National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

January 15, 2018

Call-In Number: [515-604-9386](tel:(515)%20604-9386), access code 419303#

**- 9 PM-Midnight EDT -**Join National Liberty Alliance's Open Forum and weekly news and updates on NLA's advancements in the courts every Monday night. **Call-in number (515) 604-9386 access code 419303#**, PRESS \*6 TO MUTE/UNMUTE, then 1 to get into the que. If your cell provider blocks or charges for the call you can call our **back-up number (781) 838-3728.**

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 22 : 1 – 14

(9:30)

We have the Campaign for Constitutional Sheriffs

Please give $5/month or more in order to get that project moving

We need about $40,000 to get all of these booklets printed up and sent out to the sheriffs

If we don’t educate our sheriffs then we don’t have good constitutional protectors

That’s the sheriff’s job to protect and defend the Constitution

To make sure that we are not abused by our government.

If we have constitutional sheriffs that understand their job and understand the Constitution they will make sure that no federal agency or state agency come into their territory come into their county without first coming to see them if they want to exercise some kind of a warrant or something It’s the sheriff’s duty to make sure that that is a lawful warrant To make sure that there is a sworn affidavit and the signature of a judge To make sure that due process goes forward

The sheriff will and will always if he understands his job he will prevent the IRS from coming in and seizing anything from the people

Because they never come with the proper paperwork

And the reason that they don’t come with the proper paperwork is because they can’t

They can’t take control through due process

So they have to go around it a nonjudicial process

Sheriffs will stop the IRS liens

Sheriffs will stop the IRS arrests

Sheriffs will stop the SWAT teams from breaking down our doors in the middle of the night and terrorizing us and our families and shooting our dog

Sheriffs will and can stop that when they understand and know the Constitution

Nonjudicial tax foreclosures Nonjudicial mortgage foreclosures will become a thing of the past once the county sheriff understands the law of the land

And they can never come to take your home away through due process because they don’t have the authority to do it They cannot take your property for taxes They cannot take your property for mortgage foreclosure in a legal way

That’s why they have to do nonjudicial foreclosures

The sheriff can stop this

The most important project is for the People to take control of their courts

Ultimately it is up to the sheriff to bring people before the grand jury

It’s up to the sheriff to call the grand jury

They puppetize the jury

Every jury is tainted

Sometimes we do get justice from our juries because they are able to see beyond it

But all too often they are not able to see beyond the restrictions that have been put upon them

They don’t know that they have the power of nullification

We must take control of our nation

It starts in the judiciary

That’s the place where they can destroy you

They have been destroying We the People for over fifty years in what they think is their judiciary

We need to take control of our political process

We need to get constitutionalists elected into office

People who know the Constitution

We need people who know what oath they are taking and then do it

We need an education

You need to take the course we offer at National Liberty Alliance

You need to take the Constitutional Course

You need to take the Civics Course

And then you need the higher education

(16:20)

New York Safe Act Lawsuit

We started this about a week ago

Anybody interested in getting into this here in New York State at the end of this month they’re coming to take your guns.

If you do not register with the state here in New York State they’re coming for your guns eventually

If you don’t get it done then you’re on their hit list and they’re coming to your house

They’re going to be knocking on your doors collecting your guns

Are we going to allow these tyrants to come into our homes and take our guns?

We need to get this into the courts

And we got the papers already written For anybody who wants to read the papers

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org highlight the “News” Underneath the “News” it’s three down “New York Safe Act Lawsuit” Read this and get involved

Anybody can get involved in this lawsuit Anyone from New York You don’t have to be an owner of a weapon You have to preserve your right If you haven’t taken up a weapon yet and you want to take up a weapon next year you’re going to have a problem if we don’t preserve our rights today.

The paper is written You can get up here and read it

We’re also going to be doing an injunction It’s also in the paperwork

There will be a few more changes before we are ready to file

Hopefully we will be ready to file very very soon depending upon accumulating money to go forward

We’re asking anybody who signs in as a plaintiff to give $50 in order to cover our costs.

We are suing for $50,000 damages for every plaintiff

So if you want to become a plaintiff and get the $50,000 in damages that We the People deserve then you need to get into this case

Anybody who signs in as a plaintiff has the opportunity potentially of receiving a monetary restoration of $50,000

Download the affidavit We have an affidavit written up

It’s a two pager We also have some instructions

Fill out that paper and get the affidavit sworn to in front of a notary and get it into the mail

There will be a point in time when we won’t be able to add people to this filing

We will be filing soon

Read through the paperwork

We also ask that people give us a donation to National Liberty Alliance from their $50,000

We do plan on winning this case

We ask people to give us 10 percent, $5,000

You don’t have to If you don’t sign that then you’re not committing

If you do sign then you are committing to donating 10 percent which is $5,000 of the $50,000

Then you would be on your honor to get that to National Liberty Alliance

You would not be held to that until you receive your monetary damages.

(21:36)

We need to sue the judiciary

We need to move forward

We need to sue the federal judiciary

We want to get the paperwork written up pretty quickly and then we could file it

We need money to file it

QUESTIONS

(22:27)

Question 1: Does NLA have any specific forms or document that we can use to rescind tickets and citations ?

We can teach people how to do this themselves by taking the courses

We do have a book we put together on our website on how to access the court

The best course to take is the Jurisdictionary Course

Click on the button on the right hand side of our website

Take that Jurisdictionary Course They’ll teach you everything you need to know

How to write papers, courses of action, what a summons is , all the required documents you need in order to file , process and procedure of filing papers, and give you instruction on how to write a paper , what is the necessary information to put in there, how it’s structured

That joined together with understanding common law

Anybody taking our Civics Course will get a common law introduction and education there.

It’s quite a long course 120 hours of audio and video

And then people can come in and ask questions on our Monday Night program

Then we can tighten up what you learned from Jurisdictionary and what you learned from common law.

As far as forms that you can file with the court John would love to do that but we would be putting ourselves in jeopardy A lot of people in that position have been put in jail for teaching things That’s a problem

They go after people who are teaching these things

That’s why we haven’t put those things out

We give instructions on how to get court access

The only course that we support that cost money which is $250 we didn’t write that course We didn’t put that course together That was done by a lawyer It’s a very good course

He gives you all the necessary information that you need to file papers

Everyone should take the Jurisdictionary Course

Question 2: How can we handle the invitation to appear at court for citations?

(28:51)

The best way to do it is to go to court and say that you’re here by special appearance.

The moment that they talk about citations and summons , you object to the whole process.

There are two ways to deal with it but only one way to beat it.

One way that you can deal with it if it’s a traffic violation is to pay the $200 or whatever it is and go home. That’s one way to deal with it. You’re not going to win it You can’t argue in that court You will never win an argument in that court

Six months later you will still be coming to that court trying to get out of it

You’re going to get beat up

There’s only one way to win it Make a federal case out of it

They have no jurisdiction over you They have no authority over you They are not giving you due process

That’s what you sue them for You sue the judge and the prosecutor for not giving you due process Violating your right of due process They don’t have the jurisdiction over you

And then you build a case around that.

That requires knowledge of how to fill out the papers file the papers and defend yourself in court

Our papers that we have filed in the court you can use We got memorandums Nine memorandums are being filed in the New York case.

All of them have it’s own specialty

All of it is done in the memorandum so all you have to do is put the conclusion to that memorandum into your paperwork and refer to the memorandum in support of that conclusion.

Keep things simple and clean in your paperwork in your action

Most cases are five, six , or seven pages

You can change things in the memorandums Add things

A lot of paperwork is already prepared for you in memorandums

They have to answer everything

If you make your action a sworn statement which means that they got to answer every line in that paper They got to refute you every line

And if you’re standing on righteousness then they can’t refute it

People need to get involved People need to study

In these traffic court situations there was a very good method of getting out of court without pleading and without giving them jurisdiction It was David “Let’s Get Out of Jail Free”

He says things like “I need time for assistance from council” in such a way that the judge will never refuse it He thinks that you are going to come back with a lawyer

You’re not You’re going to put a paper in It buys you thirty, or sixty, or 90 days.

When you use the wording that he has, he has never known it to fail.

They always want you to come in with a lawyer

He is going to assume that you are going to get a lawyer and he is going to let you go.

That gives you time

You have to have confidence with your education.

Education with confidence will probably take the average person six months

Learn to defend yourself you need to prepare now

We need to teach our children

At some point in the future we will be doing a campaign to educate our children.

We can’t do that now because we have other things we need to do first

(36:47)

Question 3: Please ask John to explain the simple basics of moving a case for unlawful imprisonment , gun confiscation, moving violation and so forth from an administrative court to a federal court keeping in mind the following acquiring a docket number, filing papers, notice of removal, summons, forms and anything else.

That’s an entire education right there.

John would love to teach that. Right now time does not give him the ability.

Once he starts teaching those things then he becomes a target.

That’s why we have never really taken that step.

There have been a lot of people who have gone to jail and got arrested that were teaching.

They eventually get out of prison but John doesn’t want to be there.

NLA gives all the tools to answer everything that was asked in this question.

Everything that was asked in that question is available at NationalLibertyAlliance.org

Start with the Constitutional Course. You can get it done in six to eight hours

Then go to the Civics Course It’s 120 hours It’s videos and audios and questions.

It’s a long course but when you get to the end you have a good understanding of what’s going on and how we got to where we’re at

You learn a lot about common law

You learn American History

Then from there you go to a higher education

Just go to our “Education” tab

We got so much stuff That’s your higher education Just read it

Read, read, read, read , read

Read to understand

If I understand something then I will never forget it

Once I understand it then I don’t forget it

You need to get an education

Jurisdictionary is a must have

Once you’ve taken the Jurisdictionary Course, hopefully you’ve taken the Common Law Course first, that’s inside the Civics Course, you can take that after, it doesn’t matter, at some point you need to correct what you’ve just learned in some areas. We’re not under statutes. We’re under the Law of the Land. There’s a difference between the two

Statutory process is in Jurisdictionary

That works itself out

And then we are here to help you

We also have Brent Winters with us and maybe he will be able to answer it for us

People need to become educated

Get that Jurisdictionary Course

It will cost you $250 no matter where you get it But if you click on our button on our website then our code is there and we get credit for that and we will get a $50 donation out of that $250 for sending you there

It’s a donation to us by taking the course.

They don’t want the people to know how to come in and get into the courts

They don’t want you to know how to fill out the paperwork

They want lawyers so that they can work deals out in the backroom

We need to know how to get into the courts

Lawyers are told what they need to do and if they get out of control they are taken to the backroom and they will be told what to do and they will either obey or they will go to jail or lose their license

(52:45)

ANNOUNCEMENT

You have already mentioned how we are trying to get John and Gerard onto more radio programs think about where you see interviews talk radio Are they talking about anything that is pertinent to what we are doing? If so contact Jan at National Liberty Alliance.

We will soon have an e-mail set up for Jim who will be handling that.

That will be [jim@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:jim@nationallibertyalliance.org)

It is not set up yet

Until then just send it to Jan and he will see that Jim gets it

Radio programs that support liberty

Those are the programs John and Gerard want to get on to

Get the information to Jan until we get Jim set up

We need to get this message out to more people

Jim talked about his new project:

If you stumble across something just highlight the bar up top the website or youtube video just send it off and we will make a determination and maybe they will want to talk to us. That’s what we’re looking for

Send that to [jim@nationallibetyalliance.org](mailto:jim@nationallibetyalliance.org)

But until that e-mail is activated send it to Jan

Jan just talked to Karl and Karl has already set it up

So you can send it to [jim@nationallibetyalliance.org](mailto:jim@nationallibetyalliance.org)

Next week we are going to make an announcement for pulling the people together as a grand jury.

You don’t have to be a member of National Liberty Alliance to come to this grand jury meeting and cast your vote as a grand jurist. The only requirement is that you’re one of the People.

Hopefully next Monday we will be announcing that.

We’re going to be considering indictments for the LaVoy Finicum assassination.

That will be first and foremost

We’re also going to consider indictments for the Bundy case and all the players involved in there

The judge and all those people

And also the Wildlife Preserve that the Bundys were involved with

Indictments for all of that

These three cases and then the Hammonds would be the fourth

Four cases that are different parts of one

This whole thing is one case

LaVoy Finicum, the Wildlife Preserve, the Bundys , the Hammonds all of that is one case.

We would like to be able to handle all of that in one shot

We will be identifying the target individuals that need to be considered for indictments and we will give one or two or three sentences of information about that person, how they played their role in that crime, and then we’re going to ask for an indictment one person at a time as we go through this whole process

We do ask everyone to go out to our webpage NationalLibertyAlliance.org highlight “Grand Jury”, click on “Docket” and get as much information as you can that you can read and learn about these cases at the bottom of the page right before the video you will find “Grand Jury Criminal Investigation Evidence Reports”

There you will find the LaVoy Finicum assassination Read the report and watch videos

We have the forensic report The FBI Forensic Report

We got all kinds of stuff here

Try to get yourself as familiar as possible

We will list the violations and ask for an indictment

We are just asking if they deserve a trial jury that will determine their innocence or guilt

Right underneath the LaVoy Finicum assassination you will see the Bundy Ranch information. The trial transcript is huge It’s 4,000 pages Read the Bundy Ranch investigation which is a PDF first. We got a whole bunch of videos below that The last is the Wildlife Preserve and the Hammonds case.

Familiarize yourself the best as you can.

Be ready to come together for a vote for potential indictment for all of these individuals

There are potentially 30 people up for indictment

We’re going to go one name at a time

Read the charges. And people will vote

Then we will go on to the next name

It will take possibly an hour or two at the most

We hopefully will announce that next Monday

We will let the people know the day and the time to come together to consider these indictments.

(1:01:54)

ROBERT GAVE THE WESTERN REPORT:

We know that this last case was dismissed in Nevada. Now Judge Navarro is considering possibly actually trying the last two people. They have another case coming up after this one. It includes the last two Bundy boys. She is actually considering trying the case.

Even though all this stuff has come up and they had to dismiss this one.

Another item is a member of the Committee of Safety in Grant County that’s Sheriff Glenn Palmer’s county has asked that we put one of their commissioners on the list for indictment. What they’re telling me is that he is the one that went to the FBI and pushed them to place the roadblock where they put it out of Grant County because he didn’t trust Sheriff Glenn Palmer to make arrests on the Bundys if they were allowed to come into Grant County.

I put an Information together on this person. I’m putting it together now.

In the road block there was an investigation done on that and they found one FBI agent that had lied to the investigators and so they brought him up on charges This court is in Portland, here in Oregon I just found out that the defense attorney is calling for a dismissal based on the fact that the only evidence prosecution has is an ill put together computer program and that it’s worthless and that the judge should dismiss the case. I see this as an opportunity for prosecution to allow that agent to go free. I think maybe we should consider being a friend of the court and submit our analysis to that prosecutor of the shooting.

Give that prosecutor something that he has to go on.

Are they talking about the video analysis that that guy Peter put together?

I don’t think that they’re talking about that.

I’m not sure what the prosecutor has.

When I look at what the defense attorney says it looks like it’s something totally different.

I don’t know if the prosecution has the information from Peter.

Maybe we need to make sure that he does?

What case is this prosecutor prosecuting?

This is from LaVoy Finicum

Has there been an indictment on this?

One FBI agent was indicted

He’s going through the courts in Portland at the federal courthouse

I just see the possibility of collusion between the defense and prosecution

We can pass our information over to him

If we would pass some information on to him then he would have no excuse to agree with the defense attorney He’d have something that he would have to use

It could be something as simple as come to our website and come to the page on the “Docket” underneath the “Grand Jury” towards the bottom and go on to “LaVoy Finicum”

We got it broken into two ways We got video and we have it in PDF

The PDF has a lot of videos inside it that are clickable

You can download the PDF onto your computer and you open that video up you click on the link and it will open up the video for that particular section that is being discussed

It’s very well done

And then of course we have LaVoy Finicum bearing witness on himself in a two and a half to three hour video that we put together

All of his videos that he put together right up until just two days before his death or maybe a day or two before his death. We have right up to that point

He lays out ultimately what he is doing

It really clearly defines the man

The point that Robert is making is that this prosecutor is probably colluding with the defense to save the FBI agent so he’s not going to do any research on that.

In the LaVoy shooting in that tape they show Shooter Number 1 , 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

Gerard is hesitant to put down the name You know how it goes when you make a mistake when you put down a name in a case

So what Gerard was planning on doing is calling them Shooter Number 1, Shooter Number 2, as per Peter’s video

Gerard will not put a name

We will call them Shooter Number 1, etc. as according to this tape

They’re labeled on the tape

The government knows who they are

How many bullet holes did LaVoy suffer?

Anywhere from three to six

It was definitely more than three and could have been more than six

This man that they have indicted is being accused of doing the shooting shooting the truck, he’s the one that put the bullet hole through the roof.

Could we get a copy of the indictment?

Why would they indict just one guy?

If we get a copy of this indictment that would be very interesting to look at.

They just wanted a scapegoat to appease the people

It also came out that they actually cleared brush and made firing lanes

That looks like premeditated murder

Every officer out there is guilty of murder whether they pulled the trigger or they were just there. Aiding and abetting. And they didn’t do anything to stop it

Robert will try to get that indictment

(1:14:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

His website is commonlawyer.com

Brent’s comments are not necessarily those of NLA

There are some things that only the government can do and if you end up doing them then you are the government One of those things is national defense

There are some things that only government can do

Number one: War

Number Two: Government is to provide justice

Government is to provide the buildings, the places where you can go to court

Making available a forum, a place where you can go and drag your neighbor into court if you have to if he wronged you

Brent got a call from a fellow in Georgia. He said that if the judge didn’t rule according to the law in his case that he was going to arrest the judge on the spot

It’s a citizen’s arrest I got to do it.

Brent said, “You know what’s going to happen if you do that”

“The bailiff is going to tackle you and you may bust your head or knock out your teeth when you go down which sometimes can happen if you hit the table He’s going to tackle you and then you’re going to get handcuffed and then they’re going to drag you off to jail and it’s going to get ugly.”

He said, “I have authority to do that as a citizen”

Brent said, “Yes you have authority to do a citizen’s arrest. Yes, you’re right, a citizen can only arrest a person for a felony, generally speaking. A common law felony and not a misdemeanor. But he has to do it in the act of committing the felony or right after But there’s one thing that mitigates that”

He said, “What’s that?”  
Brent said, “Under no circumstances can you ever in a common law country like ours or a common law state like Georgia you can’t arrest a judge while he’s setting on the bench It’s against the law”

By the time he gets off the bench then you can’t do a citizen’s arrest lawfully

Is it possible for a judge to commit a felony by ruling against the law ?

When can you arrest a judge?

What can you do to these judges?

How can we get judges off the bench that are doing unlawful things?

Can you sue a judge?

You can sue a judge if the judge leaves the judicial role, Acts outside the scope of the judicial authority. That judicial authority is very wide.

It’s hard to sue a judge for leaving his judicial role

“How to Read a Book”  is a 1940 book by Mortimer Adler.

First you just read through it quickly and relaxed

Just enjoy it Get a feel for it

And then when you’re done you go back you read it again and you read it to understand it.

Get the facts. And then the third time you read it you try to read it for application.

“Is this anything that I can use?”

Reading is important but it’s not as important as listening.

There are four liberal arts: Reading , Writing, Listening, and Speaking

Paragraph 19 of our Declaration of ’76:

It says “King George the Third has imposed taxes upon us without our consent.”

Our common law has always forbidden levying of taxes without legislative advice and consent The phrase “advice and consent” is ancient in our common law.

Common law requires government to follow a certain process in order to tax the people.

This process is due and owing from government it includes obtaining the taxpayer’s consent.

Our Constitution says that it is the supreme law of the land.

Our Constitution of the United States is called the supreme law of the land

Our Founders called it that

That phrase they lifted from Magna Carta

In the day of Magna Carta that phrase law of the land was their phrase the Anglo Saxon and Anglo Dane phrase for due process

They didn’t say due process They said law of the land

We stress in America because we are a common law country it is not important this or that law what’s to be done not what to be done but how it is to be done.

We are process oriented We are not result oriented in a common law country

The ends does not justify the means

The means justifies the end in our country

The law of the land is a reaffirmation of that

Our Constitution does not stress what is to be done It stresses how it is to be done

The “how” question is the big question in common law

Our Constitution is about the process that government is supposed to follow not the process that we are supposed to follow

The only remedy for lawlessness is true law

(2:01:19)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Eric

Brent was saying that we need to bring back the Ten Commandments

They’re going to say Why don’t you instead of that the people that are in power and such the people who have been brainwashed which is the majority of us they’re going to say “Why don’t you bring in something from FDR’s wife or Lady Bird Johnson about civil rights. “ This way if you bring in civil rights and this is meant to usurp Christian principles in the first place “Why don’t you bring in something about civil rights because that won’t offend nonChristians here in America? We should assimilate to them as opposed to them assimilating to us” When does it become too late or when might it be too late for us to act ie Patrick Henry time if after trying to form our Committees of Safety and to get anyone to listen across the country that will listen when does it become too late for us to act? When are we too beaten down for us to be able to do something?

Should we then not take up our arms and be prepared to fight not just our enemies but those who side with our enemies?

If we follow due process by trying to form Committees of Safety when do we see when it might be too late to act?

It’s never too late We are at war now We’re fighting the battle of the pen.

Give me liberty or give me death I don’t want to die I take my opportunity now to fight on paper There was a situation in Oregon where they formed a Committee of Safety with the Bundys where the people got together and amassed in order to stop the actual action of government that was blatantly taking their freedom life , liberty, and property.

When you carry a gun there are certain rules about when you can shoot

That’s when someone is in the act of committing a felony

Our forefathers lit brushfires in the minds of men.

You have no business starting a revolution if you can’t win a war you have no business starting it

You start it on paper You start it on the street corner You start speaking out

That’s the first shot

And they’re being shot right now

We’re at war

You just have to get into the battle

Every case is it’s own case

Every case has it’s own merits

The battle never stops Liberty requires vigilance

Part of that vigilance is whatever it takes to maintain it and preserve it

The Declaration of ’76 is a forgotten document

It gives us an outline of men to act It provides a four part test to recognize when one should no longer tolerate government abuse They’re right in the Declaration of ‘76

As long as the courts are open then we seek redress in the courts

These are four tests in our Declaration of ’76 whereby we may know that it’s time to stop toleration of government:

“But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.”

In that paragraph there are four things mentioned here:

1. if in hindsight the abuses and usurpations form a long train, one after another, that’s the first part of the test

All four parts of this test must be present before men are justified in acting to stop government abuse.

1. This train must be headed for absolute despotism
2. This train must show no wandering from it’s heading

This train does not wander from it’s course

1. This train must show a design to reduce the people under absolute despotism

Caller 2: California Fred

(2:19:00)

Apparently what they are doing they are sending people to private prisons

Not only that the IRS is hiring subcontractors private individuals operating like IRS agents Then we have the court system itself hiring attorneys to defend people

Where are these contracts? How can we get access to them?

Why do these contracts not spell out that they defend us under the Constitution?

Where is our Seventh Amendment so that we can take them down?

They’re privatizing everything

In some cases it is legal but in many cases it’s not

Can we contract our government away? That’s up to the people

With federal offenders a lawyer or law firm will get a contract to defend all these people in federal court. This contract is at the pleasure of the judge If you don’t please the judge then you are going to lose your contract. We’re talking about hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars these lawyers get

(2:28:17)

Caller 3: Felicia Florida

Can you file a Writ of Error in a nonjudicial foreclosure case on a local level before filing a federal case?

Is there a statute of limitation to file a nonjudicial foreclosure in any case in the state of Florida?

Have you seen the trailer for “Gray State” that’s not going to come out because something happened? It’s about martial law

It’s not coming out. Too much truth. He and his wife and his daughter are deceased.

Do you have to file in the state court before you go to the federal court?

You can file a Writ of Error in any case.

If the judge makes an error then you file a Writ of Error

They can’t tell you that you have to do it in the state court first

It’s a good idea to let the judge know what his writ of error is

A Writ of Error is a Writ of Error on that judge

How can you go into a federal court and file a Writ of Error?

You can file it with a Writ of Error from the state court local court up in the federal court because he violated a constitutionally protected right and he’s liable for collateral damage

You don’t have to keep appealing it

When they violate a constitutional right then the only court that can answer that is a federal court because it is a conflict for the state court The state court can’t give you remedy unless they are going to give you what you want

They’re the ones you’re going against and the place for that is in the federal court

I don’t think you will ever get the state court to give you a ruling in your favor

They can’t do it against you because they got a conflict

How’s he going to rule or give you remedy when they’re the ones doing the harm

When it comes to constitutional violations like that there is no statute of limitations

They violated an unalienable right That’s a serious charge

If you’re going to go into the federal court then you have to go for cause

They want to see what your cause is

They like statutes

In a nonjudicial foreclosure you got to put down what the harm was what the cause was and then they’ll take it up

Are you going to appeal to the tyrant that beat you?

(2:46:10)

Caller 4: Marva from Georgia

She received a traffic ticket It was a false claim

She went to court on Monday and was told to come back on Tuesday

She did not get a note for having appeared

The D A was trying to allege that she had not been there

She wanted to have it on paper as proof

She went out of there but when she realized that she did not have a note she went back to get the note and there was no one downstairs at the window of the clerk They said you have to get it from the clerk inside the court

She was in the hallway A bailiff came He was going inside the court She told him what she needed he said to wait he came back out and told her that she wasn’t supposed to be there He alleged that the judge ordered her to be out of the courthouse which that didn’t happen He grabbed her arm and put her in handcuffs He pushed her downstairs He was holding her arm He was taking her downstairs on the elevator They got downstairs to the security guard The security guard wanted to know what was going on She explained that she just wanted to get a note saying that she was in court the day before and that day They said to not worry about it and maybe they would let her go and she could leave.

The guy comes back and he grabs her arm and he was trying to find someone to go with him.

To take her across the street he said. He actually takes her before a magistrate He initially alleged disorderly conduct He started creating stories

The charge was disorderly conduct resisting an officer and trespass with a $1,500 bond.

She is scheduled to be in court on Thursday While she was in jail, which she was in jail until Sunday morning, while she was in jail, the next day after the night she was taken for a 96 hour hearing That particular hearing there was a judge which I had gone to court the one that was in the Superior Court now and I stood up and moved for a continuance. He held her in contempt and no bond. She moved for him to recuse himself He refused

She identified herself and said that she wished to secure her own counsel

He wanted her to sign paperwork She did so reserving her rights UCC 1 -308 without prejudice UDPC under duress coercion

He assigned a court appointed attorney She objected

Nothing happened the next day

The next day the Senior District Court Judge called her over to the court She was taken over at the court The court appointed attorney was sitting in a room and wanted to talk to her first

She had printed out the different charges as well as charges from the Superior Court that she had also been appointed as the court appointed attorney for that matter

She basically was telling the caller why they charges were valid

She wanted the caller’s personal information

She was going to make a recommendation for an eval

Caller told her: “I, woman, Marva, and you, woman, Vicky, I will hold you liable for any injury, damage , or harm to me. I fire you.”

We went into the courtroom before the Senior District Court Judge

Basically the same thing happened

I said that I fired her and he said that I could not fire her

I told him I would secure my own counsel

He wanted her again to sign the paperwork She signed the paperwork the same way

He stated that he wanted her to sign her full name

He said that if she don’t sign her whole name he would hold her in contempt 30 days.

He said that if I sign my name the way he wanted me to sign my name then he would withdraw the contempt charge

She again stated that she wished to secure her own counsel and that she had fired the court appointed attorney

He said that I was not firing her but that he was withdrawing her

He then instructed the court appointed attorney and the bailiff to take her up to the third floor to the Superior Court in front of Judge Talley and to instruct her that I had been before the judge and him and she failed to follow instructions to sign my name

She went to the Superior Court and said that she had fired the court appointed attorney

Judge Talley told her to hush

She asked the court appointed attorney why the caller was there because she had been there earlier in the week and the case was continued

The attorney began to talk and the caller objected She does not have first hand information and caller had fired her

The judge again told her to hush and be quiet She objected

She was telling the judge what the charges were

The judge asked her if she wanted to withdraw

She stated that she did

She asked if caller had any objections to her withdrawing

Caller said that she had fired her

She said to hush and just answer the question

She restated the question and the caller said no

She turned to the DA and asked the D A “We’ve already scheduled this into February, correct?” He said, “Correct”

Caller moved for consideration into March or April.

The D A had no problem with that and it was granted.

There were a whole lot of unlawful things there

Is it reasonable to ask for a note for appearing in court?

Do you want to fight or do you want to win?

You’re in their court where they have the guns.

This all stems from a traffic charge

How much time and energy do you have to fight these people?

You’re at war with these people over a traffic ticket

They’re putting you in jail for 2 days? 30 days?

Their whole thing is to break you

They don’t like you

Your points are well taken

They don’t want to hear it

You’re fighting them in this nisi prius court that has no jurisdiction over you

They have you in custody You can’t win

They’re handcuffing you They’re throwing you in jail

If you don’t have 500 people out the door rooting for you then you’re not going to win

That’s the facts of the matter

These guys are in control

I would never make a fight in front of the judge

What you should have done is you should have made your fight in paperwork

When you see that they are resisting you then you have to let it go

They’re going to put you in jail They don’t care

They’re going to teach you a lesson

Before you know it you’re sitting in jail for six months

You can fight each little thing but you’re fighting them in their court where they’re in control and they’re not going to give you remedy

So you have to take it into a federal court

And now you got three judges involved

You got police officers You got clerks You have this whole battle going against you

It’s not like you have a bunch of people showing up to defend you

You’re going through all of this drama for what?

First of all, you should be out of that court.

You should be fighting it on paper

When you go in there then they got control over you

It’s like going into a den of lions

You declare the court you make the case you take it out of that court because they can’t give you remedy and you bring it into the federal court

You’re not standing in front of the judge making this fight, you’re making it on paper

You got them to release you until March or April, that gives you all that time to put paperwork in. If you put enough paperwork in then they may kick the case out just to get rid of you

This thing all stemmed over a traffic infraction

It’s ballooned to where they’re going to get you for criminal charges

Before you know it they’re going to sentence you to ten years prison if they can.

This is happening to a whole lot of people

We know that they are crooked

As far as everything that has happened that is illegal, you put it down in an affidavit a sworn statement and make a record of it

You’re going to have to take it to another court

You got all these separate little incidences that happened afterwards

Those are all separate incidences You are going to be writing a lot of paperwork for a lot of people

It’s different people violating your rights at different times and different places

Each one is a separate case

You’re going to be tied up for ten years

Make an affidavit

Maybe put it on the original person who caused the whole thing

When you first went there they didn’t give you redress

You should write it down and get it notarized as an affidavit

You can file complaints on judges

If you think the judge was out of hand

It’s hard to sue a judge

Was he operating in his judicial role or not?

Unless you got a big following they’re not going to listen to you

Yes you can file a lot of paperwork but you’re going to be very busy for a long time

I don’t know what your original ticket was for but for about $100 you could have avoided this whole scenario

Pick your battles