National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

November 28, 2016

Opening Song: Let the People Praise Thee O God

Topic: Redress of Grievances President

Call-In Number: 712-770-4160 Participant Code: 385698

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Leviticus 26: 1-13

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org

Click on “Grand Jury”

then “docket
then “Redress of Grievances President Elect”

It is 36 pages

(21:21)

The paper begins as follows

Page 1 of 36

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FORTHE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

We the People the rules of Common Law

- Against -

President [elect] Donald Trump, et al

Respondents

INFORMATIONAND REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES

“We the People of the United States of America, under the power and authority of the

Sureties of the Peace, the Grand Jury, hereinafter We the People,

whereas the Unified Common Law Grand Juries arose out of We the People in each of the Fifty States forming Unified Common Law Grand Juries in each State which came together to form a Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury. This was done in an effort to subdue subversion against the United States of America from enemies both foreign and domestic within our governments. This court of record, proceeding according to the common law for the

REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES which is our unalienable right as we ordained and expressed in writing the ‘Bill of Rights’ ratified on December 15, 1791, ‘in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of federal government powers” We the People established: Amendment I ‘Congress shall make no law respecting the right of the people to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.’ This is the beginning of that restoration. “

The paper concludes with the following:

“IN CONCLUSION: (Our answer to Na-Saying BAR) If, then, the courts are to regard the constitution, and the constitution is superior to any ordinary act of the legislature, the constitution, and not such ordinary act, must govern the case to which they may both apply… Certainly all those who have framed written constitutions contemplate them as forming the fundamental and paramount law of the nation, and consequently the theory of every such government must be, that an act of the legislature repugnant to the constitution is void. This theory is essentially attached to a written constitution, and is consequently to be considered by this court as one of the fundamental principles of our society.” - Marbury v. Madison Attached please find our Redress of Grievances, in this court of record, to our Judiciary, legislators and our Governors along with other supporting documents which can also be found at https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket. By this action at law, We the People are officially reporting subversion within all branches of government against the United States by enemies both foreign and domestic. Federal Bureau of Prisons

Regardless of what extortion the ‘powers that be’ will stoop themselves to maintain the status quo. We reject and stand on Principle and rely on God to control the outcome. Therefore, We the People will accept nothing but 100% total acknowledgement of the Law of the Land and obedience to the same. The greatest protection against these subverts is to reveal the light of truth and stand together, we must keep them so busy on offence that they cannot respond with a covert defense even when none pursue them . In closing, we end with the words of the last President that tried to save our Republic, unfortunately the People were not listening and he stood alone on that fateful day. Today we HEAR and we WILL unite behind the President Elect brave enough to lead us back to the Promised Land under the will of the King of our court, one Nation under Him. ‘A revolution is coming – a revolution which will be peaceful if we are wise enough; compassionate if we care enough; successful if we are fortunate enough – but a revolution which is coming whether we will it or not. We can affect its character; we cannot alter its inevitability’ - President JFK The Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury will be JFK's Peaceful, Wise, and Compassionate affect upon the character of that Inevitable Revolution. We the People Trust that we stand here today at that Precipice, with you Mr. President Elect. Wherefore you need not respond in writing Mr. President Elect; We the People will expect your response in words at your inauguration and through your Actions in your first hundred days of office, after which, if necessary, we will reply with a ‘Show Cause’.”

John concluded the reading at 1:34:40

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 16

“To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such Part of them as may be employed in the Service of the United States, reserving to the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress;”

The question is regarding the “arming” part.

Did Brent say that the government is not responsible for arming?

Does this mean to put the necessary weaponry such as canons and artillery and tanks and armored vehicles in our armories? Or is that the state’s requirement? What is the federal requirement?

Robert responded:

At the time the government did provide for that happening

However in 1905, that was on the militias of the several states, and in 1905 the militias of the several states became the National Guard. And so now the militias , the President doesn’t have any control whatsoever nor Congress over the militias.

Does each state have it’s own National Guard controlled by the governor?

Yes, every state has it’s own National Guard and they can be called up by either the President or the governor. They both have the same authority over them. Whoever calls them first rules.

That’s the way it was with the militias of the several states back before that.

Before 1905.

This would be considered the organized militia, the National Guard.

They are not here to guard the nation, they are here to guard their sovereign state.

The militia of a particular state was called to order to defend and then they were ordered out to assault outside the boundaries of their state. The people who were in the militia back then, literally, said, “No, we’re not going. We’re here to protect the state and we’re going to stay here to protect the state. You can’t take us out of our state and leave the state defenseless.”

When they made that change in 1905 they didn’t change the wording in the Constitution where they talked about the militias of the several states and so there is still quite a bit of confusion there.

We have to approach Congress when we get some clout and fix this and bring back the proper name for the militia and understanding of what they are for.

It is objectionable for the President to call the National Guard and send them to a foreign country.

When we get control we will fix this problem.

CALLERS

Caller 1 Dr Fred from California

(1:45:18)

Has a request.

We’re still in the battle.

His son is one of the guys in the terrorist prison, unlawful prison in Illinois, still working on his habeas corpus, still working

Caller needs someone to help him if they got PACER

Caller sent Jan an e-mail

Anyone who got access to PACER who can help caller get some Ninth Circuit and world court documents , caller desperately needs them for his filings.

He sent all his information to Jan in an e-mail.

Is someone can help that has a PACER account he could greatly use their help as this is a timely thing.

John replied that NLA will be resurrecting all of the habeas corpuses and bring them into this court case and bring show cause orders against all of these people.

Anybody who has a habeas corpus and can get an affidavit to state the fact that:

1. the clerk, instead of filing it , took it to the judge , and the judge sent it off to the lawyer of the defendant requiring that he do something with it. So therefore the judge participated in the conspiracy of removing the papers and then when the lawyer got it, he knows the law, he became a third party of the conspiracy . And those three individuals have to answer now on criminal charges that NLA is going to bring up against them by what authority they think they had to be able to remove, prevent, or destroy or discard any papers that were properly filed.

NLA is trying to get to critical mass. We have quite a large membership, about 6,000.

NLA has name recognition.

NLA has potential access into the President’s office through an individual.

If NLA can get the liberty groups to become aware of what we are doing and become educated.

This is the Year of Jubilee where we take everything back to zero.

There is great significance for the year 2017.

We have entered into the Jubilee of Jubilees which is a fifty year period.

The Jubilee of Jubilees started September 6, 1994 and it goes on for fifty consecutive years of the Jubilee of Jubilees and we are entering into the third judgment which is coming upon the nations. Some time after that will be the final judgment day where every man stands up for his own.

This looks like the year. We have a President that might be with us.

He needs the People behind him.

Once the liberty groups understand that there is a communion between us and him and that his response is to bring back control of the Constitution and require these people under the chains of the Constitution on the federal level, which he could do with a stroke of the pen. Send them to us and we will indict them if they don’t listen to the command of the President.

He will help us to get the enforcement.

We will use our court case for the enforcement.

(1:55:00)

Brent called the number he always calls.

The number has changed.

Brent needs the new dial in number to come in as a host.

John passed it over to Brent

Brent will talk a bit

Gerard will also be available.

(1:58:00)

Brent began by saying: The things I say may or may not be the viewpoint of National Liberty Alliance

Regarding the Pardon of the President: The foundation of our pardon in modern times in common law hasn’t changed since our country started that is why it was inserted into our Constitution. It’s fundamentals can be found in the Fourth Volume of Blackstone’s Commentaries on the Laws of England. The Fourth Volume. And toward the end of that volume he goes into great detail discussing the pardon and what it is and why it is. But he doesn’t go into the history of it.

Pardon arises out of the doctrine that we are familiar with from watching Western movies , outlaws are the fellows that anybody could bring in, dead or alive, because they were outlaws.

Because they were outlaws. What does that mean? That means that the law declared them outside it’s protection. Because that man was outside the protection of the law then anybody could bring him in or bring his carcass in or bring his live body in, it didn’t make any difference.

That’s a common law doctrine. And anciently in common law, outlaws were people outside the law and Maitland Pollick says:

“Outlawry is a sentence of death pronounced by a community which has no police or professional hangman, executioners.” And they continue: “To pursue the outlaw and knock him on the head as though he were a wild beast is the right and duty of every law abiding man.”

In common law in Anglo Saxon England, Anglo Dane England before the arrival of the highly Romanized Normans that lived on the coast of France an outlaw was called a wolforfod and that means in Old Anglo Saxon one bearing upon his neck the head of a wolf therefore anybody who would find him had the duty to slay him. He’s nothing but a wolf.

The only way a person could escape outlawry , once it was decreed, the common law was only decreed by the jury, once it was decreed, the only way that you could escape it was by the pardon of the king at his discretion. And if he decided to do that when you came back you were dead because outlawry declared you dead.

(2:06:31)

If the king decided to pardon one outlawed he entered the world again as a newborn babe.

That means that all of the benefits that he may have had , all the debts, all the burdens, all of the blessings, when he came back from outlawry he didn’t have any of those things. He came into the world like a baby with nothing. It was fashioned on the writings of John the Apostle in the Bible.

If you want to read more about outlawry Section 410 of Brent’s comparative law text called “Excellence of the Common Law”

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 16

“To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such Part of them as may be employed in the Service of the United States, reserving to the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress;”

When the President of the United States calls out the militia of the several states or any part of them and once the individuals have answered that call and mustered at that point the general government in Washington D C has the power to provide for the arming and the disciplining of that militia, and not before. The federal government does not have the power to provide whatever they call the National Guard the militia of the states with equipment and arms.

This is how the supreme law of the land is due process. It stresses how a thing is to be done.

If the governor calls out the militia and traditionally the militia of the several states each one of them traditionally and that is our law common law informs here this is common law. Every man provided his own weapon. At his own expense. And his own training. And the kind of weapon that the state legislature would demand the category the number of rounds and that is what the Militia Act of the year 1792 of Congress promoted. Promoted that idea of what a man was supposed to provide for himself.

The training, the marksmanship training, the safety training, the weapon itself, and it would go a long way for our freedom if every man were just simply armed personally with a military grade weapon.

It’s the state’s duty to make sure that certain weaponry is in the armory.

What our Constitution stresses is that the federal government doesn’t have the power to fill up the armories of whatever they call the militia of the states, they call the National Guard.

The National Guard is constitutionally unknown.

The National Guard is nothing but the feds trying to control the situation.

That goes against the fundamentals of why we have the militia of the several states.

The feds are not to provide the weapons and equipment because once they do that then they are in control and the states have no control and that is contrary to the fundamentals.

(2:17:39)

There is a federal funding that goes on there. Once the feds provide the money then they , just like our education system, they control everything. Either do what we say or we will pull the funding.

There is a model in the back of Brent’s Militia Book , a model state militia statute.

The first thing we need to do is to make sure that men are armed and that they are required to be armed. That starts at the state legislature level.

If there is anything missing in America right now it’s the confidence of young men having a purpose and stake in their own community and one way to do that is to go down and say I am a member of the militia now and I have to get a weapon, I have to procure a weapon some how. That’s what we need.

It will create a cohesiveness among us that we are lacking.

Jury duty and armed defense will pull us together.

Brent’s Militia book is about a hundred pages long

And the last thing he has in his militia book , “The Militia of the Several States, The Constitution’s Answer to It’s Enemies, Foreign and Domestic” and the last thing in there is a model militia statute.

CALLERS

Caller 2 North Carolina April

(2:39:32)

Her son is in prison for things that he did not do.

She is looking for help.

Is there anything in the papers that NLA will be filing with the President about protecting our rights to self medicate with natural substances

It may have been in the Quo Warranto that NLA put out

Everything that NLA filed is at our website under “docket”

The Quo Warranto is where you actually command them

In that Quo Warranto we talked about forced vaccinations.

This paper tonight is a very instructional paper

Caller had pain and uses kratom to self medicate. Kratom is a natural herb in the coffee family.

It made her feel worse taking the prescribed drugs.

The federal government has been trying to make kratom illegal on September 30th.

They haven’t made it illegal yet.

NLA has a lot of resources

Read all the papers

Her son is in Red Onion Prison.

Nobody can help you go into the courts if you don’t educate yourself.

You have to know enough to keep your mouth shut.

Say “I object” and when they ask why reply “because it’s not my wish”

Caller is watching Bill Thornton’s videos over and over again.

Her grandson is in CPS and she sees the injustice going on in there too.

Caller 3 Julie Montana

(3:01:50)

She has a guy that wants her to help him write a habeas corpus.

Is NLA going to pick up habeas corpuses again?

All the ones that NLA filed will be refiled under this case number.

They will have to answer it.

There have been about forty of them sent into the court

They will be refiled in this new case

It is difficult to do it for yourself

You got to know how to do it

If you follow their procedure to do it you will never get it.

It’s a violation of unalienable rights

It’s a due process violation

We have plenty of stuff online to educate yourself

All of the information is still up at the website

Caller knows an influential pastor in Montana that she would like to connect up with John.

Caller met this pastor four years ago.

He had a big church in Florida

He moved to Montana

And then he went off the radar

Maybe he got threatened

Gerard knows two people who moved out there in hopes of joining up with him

They didn’t have good luck once they got out there.

He does have some really good work

They may have got to him

Even Ron Paul when he reached his height he backed off his wife got sick It is suspect that maybe when his wife got sick they said next time will be worse

He would never have caved for himself he is fearless they must have threatened Ron Paul’s family.

People like Ron Paul and these pastors and constitutional sheriffs they need the people to stand up.

This pastor has a newsletter that he puts out

Show the pastor what we are doing

Show him our paperwork

See what he thinks

He’s got good stuff

Caller will try to set something up for this pastor.

Caller 4 John Ward

He has not yet read the 36 page article on the redress of grievances

One major redress that is needed for We the People who want remedy from what the old defacto government has done is to return all of our natural rights and most emphatically with them would be all our rights to our property which have been presumptively stolen from us through the all caps name and their corporate franchise accounts built around our birth documents

That is in there NLA addressed that

We are refiling everything that we filed

Some of that is in the original Quo Warranto