve stolen from us

How much justice they have stolen from us

People go to court and it cost them thousands and thousands maybe even $100,000 just to get justice and they don’t even get justice

People are being destroyed and don’t have the money to go to court to deal with it

There should be no charge to get into court

On the statutory courts yes

but not on the courts of law

There should be no charge justice should be free

Nobody is guaranteed that they need to have a lawyer to represent them

but they are guaranteed assistance of counsel

They have the right to get assistance of counsel

And if it’s a criminal case and you can’t afford it it’s the obligation of the state to support that assistance of counsel

The price of assistance of counsel is way out of line

And that is the BAR Association’s fault

We the People need to take control

We should not be in some structure outside the courthouse We should be inside the courthouse

The grand jury and the preparations of the jury both trial jury petite jury and the grand jury should be brought through an orientation

All this should be done under the auspice of the court

We need to do this in every single county

In every county there is a state court

And in that state court should be a place prepared for We the People to be able to call our juries when it’s needed

We orientate them

Once you set that up and you get four people within every county within every state court

Those people will also be available for the federal court

They get away with it because We the People are ignorant

We have to take back control

We need to get the United States Supreme Court to understand the error of their way and make repentance

And if not we need to remove them

And if Congress won’t do it We the People need to give them an indictment

We have to be under the auspice of the court

They have to understand the law

In a matter of six months you could be highly educated

We brought all the information and necessary knowledge that is needed together

It would be a derelict of duty it would be a wrongdoing if people just collectively get together and start to wing it and try to run an operation like this to control the jury get juries going together to orientate them and not prepare the people for the duty ahead

We have to consider mercy at the end of the day We have to get restitution

If people are not aware of these things how can they administrate for a grand jury?

We can’t sit in this position forever

We’re here for one purpose and one purpose only

That is to deal with the problems concerning subversion against the United States of America

by enemies both domestic and foreign

Once we deal with that and that problem is solved and the power and authority is given back to the people then that has to be dismantled

That grand jury can’t continue

Once you get control at the national level and you bring the United State Supreme Court back under the will and authority of the people and the rest of the government under the consent and the authority of the people once that happens there should not be the necessity for a Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury

There’s not a common law court to be found anywhere

We must be under the auspice of the court

We must bring these judges back under control

We need to make them leave the BAR Association

Each one of these memorandums that we’re writing is clear and self-evident and absolute

Bad behavior requires impeachment

If they’re breaking the law we can indict them

We can’t do it until we get empowered

We have to empower ourselves

We have to be responsible and make sure that they are educated in order to take control in order to bring together these juries and give them good information and be an administrator to their duties

Brent added his comments:

The phrase common lawyer and I use it in it’s older sense If you go to Canada or England or Australia and you talk about a common lawyer people will know what you’re talking about.

But not in America We’re a common law country

In Canada I talk to lawyers in Canada and they call themselves common law lawyers.

Historically they were called commoners for short

And then the rest of the world lawyers attorneys are called civil law lawyers and the short version is civilian The word civilian in the rest of the world is meant to speak of a lawyer of the law of the city. Common lawyer or commoner people referred to a lawyer of the law of the land. A lawyer is a person who gives attention to studying the law

It doesn’t take a law license to study law

The word attorney is an Old Anglo Dane Anglo French word for agent

Attorneys in all common law countries are agents

They’re retained and given a delegated scope of authority to do a certain job

and they have quite a bit of authority if the client delegates that to them

Lawyer is a person that studies the law

A sawyer runs a saw mill

A lawyer runs a law mill

The sawyer is there to make the saw cut the way he wants it to

The lawyer is there to show you how the law cuts to protect you or help you do what you want to do

Every lawyer in America whether he understands it or not is a common lawyer

Most of them never heard that term

Except Louisiana Louisiana is a law of the city jurisdiction The lawyers there are civilians

It’s not a common law jurisdiction

Friend of the court is different

Friend of the court is amicus curiae

Friend of the court is someone that files a brief in an appellate case to help support your point of view someone who files a brief and doesn’t have a dog in the fight

They’re interested in the case and interested in the issue and they want to see it come out in a certain way

There are only two kinds of lawyers in the world

There are only two traditions of religion law and government among men

One is law of the land The other is the law of the city

If you want to know more about that there is the book “Excellence of the Common Law” 958 pages comparative law text comparing the law of the land and the law of the city

is available at Amazon.com type in Brent Allan Winters and that book will come up

The cheapest price has been about $70

It talks about the difference between the law of the land and the law of the city

common lawyer and civil lawyer there is a section about the history of the common lawyer

The name of the book is “Excellence of the Common Law”

(3:07:02)

Caller 2 Crystal

Crystal: Did you get the paper last week?

John: I didn’t get the last paper but I filed the paper anyway

If I get it I’ll mail a copy to the court

and they’ll have everything then

I can’t see any reason or way that they cannot hear the case

How did the courts take the paperwork when you dropped it to them?

Crystal: They were nasty somewhat today

When I went to the court they said this judge is gone, he’s resigned , he’s retired

Not to me but to some other people

What I did do I did put in a bankruptcy

John That’s an interesting way of going about it What’s interesting about a bankruptcy

If it’s the home you live in there’s a certain qualification you can get

Usually people do that when they buy a home

It prevents your home from being taken away from you in a bankruptcy

Crystal: I did that

John: And that’s an interesting way of dealing with this I did not think of that.

That’s a great idea and anybody that is tight on the dollars and you’re battling a problem with these people go file bankruptcy That’s a good idea

Are you doing it yourself or are you getting a lawyer to go through the bankruptcy?

Crystal: Nobody will take my case at all

John: Bankruptcy is really pretty simple I’ve done one myself

It’s a really simply process I’ll try to find the information that I had found and if you follow their program and bring all the paperwork It’s really a matter of collecting the information and filing it in a certain format

Crystal I am going to get some help getting it done

You get 14 days and all that

There’s this other paper that I got to get to you

I didn’t have time to get it off to you because I was running from one court doing this and doing that all day

I collapsed I was exhausted

I have to have everything done within the next 14 days

John: Don’t waste a moment on that

Crystal: Also I did file the police report

I’m also going to file another police report tomorrow

If you go under the website under My Docket there’s a paper it’s very explosive

That’s what everyone needs to file

I will get that over to you tomorrow

John: Get it to Jan and he’ll get it to me

John: You served the papers to the judges and you served that filing through the clerk?

Crystal: Yes

John: Do they have any idea what those papers were?

Crystal: They got a stamp

John: This is important now this is part of the record they cannot remove it from the record

Do the judges have any idea of the ramifications of the papers that you filed?

Crystal: I have no idea they may and they may not at this point

I got another date for the 15th

They put me on there for the 29th of April

People are watching my case like you wouldn’t believe. Different ones want to do an interview.