National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

July 3, 2017

Opening Song: no opening song

Topic: untitled

Call-In Number: 605-475-3250 Participant Code: 449389

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 12: 22-37

QUESTIONS

Question 1:  In paper 1023-03 Information - President,    Abraham Lincoln is quoted regarding perverting  the Constitution.  Does anyone have  an opinion on the book "The Real Lincoln" by Thomas DiLorenz?

He was an enigma It is hard to figure out who this guy was. On the first hand there are all kind of problems with this guy. But then on the other side of things he rejected the banks offer And he was the one that realized hey we’re the government we can print our own money And he did the greenbacks. So he cast out the bankers. And of course he was killed for that. That’s why they killed him Because he figured out the truth about money. John has the book on Lincoln. He started reading it but he hasn’t had time to get back to it because there is so much other good reading that he has to do.

And so John hasn’t got back to it. John doesn’t know how valid the book is. He doesn’t want to deny what is in the book either. He seems to be an enigma. The quote was a very good comment about Lincoln and that is why NLA used it in the paper.

Question 2: I must agree with you That judges are taking our cases and placing them in unknown jurisdictions. They refuse to operate an Article 3 court. I think that as you communicate with President Trump he needs to know that the swamp includes the judiciary. Just a suggestion. The compensation for the judges comes from Article 3 therefore they have been paid for a long time of the jurisdiction that they refuse to recognize any more. I think that because of that their compensation should stop. If they are not going to be an Article 3 court then they should not be paid. If they are not an Article 3 court they should be put in jail. If you want to take over this country there is one way to do it take over the judiciary.

Taking over the money is a form of taking over the country. But you have to control the judiciary.

You can have control of the money You can have control of the President You can have control of both houses of congress You can have control of a whole bunch of things but if you don’t control the judiciary then they are all going to go to jail for subversion. Unfortunately they do control the judiciary. They have really captured the entire federal

judiciary.

Our next paper is going to make note to the President concerning the judicial swamp that needs to be drained.

Question 3: While in custody of the sheriff    does a defendant have to appear in court for the bail to be set?

I imagine that if the bail is being set and they are in the custody of the sheriff that they are being held and if there is any kind of hearing of course the individual it’s obvious they need to be there The case is about them It’s their unalienable right to hear what they are being charged with

Bail is abused It has probably been abused for over two hundred years.

With the exception of outright murder or subversion the people do not have to prove that they are not going to run in order for bail to be set and to be let go and come back to court for the hearing and the proper judicial process. They don’t have to prove that they’re not going to run. It’s got to be proven that they will run.

Or that they have a history of running.

The law is written in such a way that if you can’t prove that this person should not have bail then they should be let loose.

The gentleman out in Montana, for instance, he came back and forth to court every time for everything And for the appeal they wouldn’t let him loose.

He should have been let loose during the appeal. Why not?

He’s already proven the fact that he would come.

He’s got roots in the community. His home, his wife, his family.

He’s already proven himself

And a man doesn’t have to prove himself Someone’s got to show good reason why this person would run to escape the justice.

Even in jail when someone is held for arraignment for the decision they decided that this person likely committed this crime maybe they got caught dead in the act or something and they felt a certain concern for the community for some reason or another they could control that person with today we have a lot of electronics they could control that person while they are going through trial Most cases are not necessarily violent. Many cases are not violation of law to begin with. But those cases that are where there is an injured party

and they have to now pay restitution and if there is a fear or evidence that the person is going to take off and not pay the restitution again they can control that by knowing their location by again putting some kind of electronic ring on their leg and they’d be permitted to go to work and do certain things and maybe be controlled by not going out after a certain time at night. But if they are leaving the city it can be known.

All of that could be worked out.

(13:15)

Robert has some announcements that he would like to make.

Robert wants to remind everyone that we have calls during the week for various different committees.

There was an increase in the number who attended the call for courtroom observers.

Come on in and learn what a courtroom observer is and what their influence can be.

On Thursday night at 8:00 PM Eastern time we have Natural Healing. We need more people attending that call. We can all stand to cut our health care costs. If we learn Natural Healing we can take a lot of costs out of our health care. Come on in and learn.

At 9:00 PM Eastern time right after the Natural Healing call we have the Courtroom Observers. We’re having very good training talks going on. People are learning More should come in. Everyone who is signed up to form committees in their counties should come on in and learn, learn how to do it.

If we have justice in our courts then our political process would be just.

There is a whole government inside the government it’s a dark government it’s an underground government You have people in very high places that have no idea that there’s this massive amount of income and the government inside of the government it’s all over the world They sit up at this table the CFR is one of them

Now a couple of weeks ago they showed a news article with Donald Trump on a chemtrail plane with all the tanks and stuff and he said we’re going to get rid of this We’re going to defund it.

The article said it could take time to get rid of it and defund it.

The reason it could take time and they were inferring this is that they don’t have control of the funds.

It is absolutely illegal unlawful and unconstitutional but they are very powerful because they are very rich.

And they kill people

In order for him to get anything done he has to have a team of people that are going to go after this dark government that is very well funded And they have technology.

They are so arrogant and so evil.

They are doing stuff beyond your imagination.

There’s no place they won’t go and nothing they won’t do.

It’s a very evil system You can’t just go in there and disassemble it.

They have so much more money.

They’re going to be hard to stop.

The knowledge is out there and it’s not going to go away

If we had real grand juries and not puppet grand juries

We could close down the fed We could put all those people in jail

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’ website is commonlawyer.com

(27:32)

The Midnight Ride of Paul Revere

The poem begins:

“Listen, my children, and you shall hear

Of the midnight ride of Paul Revere,

On the eighteenth of April, in Seventy-Five:

Hardly a man is now alive

Who remembers that famous day and year.

He said to his friend, ‘If the British march

By land or sea from the town to-night,

Hang a lantern aloft in the belfry-arch

Of the North-Church-tower, as a signal-light,--

One if by land, and two if by sea;

And I on the opposite shore will be,

Ready to ride and spread the alarm

Through every Middlesex village and farm,

For the country-folk to be up and to arm.’ ”

The poem ends with the following:

“You know the rest. In the books you have read,

How the British Regulars fired and fled,--

How the farmers gave them ball for ball,

From behind each fence and farmyard-wall,

Chasing the red-coats down the lane,

Then crossing the fields to emerge again

Under the trees at the turn of the road,

And only pausing to fire and load.

So through the night rode Paul Revere;

And so through the night went his cry of alarm

To every Middlesex village and farm,--

A cry of defiance, and not of fear,

A voice in the darkness, a knock at the door,

And a word that shall echo forevermore!

For, borne on the night-wind of the Past,

Through all our history, to the last,

In the hour of darkness and peril and need,

The people will waken and listen to hear

The hurrying hoof-beats of that steed,

And the midnight message of Paul Revere.”

(33:26)

There is one thing about the Law of the Evil Empire, the Law of the City, and that is it’s main feature and it is this that you get rid of the past you don’t talk about it any more

because people that are anchored in the past as we in our common law country are even our common law is anchored in the past it always looks backward before it looks forward. It looks back and says what have the courts done in cases like this with similar facts?

It does us good to have the Fourth of July

It is instinctive to look back and say who are we?

The Fourth of July is important

The Declaration of ’76 is important

It is important to take time off and not forget why we’re doing what we’re doing and why we’re celebrating the Fourth of July

(39:24)

I think we left off in the Declaration of ’76 in paragraph 2

“ But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.--Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.”

The Declaration of ’76 is not a call to arms

There does come a point when enough is enough

It says: “to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government,’

 A right is a duty Right is from an Old Germanic Anglo Saxon word that means duty.

It means jurisdiction also. Jurisdiction means duty

These folks back in the colonies when they went to war against the British crown and it is called the American Revolution but it was in no sense a revolution. It wasn’t vengeance for the past. That’s not what folk were interested in doing They were interested in the future.

To provide new guards new safeguards for their future security.

And that’s what they ended up doing. What they wanted was their common law government.

And their common law rights which are their duties the volk reicht as the Anglo Saxons called it the volk, the people, the folk right , duty It is the folk’s duty the volk reicht. That’s what they wanted back And they said so

They wanted to re establish that and they did and they put in new guards with their common law that England had never come to put in place

Habeas corpus was a guard for protection against false imprisonment.

The key to all of it is the separation and the disbursement of power throughout the people of our country

Each one of the states is sovereign

The legislative branch is split into two branches

There is co equal powers

It is important to all of us that we not end up doing what the ancient Israelites did and celebrate all of the things that God said to celebrate but didn’t have a clue why they were doing it.

But they just loved to get together and have fun.

It is important to have fun but there must be a time of solemnity where we stop and say, “Thank you Lord God of Heaven Maker of Heaven and Earth and all that in them is because we have nothing that we have not received from above.’

Brent concluded

(50:50)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Gretta from Michigan

I have a case in Genesee County court system probate

She spent 4 ½ months incarcerated

The judge said civil contempt of court indefinite incarceration

There is so much corruption

Caller has the paperwork to back up everything that she says

She has all the documentation

This judge is rogue She allowed them to accuse her of a heinous crime

Zero evidence

Caller has been licensed since 2010 to carry a weapon

There is a bench warrant out against her

That court doesn’t have the power to fine or incarcerate.

Only a court of record can do that.

You should write an affidavit and tell your story

Send it to NLA and we will get it into the case

Caller said that she did

So far nobody has challenged that court system.

She’s rogue nobody stops her

The only thing necessary for a prima fascia case is an affidavit.

When you bring that in you bring in the basic information

We’ll get it into the case

At some point in time all of these affidavits need to be responded to by the prosecutors

More information will be gathered We can call a grand jury with potential indictments

This will take some time

Hopefully by putting your affidavit into this court concerning that issue you can then refer back to that to let them know that you have already reported this to the grand jury and it is in a federal case because of the uncontrollable judiciary

You can’t accuse somebody of stabbing somebody with zero evidence and taint the jury

Make sure that you have a friend or somebody that can contact NLA should you be arrested again.

Give us any information concerning court cases

Get into our courtroom observers

She was in jail for 4 ½ months for civil contempt of court

They said that there was overcrowding

They told her that she had to come back

Normally contempt is six months

and if you don’t comply in six months you’re released

Because the purpose of civil contempt is not punishment

The purpose of civil contempt is to get compliance.

They put her in with two heinous criminals.

One chopped her granddaughter into pieces. That was my cell mate.

My other cellmate shot her husband twice

They are both in prison

Those were my cellmates.

Brent heard of a famous case that is about fifteen years old now.

The judge in the United States said You are the one who caused this trigger to happen You are the problem He jailed him for contempt You are jailed for indefinitely but not forever

He eventually got out.

Six months is the norm

Eighteen months is the absolute outside

Caller said that her husband who passed away His niece sued the caller

The first time that she sued the caller she said that the caller’s marriage was not legal

Caller’s attorney brought in information that it was legal and the case was closed

The second time the niece sued her the niece said that maybe the marriage was legal but the caller’s husband didn’t have a clue what he was doing.

Caller’s attorney brought in eight validated documented statements affidavits from eight different professional entities The judge denied her case and closed the case

The third time she sued me she came back with a different attorney This attorney blew a kiss to the judge and they were very familiar with one another. This time they accused the caller of undue influence. They said she forced her husband into marrying her.

It was a farce

Moments before the jury was to go and deliberate they held up a three foot by three foot poster They suggested that I had stabbed my husband three times in the shoulder and grotesquely bruised his groin.

Caller was thinking How come my attorney is not defending me?

The judge points her finger at me and tells me to shut up

They tried to suggest that I had money hidden somewhere

I didn’t criminally obtain money

Her husband did not have stab wounds

He passed away in the hospital They would have had to call the police if there were stab wounds.

They suggested this to taint the jury against caller

And it worked quite well

Caller is 56 years old

She is not a gold digger She always continued to work

Caller wants help

John said that probate courts are the worse courts because they are dealing with money

It is corrupt

There is no end to their corruption

They have no feeling or concern of guilt

Caller has all of the documentation of her innocence.

Get your affidavit into NLA and we will get it into the court

At some point in time this injustice must be dealt with

Caller 2: Michael from New York

(1:08:33)

Michael lost his debit card and got a new one.

Michael is trying to donate at the NLA website

The numbers on his card will not work

Is there a problem at NLA or is it his new card?

John was unaware of any problems John inquired if Karl was on the call tonight?

Try again tomorrow

If not then get ahold of Jan

Then we will see what Karl can do from our side

Try calling your bank and see if there is a problem there

Caller 3: Cynthia from Arizona

(1:11:33)

She called a couple of weeks ago about her administrative process and lack of due process.

She was reading the Constitution last night. The people have to wake up

They don’t teach history and civics in school any more

Executive orders go through and they don’t have the power to put these executive order through.

Congress is asleep

The people aren’t complaining.

Caller feels like she is living in a fishbowl because everyone thinks she is a nut.

Caller is going to start buying houses

There are a lot of nonjudicial foreclosures

John inquired if caller was dealing with a nonjudicial foreclosure problem

No the caller is not dealing with a nonjudicial foreclosure but she is going to start buying houses.

Caller is waiting on the administrative process that she sent in.

Caller is dealing with a problem and she can’t even work

She is going through the appeal process

They sent her a letter but it was sent to the wrong address

So she had to send in an appeal two years later

She had a business a home care agency in Ohio

The employees sign off on the documentation when they go in a home

If they don’t go into a home and they still sign the documentation and caller bills it then that is considered Medicare Medicaid fraud

Once you participate with the Department of Labor they have an administrative process that you have now signed up into and you have to go through it and it is as unjust as anything else out there.

But if you don’t sign up and you don’t agree to the administrative process of that type they have no control or tie over you and you can immediately go into a state court.

Caller has been blackballed

She was deprived of judicial due process because she did not get the letter.

They sent the letter to the wrong address.

She did the appeal process

You are not going to win in administrative court

You could sue them because they can’t take away your ability to work

You have an unalienable right to work

They can’t take that away from you at least not forever.

You can take them to court and sue them but you have to sue them on an individual basis.

You got to find a lawyer that will actually do it the right way.

The only other option is to educate yourself.

That takes time

Caller doesn’t trust lawyers They are all in it together

Write an affidavit and get it to NLA and we will get it into the case

We are only dealing with people who are in danger of going to jail or they are in jail and maybe a few other things

We are taking affidavits for a lot of different things

Caller had a company she had an agency a home care agency

She was the CEO she had people who would go out and take care of people in their home

She was the CEO of the company so she had to take the fall for it

She paid all the money back $8,000 plus $2,500 for an attorney

She still has her license but she is blackballed

This is a situation where you have to sue them directly

You are going to have to get educated

You will have to get real serious to get an understanding on how the court system works.

It is unfortunate because it is already biased against you to go into a court that is already biased against you already.

It’s not right and I’m going to fight them

Write an affidavit and NLA will look at it.

You have an unalienable right to work

The criminal process did not see it that they should take your license

You have to learn the process and how the court system works

You have to understand the paperwork and how the paperwork works

Caller feels that she can do this on her own

She knows how you do things in court

She knows how to do paperwork

She has her masters in social work

She feels called to do this

Caller 4 Fred from California

(1:37:20)

On Infowars they had a Red Pill Expo last weekend but they aired it with Millie Weaver on Tuesday or Wednesday last week. They had a guy by the name of Lord Munchkin and he was making comments about the FBI guy that lied to the investigators about the LaVoy shooting. He says they’re going after the Bureau of Land Management if they don’t restore Mrs Finicum’s rights to graze cattle. According to Lord Munchkin he made some comments to someone in the Trump administration

Donald Trump is very much aware of a lot that is going on out there.

Even before he was elected he was visiting and sending others out there.

We’ve been sharing our paperwork with President Trump

There is a video article at the Red Pill Expo

Lord Munchkin is very popular in England and he is a Trump supporter.

Lord Munchkin felt he had some inside track with the Trump administration and he was giving the Bureau of Land Management notice that unless they changed their ways that very soon they would be set upon by the Department of Justice and people would go to jail.

Did you manage to get anything accomplished and what’s the next shoe to drop in the case?

Which case?

The one that you have filed

We did a Writ of Error that was very powerful

There is nothing that John can see or find anywhere except for that they do this from time to time when a judge refuses to do the wrong thing he’s got stuck in a pickle and he doesn’t want to do the wrong thing then they’ll send in the fixer judge the guy that will do anything.

Where is this guy coming out of left field to make a decision like this ?

We made it clear that this is a court of record to be decided by juries

We got lots and lots of cases in here

And this guy is just going to come up and make a broad statement

And his whole statement was the fact that we were not lawyers

We made it clear we’re not prosecuting this case

We have no intentions of prosecuting this case

If he would have read the papers he would have known.

We did a Writ of Error John is confident that that Writ of Error will stand

We will be filing papers this week and next week.

We got some nonjudicial foreclosures that we will be filing

We are also trying to move forward on getting all of these habeas corpuses that were ignored to do Show Causes on all of these judges about 30 of them

And they need to answer by what authority

They need to respond to their rejection of due process in a court of law for these individuals that they put in jail.

We got a lot going there on that. And a lot more that we are going to be filing

If you read the papers and go through it there is no way that they can throw this case out.

We also sent a paper to the President concerning some of this stuff and a copy to a few Congressmen, the Judiciary Committee, and of course Mr Trump.

This case has been open for six to eight months and then he comes in and says you need to be lawyers we laid it all out in the beginning if they had any objections to us not being lawyers that should have been the first thing out of their mouths when we put the case in and they didn’t Silence is acquiescence We gave them the law of the case We laid it all out what we were doing and if they had any objections that was the time to object

You can’t come back eight months later and say we’re throwing your whole case out because you’re not a lawyer.

It shows bad form on their part

They’re looking for anything to get rid of us

We also made the point that this was going to be brought before the grand jury and we are discussing this now Should we go forward and bring a grand jury together and do an indictment ? Look to get an indictment And then if we get an indictment put it in the court case. We know that they are not going to move on it at least not right now

We need to do a Show Cause to the magistrate that is running this case the administrator of this court

This magistrate has done nothing and is doing nothing because he doesn’t know what to do and he is frightened to go either way.

We’re not coming in as lawyers We’re coming in as the People

We’re not coming in as the prosecutor We’re coming in as the People making the accusation and making sure that a court of law a court of justice is going to take place

Caller 5 Williams from Missouri

(1:51:27)

Caller has a friend that has documents that will help people to have status.

We would have to see the documents

If it is documents of status the only thing in this world that gives you status is the beating heart of a human being. We got involved in this very early until we discovered we couldn’t get anywhere. People talk about status and standing and filing papers in the county and doing all of these different things but all that was really doing was empowering the unrighteous code that they claim is law therefore we were only really empowering the people The fact of the matter is that We the People were created in the image of God We have unalienable rights So all we need to be is one: a human being with a beating heart in other words alive. And able to speak That gives you standing That gives you status

Get the information that he has and send it to Jan@nationallibertyalliance.org

Caller 6 Colpepper

(1:55:20)

Is NLA familiar with a case in the southern district in 1985?

In RE grand jury application decided by Judge Broderick

“It shall be the duty of each such grand jury impaneled within any judicial district [special grand juries impanelled pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3331] to inquire into offenses against the criminal laws of the United States alleged to have been committed within that district. Such alleged offenses may be brought to the attention of the grand jury by the court or by any attorney appearing on behalf of the United States for the presentation of evidence. Any such attorney receiving information concerning such an alleged offense from any other person shall, if requested by such other person, inform the grand jury of such alleged offense, the identity of such other person, and such attorney's action or recommendation.”

You are dealing with a puppet system

You’re not going to get any justice out of it

NLA does not participate in the man on the land stuff. That just empowers them

We know that the jury is a farce But most people don’t know this

Most people don’t understand that these grand juries have ulterior motives

You can’t get justice in the courts

Our end game was to get to where we are right now

To get into the courts to build up a membership of people who have a basic understanding of what we’re doing participation from every state of the Union so you have credibility trying to educate people having meetings on Monday to keep things active getting committees going all of this was in order to get to the point where we have credibility to file a court case which we did in December 2016

We filed this case and we have been pouring papers into it since then

We’re going after judges in California, Texas , Montana

The whole case is being brought into one place

We’re proving and showing subversion against the United States of America by enemies both foreign and domestic

These judges are subverts they need to be removed from office

They need to go to jail

NLA is looking to get prosecutors

We’re going after Jeff Sessions the Attorney General

It is incumbent on him to send down a couple of hundred prosecutors

We’ve got so much to put in to this court case So many violations going across every state of this Union by these people who are subverting our Constitution subverting our nation subverting the People

NLA does not quote any court cases that are made by federal judges

We may quote good decisions made by the United States Supreme Court

All these other courts are irrelevant

Be careful of what you’re doing because they will go after you criminally if you push too hard to get contact with the jury. If you push too hard it may not be worth it because they are controlled.

Two members of our court watchers group were assaulted in the courthouse and charged .

Look what happened in the Bundy case when the lawyer stood up

The lawyer wanted to see the papers Show me the warrant

They went after this guy right there in the courtroom

They tazed him The judge done nothing

Turned out that they had no paperwork They had nothing

They did a documentary called America Rising

That was a major media company That was very unusual

Every now and then someone gets through to the grand jury but it is very unusual

It’s dangerous

That’s their inner circle there

People are so naïve and so brainwashed they don’t understand that the prosecutor and judge have alternative agendas which is to steal everything that is not nailed down

Caller 7 North Carolina Marge

(2:18:23)

She was reading the paper under “docket” 1024 Unlawful order to dismiss

It’s four pages All it kept saying was this jury foreman he is not a lawyer and it is about the $400 Can you explain what that paper is about?

The $400 that we demanded back That was mentioned in the decision the judge tried to make. She’s talking about the order to dismiss the case and we did respond We did a Writ of Error 1024-01

1024 and 1024-01 on our “docket” page

We addressed that Basically what we did we gave the clerk a Show Cause She is not supposed to be charging for justice And she defaulted on it They never answered

We gave it to the court that she should not be charging the people that come in to report crimes

and they defaulted When this judge came in he tried to throw our case out for not being attorneys and he wanted to throw the case out against the clerk

He let this sit there in default and that we’ve been in there all these months and nobody ever said we weren’t attorneys We told them we weren’t attorneys We told them that we were the People coming in Within six months of that somebody should have said something and they didn’t Under law silence is acquiescence This guy is coming in like they just figured out that we are not attorneys We told you that in the first three lines of our paperwork. If that was a problem then they should never have let us file then.

If we had to be attorneys to come in and put the case in they should have kicked it out at the front desk. And if they didn’t kick it out at the front desk then by the time it got in front of the judge He should have read the paperwork And he should have known well long before now We spent pages and pages telling him who we are and by what authority we’re coming in and how we’re operating It was no mystery We weren’t hiding it.

We laid it right out front.

Their silence was consent.

(2:22:30)

We gave them a Writ of Error and we went after the judge

He needs to answer that.

The judge that is on our case, the magistrate, who they assigned us, we have two papers that he needs to sign within the next couple days it will be thirty days

And when he doesn’t do that we’re going to send paperwork to him to Show Cause why he didn’t sign it. They can’t leave that stuff sit and not answer it.

When we sent him a Show Cause and ask him why he is not doing his job and even that other judge that came into the case and wasn’t even on the case

Our original judge didn’t take leave of court you don’t just switch a judge that’s in the middle of the stream like that and don’t talk to anybody

He said we were asked to look at this

Who asked you to look at it? That is an ex parte communication

If the other side asked him the governors and everybody else that we sent paperwork asked him to look at it it should have been put into a motion so that we could respond to it.

If they put in a motion that says we want this dismissed because of such and such we have to have the opportunity to say no you can’t give them that motion because the judge has no authority They didn’t give us that opportunity What he did by his words he admitted that he accepted they call it a letter motion This is something that these judges and attorneys are trying to get through because they want to keep stuff off the record.

If you send the judge a letter he is supposed to sanction you for contacting him outside of the court without the other side knowing it’s called ex parte

He basically condemned himself by his words He is not following any procedures or anything else He’s outside the law

At some point we may give the chief judge some paperwork to straighten his employees out.

As far as what’s going on in policies and procedures there.

He’s got a magistrate that is so quiet it is deafening.

And then you have some judge that comes in that has no authority and the other judge doesn’t take leave of court and makes all these rulings and throws the case out based on what: because we’re not attorneys.

They play games as long as you let them play games.

The Writ of Error is on record We sent it into the case They can’t throw it out It has to go on record If you read that Writ of Error it’s pretty powerful.

And if they don’t answer that Writ of Error that’s not going to look good.

We sent a Writ of Error and we also sent a letter to the President requesting that he watch this case and send us prosecutors

We’re waiting for their response It hasn’t been two weeks since we sent it in

Paper 1024 is the Unlawful Order to Dismiss

It is the last two papers in the “docket”

* [1024-01 Writ of Error Final](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1024-01%20Writ%20of%20Error%20%20Final.pdf)
* [President Elect Trump Letter](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/President%20Elect%20Trump%20Letter.pdf)

We may send in two more

It would be nice to know if they are going to respond before we send something in

They don’t like to respond because every time they respond they get a black eye.

Indicting is the next step especially on this judge and the only way they are going to forestall that is if he comes around and changes it and apologizes and reverses the order and does the right thing. They may not do that.

Caller inquired about Rod Class , Private Attorney General.

NLA has had conversations with him

NLA was going to work with him He got into some trouble and they arrested him

He got out of jail and he started teaching his classes again

NLA would team up with him if we thought it would be good

(2:36:11)

Caller 8: Harley from Vermont

Harley got hold of the affidavit just yesterday he realized that it was in his mailbox.

The lady who called earlier

There is some serious gang stalking going on here

It looked like the relative of the husband the niece they were laying for her

and the fact that she worked in a court think about that in the continuation in her current situation which alarms me is that she’s got the same lawyer from the niece calling to tell her that he’s got a way to check in the court he’s found out that she’s got this warrant and she’s been we heard her talk about running around checking to see if this really exists some kind of a warrant it’s a private deal in their own private club on the heels of that he says all you have to do is sign over to me your father’s house that you own with your brother so there is still essentially fruit on her tree that’s why they are trying to come after her and unceremoniously throw her in jail.

If they had a warrant on her they would exercise it

Possibly it is all smoke and mirrors It could be a lie

The lawyer of the niece is going over and stealing things from said house of the dead father.

She wants to leave and they told her she couldn’t leave because there is a warrant

If he doesn’t have anything in writing then she should just leave.

If they execute a shady warrant then it proves that they are part of the conspiracy.

They probably belong to some secret societies together

There probably isn’t a warrant against her

If they scare her into leaving or they scare her into bullying her brother into helping her sign everything over to the lawyers

the lawyers have gotten between everybody who asset stripped her several times in a row have gotten about seven hundred grand

She was a soft target She’s not a highly educated woman

She was a sitting duck

They’re setting her up She should talk to her sheriff about pillaging going on and pressure about her father’s estate.

She’s got a two page basic affidavit It makes good sense

A couple of grammatical things need corrected

She’s on the right track if she’s got her affidavit in.

NLA will pursue it to see if we can help her

She shouldn’t stay around there if she’s got a safe place to go.

Every time she opens her mouth in court they accuse her of contempt

They pushed it to be criminal contempt when it was in civil probate court over assets

Her lawyer went in and converted it from criminal to civil contempt

It is all done completely unlawfully to get her out of the picture so that they can go about asset stripping.

She’s got one asset left and that’s the father’s house.

The brother is on it too

The brother should be filing a protection order with the sheriff

This lawyer has come and stolen things

The lawyer wants her to sign over things so that he will make this warrant go away

The long haul version is to get it into our big case

She needs to be proactive

Maybe she should go to the ACLU

They tried to fine her lawyer four hundred grand

The only one that stood up for her got fined by the court

He got fined four hundred grand. He immediately dropped her

(2:44:11)

Caller 9: 5680

Fred was talking about Lord Munchkin

It is really Lord Monckton

They’re a different culture than us

In their country the title gives him credibility

A lot of times it is a birthright

In the 1930s when he called himself Lord he was more respected.

The woman who has the administrative court problem about her job Has she seen USC 5 section 559? It is about administrative procedures. It says in the notes that statutes cannot derogate the Constitution. It says if it’s unconstitutional it doesn’t apply.

In the 1990s Schulz and his We the People Foundation organization argued unsuccessfully based on the First Amendment right of the people to petition the government for a redress of grievances. They presented their finding and their remedies and they put it all in a book. It was documented. Anybody who wasn’t involved in it doesn’t know about it. Back then YouTube and Social Media weren’t like it is today

If he did that today and we put it on YouTube and social media he might have had better results.

They did a blackout on him. Nobody ever heard about it.

Donald Trump has done a lot in his first hundred days

Like the wicked witch said to Dorothy in the Wizard of Oz “These things have to be done carefully”

They have chips in all of the electronics to turn off whatever they need to turn off

Jan asked Gerard

Someone asked if there is an easy way to increase their monthly donation which are automatic at the website?

Can it be done for a specific day for the automatic withdrawal?

We should get ahold of Karl

You can stop your monthly donation There is a spot to click to stop your monthly donation.

It is probably just as easy to stop your monthly donation and then re-do it at the new amount.

It may start up on the day that you sign up to do it.

So you can control the day it is taken out that way.

If you call Karl he would have more information