National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

October 17, 2016

In the absence of John, Gerard hosted the call

Opening Song: in the absence of John there was no opening song

Topic: untitled

Call-In Number: 605-562-3140 Participant Code: 385698

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Psalm 138

We are working on moving ahead with a new program of spearheading within the next couple of days.

It is going to be a big mailing that has to go out to everybody.

John had something written that he was going to read tonight but he is not here.

It will be read next week. It will be a definite direction.

NLA did not get the number in the court yet.

Someone will have to go there and pay the money and file the paperwork.

We are turning over a new leaf by doing this.

Gerard opened the floor for Brent.

(6:35)

The things that Brent says are not necessarily the point of view of NLA

In a lot of cases it is.

We have been talking about the militia.

Martial law has come up a lot lately.

Martial law has a right jurisdiction. It has a place where it should be used.

It should be used with armies in the field and traditionally, since the beginning of time, it has been used to govern men on ships at sea, and more particularly, war ships. It’s military law

Martial law is a law that applies where obedience to all orders failure to give quick obedience to orders could result in death or terrible injury or loss of a country.

The Bible is clear on the point, the Book of Numbers, the fourth book of the Bible. It is a book about martial law jurisdiction almost exclusively and it is a book that tells us more about the militia of the twelve several tribes of Israel and what governs them and then adds to that the Levitical priesthood.

Martial means that failure to obey the law , to follow the orders in martial jurisdiction , could result in immediate death. Summary judgment , that means a drumhead court if you are in the army. That means no jury . That means the commanding officer , the man in charge , he decides who lives and who dies if someone has committed a capital crime. Martial law is a one man show. There is no meaningful appeal beyond final judgment.

Martial law is a horizontal law.

Martial law is between men, the relationships

The law is immediate The consequences immediate.

It is called the law of the city. It is called martial law, it is called military law, it is called the law of the sea, it is called admiralty law, it is called maritime jurisdiction, it is called administrative law, it is called bureaucratic law, all of those are designed to ignore the intent of the party or any facts that may change the way it is applied.

(15min)

American limited government under our Constitution is rooted in the Anglo Saxon volk reich.

Volk , the Germanic language, for the people. Reich means duty.

Volk reich means the people’s duty.

The people’s duty of what?

Of government.

The people are the militia

That phrase “the People” means the militia, the fyrdfare is what the Anglo Saxon’s called it.

Volk reich we now call that the common law.

The people’s law, because it is the people’s duty to govern. They do that by two avenues.

The males, able bodied to bear weapons, their two duties are foreign and domestic.

Foreign, that’s armed defense as a member of the militia

Enemies domestic , that means you are willing to serve on the jury to defend the law of the land.

The volk reich

The assembly of Elders called Earls in Old Anglo Dane England called the whitten. They got together. They called them the whitten. That was the body of elders. Granted executive authority and power to Anglo Saxon kings at their advice and consent Granted power to the king only after war only after they had declared war therein lies the reason that Congress is given the power to declare war , not the President. These are ancient practices that have come down to us that haven’t changed. Our Constitution merely writes them down Those that drafted it did not pull that stuff out of the air.

They just tried to put in place what had been forgotten.

Enemy attack can come quick and sharp.

The body of elders called the whitten empowered the king in those ancient days to muster the fyrd that is the militia of able bodied men for war without the elders or the whitten’s consent they had standing orders to get the militia going. Fyrdfare, they called it, the militia agoing.

Bill Clinton never delivered standing orders to the Joint Chiefs of Staff which would have applied to the militia of the several states if they had been mustered by someone having the authority to do it.

Our constitutional custom empowers the President to mobilize the armed forces for war but before commencing hostilities, our law, our custom, requires that he ask and he receive from Congress a duly voted upon declaration of war. And only upon the advice and consent , in the ancient days, of the elders, the whitten, the earls did the Anglo Saxon kings levy the tax for war called the Dane Gold Property tax for raising an army. Likewise American Presidents set before Congress their proposals for taxation to support military activities then Congress either denies, consents or modifies its pleasure.

Thus our Constitution, Article 1 Section 2 Clause 1

The President of the United States under that language is duty bound to ensure that the military forces of the United States are ever ready according to whatever means Congress provides.

Common law is limited government.

In ancient England, the volk reich, our common law, empowered the whitten , that is the elders, the earls, to impeach and remove the king and appoint another.

The power of impeachment is a common law doctrine.

The militia of the several states and the four militia clauses has been our subject for the past few months.

The ancient nation of Israel accounted for every able bodied man by family and house to serve in his tribe, his militia, the twelve tribes of the nation of Israel , in the Book of Numbers, it is called the Book of Numbers because twice in that Book the entire militia of the twelve separate tribes of Israel is numbered, by the head, once coming out of Egypt they were numbered, and they came out in ranks, armed ranks, 603,550 exactly men numbered in the militia of twelve tribes of Israel coming out , everyone of those men except two perished forty years in the wilderness because they murmured against God.

And then before they entered the land the militia was numbered again every militia member was forty years old and under None of them had come out of Egypt.

They were used to obeying orders Even when it didn’t make sense.

Like marching around Jericho seven times.

Those militia men just under 601,000 of them went into Israel.

The requirements of the Book of Numbers that delineate the role of the militia in great detail analogous to our militia of the several states of our Union and that Book Numbers became the foundation of our First Fathers, not our Founding Fathers, our First Fathers, our Founding Fathers followed in their footsteps, our First Fathers were the Puritans. And the militia that they established was founded upon the Laws of Nature and the Laws of Nature’s God. The Bible was their legislation. They formed no legislative bodies. They took the law of Moses for their legislation.

Every able bodied man is part of the militia

If a man stays in any particular local militia jurisdiction for thirty days or more the law would require him to enroll his name upon the rolls of the militia of that place

Both the Bible and our common law recognize that as long as the individual is free to arm and train himself in the use of weapons his bearing of arms for defense for his home, his family, his neighbors, and his country remains an insurmountable safeguard against tyranny. According to the Bible, and according to our common law, each local militia unit chooses it’s own officers.

(33:25)

Our Constitution and militia clauses require that the officers the federal government cannot appoint any officers within the militia of the several states . That’s all done locally. That means state by state. States can dictate how they do that. States cannot turnover that responsibility to the federal government. To the President, for example. It is a nondelegable duty.

It is nondelegatable to anyone else because the law drops it directly within the jurisdiction of state government and how they want to do that.

It is understood that each man provide his own arms , that means his own gun, that means his own military grade weapon, at his own expense, and also provide requisite marksmanship and safety training with that weapon.

The right to keep and bear arms is not a right as people perceive right

We have perverted the meaning of the word right.

It is a duty It is not an option

Self preservation, preservation of one’s family, defense of one’s neighbors, and of one’s state and one’s country is not an option for any able bodied man.

At the least , it is a duty.

The right to keep and bear arms is part of our common law tradition.

The Second Amendment is the fourth of the four militia clauses

The militia clauses of our Constitution are the law of the land.

Brent concluded

(38 min)

Gerard opened the cue up

CALLERS

Caller 1: Georgia Eric

Where in the Bible does it say that we have the right , the duty, to protect ourselves, our families, our community? Did Jesus say that you have to be ready to defend yourself?

(40:00)

In the Book of Luke there is a passage where Jesus says to his disciples “When I sent you fellows out the first time did I send you out with a money bag and a travel bag and gold and silver coins and did I send you out with a heavy coat or did I just tell you to go out and rely on people to support what you are doing?” And they said, “You just told us to go out and not take any of those things.” “Rely on people.”

And Jesus said, “Yes, I said that.” But then He said this, “ But now the one having the money bag, let him take it. And likewise also his leather travel pouch, let him take that. And the one having not the sword, let him sell his cloak and buy one.” Brent was reading from the Winter’s translation of the Bible.

It’s in Luke 22 verse36 “For indeed,” He says, verse 37 “ I clarify to you that this which stands as written must yet be perfected in Me,” and then He quotes the Bible, the Old Testament. And in verse 38 He says this, “ And they said, Lord, behold , here are two swords .” And He said to them, “ That’s enough. Two swords are enough.”

Now it seems clear to me that He is sending them out again . He is approaching His fulfillment of the law. He fulfilled the penalty of the law for His people. He gave His life.

He gave His life and raised Himself from the dead and paid the penalty for our sin. It is an old word that means for our trespass of His law. God’s law.

Jesus does not speak with any ambiguity. It is clear as crystal.

“Yes, that was true the first time I sent you out. But now as I approach giving Myself over to brutal torture and death , to be stripped and beaten and nailed to a wooden post, “ He said, “now things are changed.”

When Jesus comes again He will not come as a suffering servant.

He will come as a Warrior and He will annihilate anyone who looks at Him without hesitation.

He says that.

Right here, it is as clear as crystal, He is telling us to go out and defend ourselves.

That is the turning point of God’s process of how He wants us to operate.

He tells us right there, “Get a sword”.

For defending yourself while you are doing what God tells you to do.

He wants us to be self sufficient.

He says, “Take your money bag. Take your travel bag. Be self sufficient. Have a way to protect yourself”

We have a duty to protect our family.

How can you protect your family if you don’t protect yourself?

You protect yourself first if you have to. Then you protect your family. Then you protect others.

You protect your closest responsibilities first.

That is clear as crystal.

God is not pleased with men that allow others to abuse their families.

Luke Chapter 22 verses 35, 36 , 37

(55:45)

Caller 2: Jeremiah California

Does a noncitizen have the same Second Amendment right if they are here?

Sure, noncitizen , according to common law, a noncitizen that is here has a duty to enroll himself and be prepared. Yes, he does.

And who would be foolish enough to say that every man doesn’t have a duty to protect himself no matter where he is with the means that God has given him.

God gives man life. And when He gives man life, and life is a property right, you can’t separate property from life and liberty All three are part of life. We can’t separate them for purpose of analysis. Every man is given life by God. And when God gives life, along with that , is the duty to protect it. That doesn’t mean that you dominate other people or hurt other people.

If somebody threatens you, you have a duty , we are forgetting these fundamental things.

And because we are forgetting we are not protecting our other rights either.

The Bible says, the Lord, Jesus Christ, it says that God keeps his promises even to His own hurt.

And who gets the benefit?

We get the benefit.

Jesus Christ did just that.

He kept His promise, to death.

Torture and death, to His own hurt.

God took the burden, we get the benefit.

God died for us.

We get the benefit.

In all false religions, men die for their god.

( 1 hr)

QUESTION (that was e-mailed)

In reference to the last information paper, by what specific law is the media required to perform their First Amendment duty and report or print a copy of this information?

Brent does not think that the private media has any duty under the First Amendment. The First Amendment limits government. It doesn’t limit the private media.

In the early days they didn’t try to hide it. They supported a particular point of view and if you don’t like it then you don’t have to read it.

Media without bias doesn’t exist and never has.

The only reason anybody would want to get into owning a newspaper or media outlet would be to get across their point of view. It is called freedom of speech.

Caller 3 Montana Julie

She has a question about the website

She is having trouble getting on to do a habeas corpus.

She gets “access denied” when she clicks on the frowny face

NLA is not currently doing any more habeas corpuses

But NLA was going to leave it open so that you could get your paperwork done up to that stage.

But NLA was not going to run them through the courts right now because we are so backed up with other stuff that we have to get through.

When we get into the courts and we get one case in and one habeas corpus then they will all come flooding in.

You should be able to go in and follow those first steps and fill that stuff out so that when the time comes you’re ready.

If you got a case then it is a good idea to do that anyway.

Affidavits are important.

It doesn’t mean that NLA is going to run it through. It means that you are going to have it ready to go at the time we can go through.

She has been doing the constitutional course and it won’t let her get onto the last module.

Karl can help you with that.

Give Karl a call

(1:22:15)

Caller 4 Jeremiah California

Jeremiah has been doing particular and specific research into habeas corpus.

If you contact Jeremiah then he will send you a bunch of information.

His e-mail is SecondSonofDonald @gmail.com

It is a habeas corpus checklist.

You are suing somebody when you do a habeas corpus.

Habeas Corpus is called the Great Writ for a reason because it is the Fifth Amendment , due process, that has been statutarized. And it is a good statute. That’s why they did it.

It stands alone head and shoulders above all the other court papers because you can’t proceed until it has been answered. It is a due process paper.

Caller 5: Georgia Eric

No matter what you worship, if you run afoul of the common law and if we are enforcing the common law like we should be then the common law will slap you down because you are not allowed to run afoul of it and so the Christian catch is that no matter what you do, you are still going to live here in America by Christian principle because it is a common law nation, so you can worship anything that you want however it needs to be in accord with common law because if you run afoul of it then you are going to have problems.

That’s the highest law that we go by.

The whole premise of our country is under those principles.

John mentions how the media are being derelict of their duty and eventually we are going to take their licenses away. He harkens that to JFK and the speech that he gave to the press. He said that you guys got to report this stuff because this enemy is not armies by day but guerillas by night. The media has a responsibility.

Brent is a big fan of the Federal Farmer. Eric loves that guy. He is the most unsung Founding Father that we have because no one really knows his name.

He talks about even back then they were already manipulating the media.

They were already suppressing the truth back then.

There has got to be some remedy for this utterly lawless and deceitful media.

They should have a duty.

They have a license and that means that they are controllable.

A license is permission to do something that would normally be illegal.

If they have a license then it is revocable.

They can lose their charter.

The FCC, you used to not be able to have lewd stuff on TV, they watched everything. They were holding them to the premises of our law.

Our common law. Which is part of the Ten Commandments.

They held the media to that.

We have precedent where the FCC would look at the profanity and whether you are going to affect the morals of a minor.

Kennedy talked about the media and enemies by day.

Rockefeller thanked the media for keeping everything secret or they wouldn’t be able to get this far.

There is a quote from a member of the media and he is talking about how they are all bought and paid for and they are all a bunch of whores. And this is from the 1920s and you can multiply the whoredom exponentially.

There is nothing new under the sun.

Men still have envy, jealousy, and hate. That has been there through time.

We are men no matter what.

History repeats itself especially if you are not studying it.

We make the same mistakes over and over again.

(1:35:00)

Caller 6 Harley

Media drove Jefferson and Adams crazy.

Look at Leo Stratton’s work

It has been awhile since NLA sent the first paper out.

But the most powerful paper that NLA ever sent out was the Quo Warranto

Caller thinks that John should talk to Leo Stratton.

Do you have any examples of people using good court documents for a federal case against mortgage?

There are people who have had some successes that we need to go through their stuff and examine it

One guy had three successes. We got to go in and see how he had success.

It may just be the circumstances.

John has documented some of the ones that worked.

It depended on who the mortgage company was and the place where they were at.

Everyone is a little different.

We can learn from them.

(1:53:00)

Caller 7 John in Alaska

Caller thinks that self defense is common sense.

Caller does not like force in the equation where it is a duty.

It detracts from the concept of a free man with a free mind.

Having your name in all caps makes you a corporation is all based on fraud.

You have not been informed of it and you did not give your consent.

This is a crime most prevalent against the American people.

The crime most prevalent against the American people is that of identity theft.

(2:06:00)

Caller 8 California Fred

I know that there is no statute of limitations on murder

and there is only a statute of limitations on fraud one calendar year from when you discover the fraud.

Are there any other instances such as kidnapping?

Recently caller has seen YouTube videos of devil worshippers and human sacrifice going through Hollywood and the Supreme Court Justice all of the way through.

It seems like kidnapping is a capital crime. I think that you can get the death penalty.

The question concerns statute of limitations.

I would think that there is no statute of limitations on kidnapping.

That’s a legal question that needs to be answered.

Create a list of different crimes that we can charge these people with that have no statute of limitations. So when the dominoes start falling, we have our ammunition ready.

Every state has a different set of statutes of limitations.

Statutes of limitations are all arbitrary.

When it comes to heavy duty crimes like kidnapping, murder , and rape the statute of limitations doesn’t exist.

Can that be used to go after people in government who break the law?

Who are you going to get to prosecute these people?

The Justice Department is wrapped up.

The FBI is part of crime.

The FBI doesn’t have the power to prosecute anybody.

The criminals are not going to prosecute the criminals

They are all buddies

They are all one big happy family.

They work together.

They protect each other.

We all do it. We want to protect our own families and the people we have comradeship with.

That’s to be expected.

It doesn’t make it right and it doesn’t make it lawful.

We can’t prosecute them

We can bring a civil rights action against them.

The only thing a federal judge hates worse than a civil rights case and that’s a civil rights attorney that brings the case.

You won’t get far with that.

When you work for the federal government or state government you live in constant never-ending fantasy and your paycheck keeps coming. You just join the club and you will be OK.

You live the fantasy. And you never get out of it.

They are not going to do something to jeopardize their fantasy world.

If you make a deal with the devil’s evil empire, sooner or later you are going to have to pony up what you owe him.

The only safety any of us have to beat this lawlessness is law.

Bringing to justice those in the federal courts is something that we will have to wait for God to do.

Committees of Safety are a good start.

We need to prepare ourselves.

God empowers us to do right.

Brent’s position is that we are not a constitutional republic but we are a common law country.

We got political parties

We name them Republican and Democrat.

That just keeps two branches of the same madness going.

It puts ideas in people’s head that we are some kind of majority rule country.

If we don’t get that out of our heads and don’t get focused on the jury and don’t get focused on the local courthouse and we keep focusing on the White House and the State House and all of that then we are not going to have justice. Because justice occurs in small things We should concentrate more on the jury and get the word “republic” and “democracy” out of our vocabulary.

Common law grand jury operates on a local level on a case by case basis.

If we can institute where people have control over their courts and control over their public officials through the juries and the grand juries then that is what is going to fix it.

Once we get our juries and grand juries back in place then everything else will fall in place.

We have to institute these Committees of Safety.

We need to be bringing the common law back into the courts.

The juries need to be run not by the government but by the people.

That’s how it will get fixed.

It will get fixed on a local level.

And that is the best place for it to get fixed.

We need to fulfill the two duties of a militiaman

One stressing the responsibility against foreign enemies

and the other against domestic enemies – the power of the juryman

And against foreign enemies - armed defense

It is the duty of every militiaman to see that he is armed at his own expense and that he is trained in safety and marksmanship in handling the weapon

(2:47:30)

Caller 9 Kansas Jan

We are a common law form of government

Are we under the rule of consent rather than under the rule of law?

Does the rule of law have something to do with Canon law?

That puts us under Roman Civil law.

Our Declaration of Independence , Bill of Rights, and Preamble say no we are not ruled by rule of law we are ruled by consent

If we are ruled by consent then that puts us right back to the common law form of government being an individual trial by jury

Are we ruled by consent in the common law form of government?

We are under consent because of the jury

The jury, it’s only job is to say “yes” to the government or to say “no”

“Yes, you may try this man for this crime” that’s the grand jury’s job

or “No, you may not try this man for a crime”

We are a government of consent that’s a common law government separation of powers and co-equal branches

There is one law, the Bible says, this is following the precedent of God, God said when He gave His pattern for government, He said, “There is just one law here.”

The rule of law says this, the Bible, there shall be one law among you one law for the stranger as one law for the natural born, one law for the foreign born.

Caller asked Brent, besides his version of the Bible what was the version from six hundred years ago that Brent said was the best one.

The King James Version was translated to support the idea of the divine right of kings

That Bible was done to undo the affects of the Geneva Bible and that is probably the one that you are talking about.

The Geneva Bible was translated in the city of Geneva by Englishmen and Scotsmen they translated the Bible in denial of the divine rights of kings doctrine. It was the first Study Bible ever printed in English. They had footnotes and annotations . That Bible is a good Bible.

The King James is a good Bible but it doesn’t make some of the distinctions.

The New American Standard Bible is a good Bible but there are some things missing out of that Bible. Some words are missing . But the overall message isn’t destroyed.

The Geneva Bible is probably the one that you are talking about.

Thank you

Good Night