National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

August 1, 2016

Opening Song: There are No Answers Only Questions

 Standing on Higher Ground

Topic: It’s Your Duty

Call-In Number: 605-562-3140 Participant Code: 385698

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: James Chapter 1

(12 min)

A quote by Joseph Stalin on the front page of NLA:

“*America is like a healthy body and its resistance is threefold: Its Patriotism, its Morality, and its Spiritual Life. If we can undermine these three areas, America will collapse from within*.” **Joseph Stalin**

We the People in America are collapsing

Our children have lost their patriotism

We have lost morality We have lost our spiritual life

If we had those three things then we would be unconquerable.

NLA filed an appeal and a Notice of Motion to release Joseph Robertson on his own recognizance out there in Montana

Joseph was arrested and convicted

Joseph is 77 years old. A disabled vet. They have separated him from his working service dog.

He told his lawyer that he wanted to appeal.

His lawyer said that he would

Weeks have past

August third is the deadline for his appeal.

His lawyer to date has not filed the appeal.

(16:15)

NLA went in as a Next Friend through the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury to file an appeal.

Two people went to file the appeal and they were prevented from filing and they gave them no reason.

John directed them to go to the sheriff and give the paper to the sheriff.

John sent them a File on Demand and told them to highlight the penalty.

The penalty for prevention of filing.

There are two penalties

One is for the clerk for refusing to file. That is two years in jail.

Concealing, destroying, and mutilating is twenty years in jail.

It was highlighted and given to the sheriff.

NLA also filed a second paper regarding the same case for motion to release on his own recognizance under Rule 9.

We served them with a Notice of Motion certified

It is a Notice of Motion of Appeal from the Judgment of Conviction Looking for an Overturning of the Conviction and a Motion to Release.

John read the three page paper

NLA will post all of the paperwork for this particular case.

(21:26)

“Notice of Motion of Appeal from the Judgment of Conviction And Motion to Release on Own Recognizance comes now the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury sureties of peace proceeding as next friend here and after sureties of the peace. Under Rule 1728 USCA to move the court to release Joseph Dave Robertson defendant on his own recognizance under Rule 9 with the promise to appear. …”

This individual is being charged with polluting a river 105 miles away from his property.

Joseph hired an engineer and in his report he wrote that there were no problems.

That was brought to Joseph’s attorney

He refused to file it into the court saying that that was not part of his strategy.

We see collusion and conspiracy

Joseph tried to file the papers himself in the courtroom.

The judge rejected it.

John continued reading the paper.

The paper concluded :

Defendant is a 77 year old disabled veteran with health issues under a doctors care and was in the hospital emergency room the day after he was arraigned due to a heart issue. Incarceration is physically and emotionally stressful, uncomfortable, and takes a toll on any one. Furthermore considering that the defendant suffers from PTSD (Posttraumatic Stress Disorder) and has been separated from his service dog Sasha and because of the defendant’s age and other health issues, the defendant, if left incarcerated runs an extremely high risk of premature death, making his sentence a life sentence

Considering the alleged crime, it appears to the sureties of the peace that the monetary restitution for the alleged damage was more than sufficient. And it appears that it will render the defendant and his wife poverty stricken during the twilight of their lives. By the court also adding incarceration which society assumes to be a deterrent in correction , in other words rehabilitation, makes this not only cruel and unusual punishment in this case but also moot.

Dated August 1, 2016

Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury signed

sent certified mail

(30:26)

The Committees of Safety is the first step for the people.

John asked Karl to connect with Ollie

John wants to give Ollie access to a file at the File Depot

John asked Karl to update the directory

NLA is gearing up for the Wildlife Reserve case in Oregon and the

Bundy case in Nevada

NLA is waiting for affidavits. NLA has a lot of information

NLA has some unique professional witnesses

NLA has some news media in Oregon and in Nevada and possibly Montana that are friendly and will run our stuff

We are still continuing our plan with Ham Radio

It is a major plan for We the People to be able to communicate across this nation

Everybody needs to be connected on the right channels

NLA will get the flier up at the website

We still have not completed the page

The page is not up yet

National Liberty Alliance will be broadcasting every evening at a particular time during the emergency on a certain frequency.

At a certain time people need to be downloading everything they can off of National Liberty Alliance . Continue your education.

In order to get the Committee of Safety going, you have to have a charter.

This Wednesday, August 3rd we will be giving a Committee of Safety presentation at 7:00 PM and at 7:30 PM we will be creating Committees of Safety.

141 Boardman Road Poughkeepsie, New York

If you go on the NLA calendar it will be listed

Go to the top of the NLA page underneath the blue bar on the right hand side you will see tec support, my account, unsubscribe , add event, calendar , and log out.

Click on “calendar” and you will find the event.

NLA is working on two papers for Congress and the Judiciary. We should have filed these papers already.

We need the money.

John has heard that August is the month things will happen. He also has heard that it could be September or October. Maybe they will put it off until next year.

You are not too busy to save America.

Whatever you are hanging on to and you are too busy to leave, you are not going to have it any more.

When the lights go out, and the grid goes down, it will be too late.

John asked Gerard if there were any questions:

Question 1:

On the July 25th conference call at 2 hrs 29 minutes into the call John had a question for Brent:

"Is an acquittal and a hung jury the same thing?"

In Brent's response Brent replied that "If the jury acquits a man then our courts say that he can be tried again. No double jeopardy."   Is the answer to this question by Brent contrary to the V and/or VII  amendments?

Brent did not say that.

He said “can’t” try him again not “can”

He also said that he had not really studied that.

In a hung jury a person walks and if you go after him again then that is double jeopardy.

If they acquit then that’s a verdict , that’s not guilty, they can’t try him again.

Seventh Amendment:

“In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise reexamined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.”

Fifth Amendment:

“No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.”

There is no double jeopardy

There is no authority for any judge to call for a hung jury. That judge is to continue and they don’t come out until that decision is made.

That’s their duty.

They have to hammer it out, and go over and over and over, until they come up with a decision.

It is not lawful for a judge to intervene and call for a hung jury.

NLA is writing a paper on that.

Question 2

There is another question from Ann Dunlap on the Seventh Amendment

Is the Seventh Amendment an individual right or a public right?

It is an unalienable right. It’s the right of every person. It is an individual right. There is no such thing as public rights.

We have unalienable rights protected by the Constitution

John reminded everybody that time is short.

Time is done. It is short

Whatever we have, we are being given extra time.

They are using the election as a dog and pony show to keep us distracted.

They are keeping us busy with this dog and pony show and nobody is doing anything to prepare.

(1:00:21)

Last week we talked about generators

We’re waiting for the plans

It is about $150 or $200 for the material

We are going to try to build one

You got to get a ham radio

The ones we are looking at are about $50 a piece

Buy them through NLA NLA will benefit from the proceeds

NLA will sell them with an extra service

They have to be programmed individually for each county

Hopefully by next Monday John will have it up on the internet and people will be able to buy them.

It can be programmed for their neighborhood and their county

When you take it out of the box it works on the emergency channels that you want to be on.

NLA is in desperate need of money

Some people are still sending checks in the name of Trade Winds

That was the name John was using for awhile in the beginning.

The bank was cashing them but decided that they are not going to do that any more

You have to put National Liberty Alliance or NLA on the check.

John called for Connecticut people to get into the cue first

CALLERS

Caller 1: Sharon

(1:09:41)

John would like to go to Farmington because it is the center of Connecticut

People should be able to get there.

Sharon and Crystal will spearhead it

It will take 30 minutes to show the plan

20 minutes to do the charter

20 minutes to do the resolution

We will have Q and A

In two and a half hours we should be able to get done

(1:13:37)

Gerard said that someone had a question about printing material from the NLA website.

People can print it and do what they want as long as they don’t cut it up

John was talking to someone who might make a considerable donation and if that happens then NLA can print some more Jurist Handbooks

We were able to sell them for $69.00 for one hundred

Until NLA gets the Jurist handbooks printed out you could pass out pocket Constitutions from NCCS at nccs.net

(1:20)

Ollie gave an update concerning Missoula and Committees of Safety.
David Lamb and Gavin Simm’s brother buoyed to Portland

Cat’s alone again

She only got three hours sleep last night

She’s been working her tail off

She is going to put together that affidavit on the clerks and get that notarized

She is going bright and early in the morning to talk to the sheriff and give him the File on Demand and she is trying to get a witness to go down with her

John said that the File on Demand is not for the sheriff

That is just the top cover for the paperwork.

But if she highlights the area where it lays out the law 2 years in jail if the clerk won’t file and if they obstruct or conceal that would be 20 years in jail.

Ollie said that out West the phony judges got their propaganda out and almost killed us

The general opinion out here among a lot of the patriots and judges is that that common law grand jury have no teeth and if you ignore them they will go away

It is imperative that we hit this case with everything we got

This is an opportunity

They might just buckle and file them

John said that NLA still wants the affidavits because we are still going to pursue this because that proves conspiracy even with his lawyer.

She is going in to have the sheriff serve

They are going to charge her $20

They know exactly what they need to do

Once they serve, they are going to fill out an affidavit , have it signed and they are going to mail it to where ever she says to mail it to

She should have them mail it to the Hyde Park mailing address for NLA

(1:24)

Ollie was going to talk about Committees of Safety

The more a county needs a Committee of Safety, the harder it is to get one formed.

David Naquistian had a major meeting over the weekend in Baker City

His main speaker was Chris Brials

Today David was very frustrated about the turnout and the response

He is ready to throw up his hands and quit

If your county is halfway healthy

If your sheriff is halfway responsive

It is very important to get a Committee of Safety going

If you got a halfway healthy county , get on it while you have a fighting chance.

John said that it only takes a couple of people to get it started

You can do the resolution and charter with only one person

Then you got to get five signatures on it

Once the charter and everything is done then you work to get five people

Get the five people to agree and get it certified

Now you got a charter and now you got a resolution

The key thing is to go for one person for every town in your county.

Some towns you may have four or five people

Other towns you may have one

Once the sheriff reads all of the literature then he’s got to be on board with you

He is going to understand and see

It’s logical It’s obvious

It lays out the law of the land

Once he sees he has the people behind him and he is behind us then you go from town to town.

Every town will sign on one after another.

Ollie concluded that you got to engage now

(1:30)

Caller 2: Ann

Ann wants the information about the 77 year old man in Montana

NLA will e-mail that information tomorrow John does not have that information yet.

Caller 3 Dave from California

disconnected

Caller 4 Holly from Vermont

1:32:27

male caller

He spent time talking to Gerard

He is going into court pro se to select jurors

Jury draw as they call it.

They cancelled his jury draw and put him on for a preconference hearing

They demolished his farm and put him in jail for 36 hours

Entrapped him on the road on the way to meet his sheriff

John responded by saying one of the first things you want to do in a court case is to set the stage as to what is the law the law of the case

The best way to do this is a memorandum of the law, one item at a time.

We are going to start injecting memorandums into the court to define what the law is, to define common law, that common law is the law of the land

These memorandums that NLA will be creating they can be used on any case that you go into.

1:35:50

You can enhance them

You can take the memorandum and add to it if you want.

You can take the memorandums and use them to build around your case.

They are not going to be able to rebut it

Now it is the law of the case

We are going to require that the law of the case be the law of the case

If the judge does anything in opposition to those laws of the case , those memorandums which have not be rebutted, they won’t be able to rebut them, if he does anything in opposition to those memorandums which is the law of the case , you can then give him a writ of error and overturn his decision and point to the memorandum that had been entered into the court at a certain day on the docket

You have to establish the law of the case and you do that through memorandum of law.

Caller said that they have an extremely thin case against him

It will be extremely embarrassing for them in front of a jury.

Caller said that it was suggested that he say, “Please present more detail about the charges and the victim of my supposed crime which is actually against the peace and dignity of the state of Vermont”

John said that you have to very subtly come into the court and start taking control with memorandums of law.

John said that NLA will get the memorandums up at the website as we go along.

One you have laid out the law of the case, that’s what these memorandums are all about, you’ve laid out the law of the case, and they have not rebutted any of them, it stands, now they understand where you are coming from.

They’ve already got a strategy set up against the people coming in with “the law of the land” language. Don’t get lost in that.

Your case is about two things: jurisdiction and due process

And once they violate your due process then that is cause

(1:57)

Gerard took over

Caller 5: Washington Rhonda

Regarding double jeopardy from the Fifth Amendment

How do they, once you have been acquitted, or they have a hung jury and they can’t put you twice in jeopardy, how then do they make a civil case out of it that you have to be tried in?

That is like what they did with OJ. They went after him civilly.

The reason that they can go after you civilly for money in a wrongdoing is because they don’t have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt. In other words, in a civil case you can use circumstantial and a preponderance of the evidence and the jury can find for the plaintiff and award an award. The standards are not as high as taking a capital crime.

You defended yourself in the higher court for the higher charge and then they come back and they are coming after you and you already answered that or there wasn’t enough evidence for the higher charge . So how can they go in and grab money out of you anyway.

John added that one of the things that they do to validate the hung jury and having the right to go forward and retry the case . The judges have this rule. First of all they build their case on the idea concerning the hung jury on the United States vs Perez. And in that particular case is when they turned everything upside down . But the conclusion or the argument in that particular case which was back in 1828 , the conclusion in that case, as a matter of fact Justice Story sat on that case, and the United States Supreme Court made a ruling on it. But the ruling they made was not concerning the hung jury per se. It was really focusing in on something else. John thinks that the argument really being discussed was the right of the judge to be able to call for a hung jury. That was the real argument. There is a paper. John is going to post this paper. It is called “Retrial After a Hung Jury The Double Jeopardy Problem” by Janet E Findlater .

John will post this

Once in jeopardy, you can’t put me in double jeopardy.

The conclusion is this, and this is a common law conclusion, and the Supreme Court has backed this up too, the judge does not have the power or authority to releave that jury.

Logic is the answer. You don’t need anything if you just go back to the Constitution

“Nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb”

(2:08)

John was going to send Gerard the review for “Retrial after a Hung Jury The Double Jeopardy Problem” by Janet E Findlater

John will have this posted

The Montana case is a double jeopardy case.

NLA will have a paper done this week

John will post that paper with this paper

Caller 6: California Dave

An effective court case that utilizes code, procedures, case law incorporates elements of common law , Constitution, and even some “man on the land” stuff. But it incorporates it discreetly and effectively .

Some counties already have an emergency operation plan in place

(2:19)

These emergency plans are usually overseen by the wrong guy like a bureaucrat

They have infrastructure already set up

The only thing missing is the right guy in charge.

Affiliate with the emergency operations plan Make friends with them

Use our newly established rapport and guide the guy in charge to the proper entity which would be the sheriff.

A sheriff is like a manager.

He needs to know when to let those with high experience work and when to intervene.

The sheriff can take control of any scene. But if he doesn’t have the expertise then he should step aside and only take control of what is necessary.

Who takes control of the key players

The feds want to take control

They want to control the town and all of the people.

Fortifying that with armed forces in an actual emergency.

We don’t need to get in the way of emergency services

They know what they are doing when they come onto the scene

They know who is in charge and who should take the lead.

The key thing is the chain of command

The protocol, that is the key

The sheriff should not stick his nose into everything

The chain of command is the key

Emergency services plan for things

They study, they learn strategies, they have practice, they have training.

When they come on a scene that they have no experience in the it could lead to chaos.

That is what we want to prevent.

We want them to think about a national disaster now.

There is a constitutional answer. It is the county sheriff who is the top dog on the chain of command.

The purpose of martial law is for a captured society, a society that has been taken over by another.

We need to get them to think about this before the emergency comes.

Caller 7: South Carolina Ann (Wonder Ann)

When John read the paper he stated “U S citizen”

If you declare yourself as a U S citizen then you are under the auspice of the Congress and you have no freedom and your actual point of reference is DC

Should that terminology be taken out?

Her second point was about a video about a plane that disappeared.

How do you do the next step when it is not in the order of your training?

The plane in question had a break in the line

If they had been in a preparation type of mode

If they had taken the plane down then people would not even know that something had happened.

How can we be prepared for anything that comes along.

If you go into the Constitution, it is the federal government that bring citizenship.

Once a person becomes a citizen then it is generic for all fifty states.

They can live in any of the states and they are part of the country.

We have fifty sovereign countries here.

We can call them states.

We do have a federal government

And we do have one process of bringing people into this country to become citizens.

And Congress has been given that job. It is in Article 1

The word “citizen” is used a few times in the Constitution.

Our Founding Fathers used the word “citizen”

There is a distortment that people have given it.

Being a citizen does not make you a slave of the state.

They say it does because they have come up with certain things.

The Organic Act of 1871 is the key to the whole thing.

This is where they turned “citizen” into someone that is under the state.

People are not under the state. We owe nothing to the state.

It is all about fiction

When you go into court and deny being a citizen then you have given them credibility.

They are a fiction

Treat them like a fiction

Don’t play the game with “the man on the land” That is all baloney

Don’t try to go in and tell them that you are “a sovereign citizen”

We have to put it in it’s proper perspective.

John said “I am a United States citizen”

They want to turn the word around and make it a slave.

Call the fraud a fraud.

Don’t give the fiction a reality by trying to work your language around them.

They are robbing our words and making them mean something else.

People should not be afraid to use the word “citizen”

(2:38)

(2:47)

They really don’t know what you are talking about They are so dumbed down

It takes a few papers and it takes some time for them to get their heads around this

They start to see the writing on the wall after awhile.

NLA goes right to the basics

We are honest , we are coming in honorably, we are standing in the real law, we use true history, and we lay it out there. When you bring truth and justice and you come in honorably there can be no argument against that.

They are all about fraud, and lies and injustice.

We are about justice and truth and honor.

All statutory courts are administrative

We know that they are running and operating administrative courts.

There is nothing wrong with running an administrative court as long as they post the fact on the document

They commit fraud on the court if they bring in an administrative court when it is suppose to be a common law process in court

When you go into their criminal courts , all criminal courts are statutory courts, they are all administrative. When you go into the criminal court they do not post what it is.

They are deceptive and that is fraud.

In Article 3 courts there can be no administrative courts

Their attitude is lost and confused. They don’t understand.

We will defeat them on paper.

Caller 8: Ben from Texas

No response