National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

December 19, 2016

Opening Song: Go Tell It On The Mountain

Topic: Open Forum

Call-In Number: 712-770-4160 Participant Code: 385698

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Matthew 1: 18-25 John 1 : 1-18

(7:20)

NLA did file the Redress of Grievances in the Federal District Court

NLA filed them on December 14

NLA hasn’t served the papers yet

They should be going out this week

They should receive the papers right after Christmas

You can find a lot of the papers that NLA has written at nationallibertyalliance.org , highlight “Grand Jury”, then click on “docket”

or you can go to nationallibertyalliance.org/docket

NLA will do some YouTube videos to get the word out

The list of papers filed include:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PAPERS FILED** |  |
| * [1001 Summons](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1001%20Summons.pdf) * [1003 Statement of Jurisdiction](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1003%20Statement%20of%20Jurisdiction.pdf) * [1004 Table of Contents](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1004%20Table%20of%20Contents.pdf) * [1005 List of Defendants](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1005%20List%20of%20Defendants.pdf) * [1006 Congress Redress of grievance](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1006%20Congress%20Redress%20of%20grievance.pdf) * [1007 Supreme Court Redress of grievance](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1007%20Supreme%20Court%20Redress%20of%20grievance.pdf) * [1008 Governors Redress of grievance](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1008%20Governors%20Redress%20of%20grievance.pdf) * [1009 President Redress of grievance](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1009%20President%20Redress%20of%20grievance.pdf) * [1010 Memorandum Jurisdiction](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1010%20Memorandum%20Jurisdiction.pdf) * [1011 Memorandum Jury Tampering & Stacking](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1011%20Memorandum%20Jury%20Tampering%20&%20Stacking.pdf) * [1012 Information Martial Law](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1012%20Information%20Martial%20Law.pdf) * [1013 Memorandum Article III Courts](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1013%20Memorandum%20Article%20III%20Courts.pdf) * [1014 Memorandum Founding Documents](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1014%20Memorandum%20Founding%20Documents.pdf) * [1015 Memorandum of Facts](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1015%20Memorandum%20of%20Facts.pdf) * [1016 Memorandum Grand Jury Authority](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1016%20Memorandum%20Grand%20Jury%20Authority.pdf) * [President Elect Trump Letter](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/President%20Elect%20Trump%20Letter.pdf) |  |

Robert reported that the letter to President Elect Trump has not reached him yet.

Reading all of these papers is an education

That coupled with NLA’s Constitution Course and Civics Course should give you a good understanding of law and the Constitution.

Today the big problem in the court that we have to fight is jurisdiction and due process.

Once we get to the other side of things then we don’t need to worry about those two particular situations because we should be back into the jurisdiction that we want and we will be given due process

(13:48)

Jan read the e-mail QUESTIONS:

Question 1:

Last week on the Courtroom Observer call someone said that New York clerks have a clause that says they can refuse to file whatever documents they want to. Is that true?  
John never heard of such a thing and it would be against the Rules of the Court. It would be against the law. Maybe the person who asked this question can get a copy of it so that NLA can look at it.

Question 2

Could you please explain the difference between freedom and liberty?

Freedom is the ability to walk around and do things and make decisions.

The freedom to do what you please.

Liberty has to do with unalienable rights.

Your unalienable right of free speech.

The unalienable right of property.

Unalienable rights give us the right to travel and you can’t hinder that.

You can’t restrict that.

Liberty is your decision to do what you wish or decide to do what you want as long as you don’t offend or injure another.

Due process is a right of liberty.

Due process is the bases of liberty. You have to have due process in order to protect liberty.

Question 3

Could you please explain the difference between a monetary collapse and an economic collapse?

(16:56)

An economic collapse is basically when you have an economy problem and you have economic collapse within a country. In other words you have a depression or you have a recession.

A monetary collapse is a collapse of the dollar itself.

We have federal notes which is just debt.

Question 4

In legal contemplation please explain the importance of having proof of claim and fiduciary authority of a house and accompanying property especially where it concerns the state stealing homes from We the People without holding either.

Any time something happens and they want to take property from you and they claim it’s theirs or they’re trying to sue you and take money, there has to be proof of that. There has to be affidavits and some proof of a contract. When the federal government acts upon a lien through the IRS , which the IRS is not really the federal government, when the IRS comes to take your property they do what is called a process in REM. That is the law of the sea. It is exercised at sea where a nation may hold a right to a particular piece of property for whatever reason out at sea and they will claim a right to that property. Usually in REM means at some point they had some prior right to that property. In piracy, for instance, it become the prize for the nation if some nation at sea was to discover piracy and they would take the ship and bring it back to the port and they confiscate that they have a right to the prize and it is a process of what is called in REM. They didn’t have to file the paperwork when they dock it and take the process in REM . They take fiduciary authority over the product or process and they also take proof of claim over it. Those are the two documents that need to be filed in the court. The local governments , when they seize a house, usually a town is involved and they are in cahoots with the county and the county creates their own law they don’t have the authority to create law but they do create statutes or codes and they call them law. You will find in county codes they talk about taking property away for taxes in a process called in REM. In REM means that in this particular case that they have the fiduciary authority over that property. That means that they had some hold on that ownership for some reason or another. They can’t prove that. That is why they never filed the necessary forms. The two forms that are filed are Form 56 and 4490.

One is fiduciary and one is proof of claim.

The other thing that they have to file is proof of claim .

Those are the two things that makes a seizure in REM OK to do.

What they are really saying is claiming ownership of your property.

People don’t understand that.

You have to challenge that they don’t have these two papers.

One is fiduciary authority and the proof of claim.

They don’t have any of those two things.

(24:56)

Question 5: Is there anyone in the group that is knowledgeable about common law liens?

This person has one and would like someone to take a look at it.

John would like a definition of what a common law lien is.

The only lien, if you want to put it under common law, would be one that you go to court and you win in the courts and in the Wherefore clause it clearly lays out what you are going after and if it is a value in dollars you can now go seize property. A lien is given out, you place a lien onto the property that you are going to seize eventually. And you go into the process to bring it into the court so that you can take the property as payment for what is owed to you for breaking a contract

Mechanics lien is the only lien you can do prior to going to court.

You could put a mechanics lien on a piece of property , you can go into court, make a sworn affidavit concerning the fact that make a point of the fact that you had done work there and hadn’t been paid and that you had materials on the property and you make the lien to protect your right. You can’t exercise that lien to take that property away what you are doing is reserving a place in that property a lien on that property until this problem is satisfied. Liens last eight or nine months. Then you have to renew them again. There is a limitation on how many times you can renew it.

(27:36)

Question 6:

In the December 12, 2016 letter to President Elect Donald Trump at the bottom of page 1  it states:

“NLA’s goal is to establish a jury administration of four people in each of Americas 3,133 counties for the grand and trial jury administration for the orientation, advising and the assisting of the writing of indictments and informations.”      Should the words “common law”  and “presentment” have been included?  Would it have been more informative if it had read:  “NLA’s goal is to establish a jury administration of four people in each of Americas 3,133 counties for the ***common law*** grand jury and trial jury administrations for the orientation, advising and the assisting of the writing of ***presentments***, indictments, and informations.”

We did leave out the word “presentments” but that is still part of the process of the juries anyway. We could have put the words “common law” in there. We do it with the Grand Jury, the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury. We mainly do that so that they don’t come out after us claiming to be something that we are not which would be statutory inside their process.

So we put the line in the sand right at the beginning.

Once the courts are brought back into the proper process in the law under the law of the land then every grand jury and every trial jury must be a common law grand or trial jury. There is no other grand jury or trial jury possible to exist in a common law court. A common law court is one under the law of the land. We didn’t have to get redundant there, so we didn’t. But we were redundant in the fact that this was a common law court in the sense that we made known that it was a court of record operating under the rules of the common law. We said that numerous times throughout our papers.

That concluded the e-mail questions.

(30:08)

Robert requested that Ollie give an update on Joe Robertson.

Ollie reported concerning Joe Robertson:

Late Saturday night or early Saturday morning, Lindsey got a packet from Joe. Hadn’t heard from him for two weeks.

It was the rest of the papers in the original legal package.

Out of that we got two very important things.

We got the signed medical power of attorney for Carrie, Joe’s wife, and Lindsey.

(32:05)

With that they are getting the medical records all ready from the VA

Also he got a signed form for Mr. Bailey and Mr Smith to receive psychological, medical, and disciplinary records which we are going to mail another letter and put more pressure on regarding health care.

Also there were some letters in there, they were hard to read, his eyesight is getting worse, it made the statement that Mr. Bailey and Mr Smith’s letter made one impact cause that is when they gave him the rest of the stuff. This was dated a week, two weeks ago.

This package is unsealed. There is a note in there to please seal this it wasn’t sealed.

We are investigating that.

Lindsey will make an affidavit on that.

Joe is without commissary, outside phone calls, for 90 days.

He is isolated. However he is getting word out through friends.

And they are gladly doing it so that they can get their word out too.

We are also giving hope to other prisoners.

We are making progress.

Robert added that you also have the mainstream media jumping in on this with both feet, too.

Robert added at this time he is not getting his medications.

Ollie concluded (41:15)

John opened up the Q & A

Caller 1: Mike from New York

The question about the filing of the county clerk was brought up by Mike

The county clerk would not file an affidavit with an exemption form that was a county form.

Regarding exemption of taxes.

She looked at it and gave it to the attorney and came back and said , “I am not filing it.”

Caller asked, “Why aren’t you going to file this.” and the clerk said, “Because it is fraud.”

Caller replied, “How can it be fraud. It is an affidavit of fact and besides that it is your form.”

She said, “I don’t know and I don’t care. I’m just not going to file it.”

It was paperwork that was to be used in two other court cases for my friend.

She wouldn’t file them. And we wanted to have them on file so that we could use the Liber Numbers.

You wouldn’t need a liber number for that. That would not be the proper way to do that anyway.

They don’t have the authority to refuse to file but they have been doing that across the nation everywhere.

Caller 2: Doug from Colorado

(48:00)

Most of us have heard by now that Sheriff Joe Arpaio from Arizona came out a few days ago with the results of his five year investigation into Obama’s birth certificate.

The chief investigator said that they were exposing it and they did a press conference on it.

Their next step was turning it over to federal authorities.

Would this be something that NLA should take ahold of?

John replied that we might get involved in something like that a little later.

The first order of business is seeing how friendly or unfriendly this new administration is.

Hopefully he will have a meeting with NLA

We have given him a lot of papers and a lot of information.

He is not an uneducated man.

All the President has to do to help solve the problems of this nation is that he needs the vehicle to make it work. And that is where National Liberty Alliance comes into create that vehicle which is the People through Grand Juries across the nation properly orientated in the common law. That is all that is necessary. That is here. That’s available.

He could push it hard and return this nation back to the law of the land again.

(51:05)

His federal agencies will be as lawful as he is.

If they are unlawful then he is unlawful.

If they are lawful then he is lawful.

People need to become educated. That is the key to success.

Caller 3 Terry from New Jersey

What is the next step in this process especially in regard to habeas corpuses are you going to subpoena the violators like judges and prosecutors?

Everything that we have been doing in the past had a purpose.

Habeas corpuses had a purpose

Any paper that we filed , they all had a purpose to lead to this point to enter into a court case.

We always knew that it would be hard to get into court.

We filed a Redress of Grievances against all three branches of government.

And we brought in the governors so they can see and understand what’s going on.

We copied them the papers that we are doing here.

What prevented states from doing anything? The 17th Amendment.

We want to give the power back to the states.

In regard to Habeas Corpuses , we went all the way to filing a Contempt of Court with Opportunity to Amend.

That is where most of these habeas corpuses sit.

They haven’t seen our next step which is filing Show Cause By What Authority they think they had to refuse due process to the People.

This habeas corpus is just a statutory process of our right to due process.

We have an unalienable right to due process.

They don’t have the right to deny a habeas corpus

If you deny habeas corpus then you are denying due process

Caller 4 Jeremiah from California

If a municipality is not created by the legislature but instead is created by a charter would that mean that those agencies that come out, they are still state agencies because they have to have the arm of the state still, but then is that why there is an administrator procedure check list before you can get judicial review? Is it because these municipalities that are under the charter , not the ones created by legislature, are actually administrative agencies as arms of the state?

When you go into the courts and deal with these things and go after these municipalities because John remembers going into court and the first thing the guy on the other side said , it was the New York state attorney general, and one of his representatives, and told the judge that we didn’t get permission and John told him that we don’t need permission, we are the People, we are coming in under a different process under common law and so on and so forth.

The judge let that go. He made it look like he was on our side.

He did all his dirty deeds later on after we left the court. But he didn’t do anything in public dirty and bad.

(1:02:53)

He was friendly toward us in the courtroom.

He made a decision outside when we all went home and left and he made some decisions and threw everything out.

The municipality part is a corporation and has nothing to do with common law.

Our government does not belong to be a corporation.

It’s a trust. It should have a charter.

It’s a trust with a charter and belongs to the People.

Caller said the local communities can get together and form a chartered city or county at their own expense.

Then let the state know that they did that.

That is the power of the People.

Caller was just reading that the APA failed to define the term “court”

(1:04:33)

The Administrative Procedures Act

Every court out there is acting as an administrative court

None of them are acting as courts of justice

They are really courts of statutes

If the court has the name “criminal” in front of it then it is not a court of law.

It has nothing to do with common law.

It has no power to fine or incarcerate

That is what the Supreme Court says.

The people don’t know it and they are not educated.

Or they believe what they are told. Reality is the way one perceives it.

Reality to them is the fantasy and they don’t know it.

They have been robbed of their education

Educate the people and let them know what’s going on.

Caller 5 David from Missouri

This is not meant to be divisive. Caller is new to NLA

There are several other groups or organizations that claim to have the same goal of reincorporating or reinstituting common law grand juries.

One of the biggest detractors to NLA is Anna Von Reitz, making accusations that NLA’s efforts for common law grand jury are doomed to fail

This is not meant to be divisive.

Caller wishes that all these groups could come together.

NLA has been around a lot longer than they have as far as dealing with grand juries.

Anna has connections where she shouldn’t be involved.

She came out of left field from Canada.

She had some sort of way to get her name out there where it took NLA years to get recognition.

She gained name recognition very quickly.

She hooked up with a group of people that want to form a shadow government or quasi government.

Anna is a self appointed judge.

When they asked us to participate with them they were asking us to participate in subversion against the United States of America

There is nothing wrong with the government that we have

The problem is with the people running our government

Not everyone in government is a tyrant a lot of them are just ignorant people

NLA agrees with Bill Thornton to educate people.

NLA has been filing papers in the court and they have been rejecting them.

We have been filing Informations and Mandamuses commanding them to obey the law.

Revealing subversion against the United States of America.

We spent a year filing papers in all 94 federal courts.

Every elected official on the federal level and on the state level have copies of our papers.

Also the Supreme Court, they have a copy.

The governors have a copy, they’re aware of what’s going on.

None of them have anything to say.

They had a duty to speak and they didn’t.

NLA spent a couple of years working through the United States taking out clippings for press releases in every single county in America. In about 3,000 counties we have taken out news clippings , press releases , announcing the fact that we were bringing back common law grand juries. It was unanimous across the board. Every once in a while you get a troublemaker.

It was unanimous across the nation.

We visited every county in the nation. Sometimes we visited five or six or seven counties out of one county. We went out to the people. We got name recognition.

We were building up our membership.

Now we are hitting the 6,000 mark.

Our ratings went up and up and up

We have tens of thousands of new people visiting our site every month.

We have name recognition

We are organized in every state.

In every single state we formed a Unified state common law grand jury

We have county organizers, we have state co ordinators , we have national co ordinators

We have a structure

National Liberty Alliance is all about facilitating and education, organizing , and communicating for the People.

To build the common law grand juries, to build the administrations for the common law grand juries, at the grassroots level.

You have to go through an education. NLA set up that education

NLA is writing a book on how things work and give people an understanding of what they have to do, on how things work and how to orientate the jury

Pressure is what is going to win us, especially when we get the big push with enough people behind us and we hit critical mass.

We are approaching it very closely.

We think we now have a friend in the White House.

Hopefully he will be working with us.

This is our government. We want it back.

Gerard added that the first time we read Anna Von Reitz’s stuff, she had some good stuff.

She has some valid stuff.

NLA has quoted her.

Just like the devil wraps truth with lies.

She gives too much credibility to the fiction.

We are saying that that fiction is fraud We understand the Judiciary Act and what it did.

This is our system. Those are our courts.

Anna Von Reitz is giving the fraud credibility.

NLA is saying No that was a fraud when you did it it’s still a fraud.

All we need to do is to implement the things that are already there.

(1:26:00)

John added that it took awhile to constitute all fifty states.

It was to get people busy and get people educated and get people involved in order to build membership You got to have the people behind you.

John learned that when anybody gets something good going on then the enemy comes in and infiltrates and they take over and they turn it into different directions

Every American institution has been corrupted that way including our government.

We are just facilitators at NLA

(1:38:38)

Caller 6: Connecticut Crystal

Her house has been paid for

She filed for bankruptcy

The credit union put on there wrong address.

Caller accused them of mortgage fraud

Caller sent NLA the transcript

Regarding another person the marshal changed the paperwork on a Saturday and put the people out of their house.

The attorneys are the debt collectors and they are the ones making the laws.

They need to start answering our paperwork

If they took your property for tax sale and sold it at an auction It’s under $10,000 You had a right to the difference You have 30 days you can claim it. The county and the government is not supposed to be in the property business

You owe them taxes Taxes are supposed to be so that they can run themselves.

So they take your property and they sell it They suck all the equity out and put it in their pockets because they illegally convert the deed into their own name before they sell it and they keep the whole thing.

This is extortion All kinds of fraud going on Illegal conversion No due process

We just have to get it back to the fundamental principles and not allow them to do that.

Things will straighten out when you have the right rule in there.

You want to keep this focused on two things

That they have violated your unalienable right of due process by not giving you due process when taking your property they changed the name of the title of the property without my authority where is the due process? I had no time to face my accusers. Also they did this in jurisdictions unknown.

(1:52:30)

Caller 7 Curtis from Virginia

The real government came to an end after they assassinated Lincoln

He wasn’t able to reinstate it

That is the fiction

That is if you accept the fiction

If you accept the fiction as reality then you accept it as reality

They didn’t have the power and authority to do any of the things that they have done

It is all null and void

It can be nullified by We the People

It will only go on until we get critical mass

(2:12:30)

Caller 8: Jeremiah from California

Habeas Corpus seems to be a federal thing

Because it is due process

It is really called collateral proceedings

Collateral proceeding is another word for habeas corpus

It is legalese

Lawyers like to label things differently but it has a similar application

The committees of safety with the common law grand jury foundational documents can trump any type of provision that may be used against them just on the basis of language alone.

Let’s just look at use of words and rules.

What is the impact of security for these groups that are forming committees of safety?

They deserve security and to be safe.

A contract would be an enormous help.

When people assemble there is safety in numbers.

That is the first reason why the committees of safety are the gathering of people of like mind with like problems. They need to discuss these problems

They aren’t necessarily able to do it at a town board meeting.

They don’t necessarily let you speak about things that they don’t want you to talk about.

Then it becomes necessary for people to assemble outside of that body politics to discuss these problems.

And then go back to the meeting with a plan.

The real issue is enforcement

Everything is spelled out if we just follow it.

As far as the grand jury goes, until we get into court and they recognize us you don’t have enforcement.

You want to befriend the sheriff

That’s the beginning of enforcement.

(2:29:00)

Caller 9: Julie from Montana

Julie has been trying to contact John about having a three way call with the pastor from Montana.

Gerard will remind John about the pastor from Montana

Caller 10: Jeremiah from California

Jeremiah told Gerard that Gerard could take Eric’s call before him

Caller 11: Eric

What did FDR do that led to the creation or increase in alphabet agencies?

Also the paper NLA wrote to President Elect Trump in the 80s someone wrote legislation that brought artificiality to real estate. Did that create the environment of flipping houses?

All of a sudden people want to go after these properties and make money off of it.

Did that come from the eighties?

FDR did a lot of stuff that we are living with today.

A lot of the things that he instituted are the things that we are trying to undo today.

NLA outlined the things that he did do that started us on this path.

He helped facilitate legislation that wasn’t really lawful in the long run.

The corporate system and the BAR association are the two main things that they really played the game on us.

Possibly part of their strategy will be revealing different things to us at the right time so that we become overwhelmed that we can’t believe it. All this fraud and corruption.

They are going to reveal the fraud

They are going to reveal the crime

Then they are going to sell us the solution.

Make the problem and then give us the solution to the problem that they created.

The grand deception is coming Just wait for it We better be awake when that happens

Hopefully by then enough people will be awake

(2:43:24)

Caller 12 Colorado Ollie

A quick example of due process and common law:

A cop called that station and said Mrs. O Leary shot her husband for stepping on the floor that she just mopped.

The captain said, “Have you arrested her?”

The officer replied, “Not yet, the floor is still wet.”

(2:44:15)

Caller 13 Colorado Terry

Jim Rickards wrote a book “ Currency Wars” and it explained all of the stuff and who was involved in 9 11 and how the Iraqis and the Iranians cornered the market on the airlines and sold short and gained a lot of money from that destruction because they knew it was going to happen

Caller 14 Jeremiah California

Article from about a week or two ago

In the midst of the Internal Revenue Service’s legal battle to uncover the identity of bit coin users federal prosecutors have revealed that one district attorney’s office has had a battle for it’s own private information also involving bit coins. Pennsylvania, Allegheny County District Attorney’s office apparently paid a bit coin ransom to protect the privacy of it’s own digital information. The amount of the ransom was relatively small, only fourteen hundred dollars in good old dollars.

But it is still remarkable to see a DA’s office paying a ransom to a group of hackers even more so in a digital currency such as bit coin.

Jeremiah had an additional question about administrative agencies

All these administrative agencies don’t have the authority that they take

There are ones that have to do more with a corporations procedures

Walmart has their own tribunal

That’s a different type of administrative agency.

Bay Area Rapid Transit, they have their own municipal code.

They are an administrative agency.

It’s not governmental

So all of their security guards have the power of the state but through some weird nexus with the state.

They are like a private administrative agency but now they have some kind of governmental arm because of their involvement with the people.

You and I can be an armed guard

You and I can be a neighborhood watch

If you see someone committing a felony, you don’t need permission or anything.

That doesn’t give us police powers under the state.

Except for when we are enforcing those laws that we live under that are constitutional.

There is definitely a blurring and they use it to their advantage.

An unincorporated town is still incorporated under the county and state because they are arms of that.

They fall under us because they belong to us.

The town doesn’t have to incorporate to enjoy the protections of the corporate charter at the county and the state level.

The municipalities are an arm of the state

The states have to abide by federal rules

The municipalities have to abide by the state precedence.

There was a technical problem and Jeremiah’s call was lost

Gerard made a final comment: This whole business about bit coin and hackers and the government using this alternative currency that’s all designed to vilify cash so that if you do any kind of anonymous dealings between people that some how you are outside of the law. That’s what they are going to do. They want to have a cashless society where noting is anonymous. Every single penny you spend goes through a barcode and they know where it is and they want control over everything. They have this crazy dream of this digital world

You are never going to do anything that the main source doesn’t know about.

They are going to know what you are doing.

One of the first steps is to do away with anonymous transactions. Everybody is going to know your business because it is all going to go in on a computer chip. They have to do away with cash because they can’t control cash.

People will always deal with gold, and silver, and barter.

So they try to vilify that and say that’s black market, you must be doing something no good, you’re not honest.

Sometimes you want privacy.

We are entitled to those things.

We are supposed to be secure in our papers.

We did away with paper you are not secure any more.

The Paper Reduction Act.

The paperless bill.

Nothing is on paper anymore.

Everything is in digital bits and bytes.

This is where we are going and this is what we need to stop.