

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

• 445 Broadway; Albany, NY. 12207-2936 •

Natural Law Case No. 2019-1215-1776
Depository Case No. 1:16-CV-1490
Court of Record

RECAPPING THE RECORD OF THIS EXTRAORDINARY ACTION AND ORDER

Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury

AL, AK, AZ, AR, CA, CO, CT, DE, FL, GA, HI, ID, IL, IN, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, MO, MT, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VT, VA, WA, WV, WI, WY:

TRIBUNAL, WE THE PEOPLE
ON BEHALF OF THE AFFIANTS, ATTACHED

- AGAINST -

United States Supreme Court
Federal Judiciary
United States Senate
U.S. House of Representatives
Judy Perry Martinez, President of the ABA (2019-2020)
ABA Board of Governors (43-members)

DEFENDANTS:

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

• 445 Broadway, Albany, NY. 12207-2936 •

AL, AK, AZ, AR, CA, CO, CT, DE, FL, GA, HI, ID, IL, IN, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, MO, MT, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VT, VA, WA, WV, WI, WY:

United States Grand Jury¹ (*Status: sovereign²*)
Tribunal, the People

- against -

United States Supreme Court, Federal Judiciary U.S.
Senate, U.S. House of Representatives, and ABA
(*Status: clipped sovereignty*)

Defendants

JURISDICTION: Court of Record³
Law Case No. 1776-1789-1791-2019

Administrator Grand Jury Foreman
Depository Case No. 1:16-CV-1490

**EXTRAORDINARY ACTION
REVIEWING THE RECORD
AND ORDER**

Copied: President Trump, AG William Barr

COMES NOW the above entitled Court of Record to review the record. This is our closing statement after which the court is adjourned until after the draining of the swamp and the enemies of liberty are brought to justice, at which time we will make an assessment, remove the olive branch, and summarily determine the facts and reiterate the matter as law and justice require. Meanwhile, we will continue to file joinders, habeas corpuses, and indictments of federal and state judges that ignore due process.

We the People have declared that, *“Prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed. But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object reveals a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism... it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such [Tyrannical Guards], and to provide new Guards for their future security.”*⁴

¹**The UUSCLGJ** is comprised of fifty Grand Juries each unified amongst the counties within their respective States. All fifty States have unified nationally as an assembly of Thousands of People in the name of We the People to suppress, through our Courts of Justice, subverters both foreign and domestic acting under color of law within our governments. States were unified by re-constituting all 3,133 United States counties.

² **“Sovereignty”** means that the decree of sovereign makes law, and foreign courts cannot condemn influences persuading sovereign to make the decree.” *Moscow Fire Ins. Co. of Moscow, Russia v. Bank of New York & Trust Co.*, 294 N.Y.S. 648, 662, 161 Misc. 903. The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative. *Lansing v. Smith*, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7.

³ **“A Court of Record** is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial.” *Jones v. Jones*, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; *Ex parte Gladhill*, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See, also, *Ledwith v. Rosalsky*, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689.

⁴ Declaration of Independence.

FINDING OF FACTS

The United States Supreme Court and the Federal Judiciary orchestrated by the insidious American Bar Association (ABA) have covertly abrogated the Law of the Land via the “Rules Enabling Act of 1934.” The ABA is the second top ranking special interest lobbying group contributing \$47,181,735 to members of the 116th Congress during the 2019-2020 election cycle in return for their support when voting, a/k/a a bribe. Lobbying is a repugnant process by which cunning, ambitious, and unprincipled men enabled themselves to subvert the power of the people and to usurp for themselves the reins of Congress and the Federal Courts, via their filthy lucre. The history of the ABA is a history of repeated destruction of our Constitutional Republic, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States and the People, via repugnant civil law.

“Civil Law, Roman Law and Roman Civil Law are convertible phrases, meaning the same system of jurisprudence. That rule of action which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called municipal law, to distinguish it from the law of nature, and from international law.”⁵

Federal Rule 2’s civil law is repugnant to the Constitution it is “NOT LAW”! Federal rule 2 is subversion against the United States of America. We have in the past and herein do also nullify federal rule 2 and proceed under the rules of common law. 16th American Jurisprudence states that, “*the general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement [how much more by rule]. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail. This is succinctly stated as follows:*”

“The General rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. An unconstitutional law, in legal contemplation, is as inoperative as if it had never been passed. Such a statute leaves the question that it purports to settle just as it would be had the statute not been enacted. “Since an unconstitutional law is void, the general principles follow that it imposes no duties, confers no rights, creates no office, bestows no power or authority on anyone, affords no protection, and justifies no acts performed under it... A void act cannot be legally consistent with a valid one. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law. Indeed, insofar as a statute [OR RULE] runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.”

The “Rules Enabling Act of 1934” gave the US Supreme Court the power to make rules of procedure and evidence for federal courts as long as §2072(b): “*Such rules shall not abridge, enlarge or modify any substantive right. All laws in conflict with such rules shall be of no further force or effect after*

⁵ Bowyer, Mod. Civil Law, 19; Sevier v. Riley, 189. Cal. 170, 244 P. 323, 325.

such rules have taken effect.” The United States Supreme Court ignored said restriction, ignored their oath, and under the civil law teachings of the ABA wrote and enforced the rules enabling act in violation of 18 USC §2(a): “*Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal. (b) Whoever willfully causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him or another would be an offense against the United States, is punishable as a principal*” and in violation of 18 USC §2385 “*Advocating overthrow of Government.*” And thereby the teachings of the ABA, the US Supreme Court, and its Judiciary conspired to and did unlawfully:

- 1) abrogate equity,
- 2) abrogate Natural Law,
- 3) abrogate due process,
- 4) abrogate the Constitution,
- 5) abrogate habeas corpus,
- 6) conceal common law courts of record,
- 7) replace unalienable rights with civil rights,
- 8) intimidate, stack, and taint grand jurists,
- 9) intimidate, stack, and taint petit jurists, and
- 10) incarcerated 1000’s of people without due process.

We the People are endowed by our Creator with certain unalienable Rights. And to secure these rights, we vested Judicial Power in one Supreme Court and in ninety-four inferior federal district courts. We the People permit the judges of both the supreme and inferior courts to hold their offices during good behavior! We would like to remind you that your powers “derive from the consent of We the People,⁶ and that whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter, and to institute new Servants,”⁷ who will adjudicate in the Light of the Law of the Land that we ordained, that we believe would seem most likely to affect our Safety and Happiness and, not what traitorous ABA judges believe! We are not interested in what judges believe, we demand your unyielding obedience to American Jurisprudence and natural law. We told you these things via our founding documents that you took an oath to support defend and protect, but We the People, being lulled to sleep, have been betrayed.

“The sovereignty of a state does not reside in the persons who fill the different departments of its government, but in the People, from whom the government emanated; and they may change it at their discretion. Sovereignty then in this country, abides with the constituency, and not with the agent. This remark is true, both in reference to the federal and state government.”⁸ “The doctrine of Sovereign Immunity is one of the Common Law immunities and defenses that are available to the Sovereign.”⁹ “In the United States, sovereignty resides in people. Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of

⁶ U.S. Constitution.

⁷ Declaration of Independence.

⁸ Spooner v. McConnell, 22 F 939 @ 943.

⁹ Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 318 US 356, 371 and Terry v. Ohio, 392 US 1, 40.

the People to override the will [of the People].”¹⁰ “It will be admitted on all hands, that with the exception of the powers granted to the states and the federal government through the Constitutions, the people of the several states are unconditionally sovereign within their respective states.”¹¹

We are appalled by the arrogance and malevolence of so many federal judges that abhor justice, preferring the repugnant status quo thereby, selling their soul for their portion of thirty pieces of silver! “[We] the People have an indubitable, unalienable, and indefeasible right to reform or change [our] Government, whenever it be found adverse or inadequate to the purposes of its institution.”¹² These maleficent judges believe that they are to “privately interpret the law” and not adhere to “American Jurisprudence.” They believe that they are above the law, that they answer to no one, and that they have sovereign immunity. Let this communicate serve notice to all federal district judges that you have no such immunity when you act under the color of law and outside your authority that we “vested” you with. It is We the People alone, by the blessing of God have sovereign immunity¹³ from statutes, codes, regulations, and your de facto civil law.

Be forewarned, that this organic Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury, a/k/a the tribunal of this “court of record,” has decided that the removal of all lawless judges via indictments is the appropriate action when being denied due process which, within any lawful society, is our most precious of all unalienable rights, without which there is no redress, leaving us with only our second unalienable right to exercise our liberty to redress our grievances!

Judges are creatures of the law and are bound to obey it. If judges break the law, they can be removed for bad behavior, prosecuted and sued for damages, they are duty bound to obey the Law. If judges fail to defend the Constitution when it is brought before them, they war against it and must be removed and possibly tried for treason.

We do not see the United States Supreme Court defending the “Law of the Land.” There is a common law maxim that states, “*For every injury there must be a remedy.*” We the People will have our remedy. And, since most of Congress is guilty of subversion, fraud, and RICO, they are incapable of impeaching you. Therefore, We the People will perform such an extraordinary action via indictments, which is our unalienable right, as we await the imminent removal of what lies beneath the swamp. Article III Section 1 is clear and states: “*The Judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The judges, both of the supreme and inferior courts, shall hold their offices during good behavior.*”

¹⁰ Perry v. US, 294 U.S.330.

¹¹ Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wendell 9, (NY) 6 How416, 14 L. Ed. 997.

¹² James Madison.

¹³ Sovereign Immunity – “The doctrine of Sovereign Immunity is one of the Common-Law immunities and defenses that are available to the Sovereign.” – Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 318 US 356, 371 and Terry v. Ohio, 392 US 1, 40.

TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE¹⁴

- I “Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading...”¹⁵
- II At least since 1938, the de facto United States Supreme Court and its subservient Federal Judiciary adjudicate without lawful authority, but by rule, under roman civil law,¹⁶ in jurisdictions unknown! “Under federal Law if a court is without authority, its judgments and orders are regarded as nullities. They are not voidable, but simply void, and form no bar to a recovery sought, even prior to a reversal in opposition to them. They constitute no justification and all persons concerned in executing such judgments or sentences are considered, in law, as trespassers.”¹⁷
- III “The natural liberty of man is to be free from any superior power on Earth and not to be under the will or legislative authority of man, but only to have the law of nature for his rule;” NOT the rules of a court.¹⁸
- IV “The decisions of a superior court may only be challenged in a court of appeal. The decisions of an inferior court are subject to collateral attack. In other words, in a superior court one may sue an inferior court directly, rather than resort to appeal to an appellate court. Decisions of a court of record may not be appealed. It is binding on ALL other courts. However, no statutory or constitutional court, whether it be an appellate or supreme court, can second guess the judgment of a court of record. The judgment of [this de jure] a court of record, whose jurisdiction is final, is as conclusive on all the world as the judgment of ~~this court~~ [the U.S. Supreme Court] would be. It is as conclusive on ~~this court~~ [the U.S. Supreme Court] as it is on other courts. It puts an end to inquiry concerning the fact, by deciding it.”¹⁹
- V Government is a creature of the law with a clipped sovereignty sufficient only to exercise their vested powers. We the People, the children of nature’s God, receiving our sovereign authority, and unalienable rights, to create an indissoluble government by consent, whose duty is to secure our rights,²⁰ not deprive our rights!²¹

¹⁴ **JUDICIAL COGNIZANCE:** Judicial notice, or knowledge upon which a judge is bound to act without having it proved in evidence. Black's Law Dictionary, 5th Edition, page 760.

¹⁵ U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932.

¹⁶ **LAW:** “Civil Law, Roman Law and Roman Civil Law” are convertible phrases, meaning the same system of jurisprudence. That rule of action which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called municipal law, to distinguish it from the law of nature, and from international law.” Bowyer, Mod. Civil Law, 19; Sevier v. Riley, 189. Cal. 170, 244 P. 323, 325.

¹⁷ Basso v. UPL, 495 F. 2d 906; Brook v Yawkey, 200 F. 2d 633; Elliot v. Piersol, 1 Pet. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340 (1828).

¹⁸ Samuel Adams.

¹⁹ Ex parte Watkins, 3 Pet., at 202-203. cited by SCHNECKLOTH v. BUSTAMONTE, 412 U.S. 218, 255 (1973).

²⁰ **Declaration of Independence:** When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation. We hold these truths to be self-evident, that

- VI The unalienable right of the sovereign People to self-governance was ordained by God, established in the Declaration of Independence and ordained by We the People who are the authority of all law via the Constitution. Any servant who resists these truths wars against the Constitution, the Governor of the Universe and the People. The People owe the State nothing and are under no obligation that would require the People to seek leave from any servant who has no jurisdiction or authority over them. We are not “subjects of the state” but the “masters thereof.”
- VII “The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative.”²² “His (God’s) judges (juries) are the mirror by which the king’s image (righteousness) is reflected.”²³ “A consequence of this prerogative is the legal ubiquity of the King. His Majesty (God) in the eye of the law is always present in all his courts, though he cannot personally distribute justice.”²⁴ “His judges are the mirror by which the King’s Image is reflected.”²⁵
- VIII Natures God²⁶ is the Sovereign Governor of the universe, the creator of all things, whose jurisdiction is eternal, even to the very souls of man.²⁷ In the beginning, He vested man with authority over His creation and commanded that he subdue²⁸ those²⁹ who are subtle³⁰ and would force us into bondage.³¹

all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed.

²¹ **Preamble:** We the people of the United States, in order to ... secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

²² *Lansing v. Smith*, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7.

²³ 1 Blackstone’s Commentaries, 270, Chapter 7, Section 379.

²⁴ Fortesc.c.8. 2Inst.186.

²⁵ 1 Blackstone’s Commentaries, 270, Chapter 7, Section 379.

²⁶ **Declaration of Independence** – People assumed among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature’s God entitle them.

²⁷ **Isa 45:5-12** “I am the LORD, and there is none else, there is no God beside me: I girded thee, though thou hast not known me: That they may know from the rising of the sun, and from the west, that there is none beside me. I am the LORD, and there is none else. I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace, and create evil: I the LORD do all these things. Drop down, ye heavens, from above, and let the skies pour down righteousness: let the earth open, and let them bring forth salvation, and let righteousness spring up together; I the LORD have created it. Woe unto him that striveth with his Maker! Let the potsherd strive with the potsherds of the earth. Shall the clay say to him that fashioneth it, What makest thou? or thy work, He hath no hands? Woe unto him that saith unto his father, What begetteth thou? or to the woman, What hast thou brought forth? Thus saith the LORD, the Holy One of Israel, and his Maker, Ask me of things to come concerning my sons, and concerning the work of my hands command ye me. I have made the earth, and created man upon it: I, even my hands, have stretched out the heavens, and all their host have I commanded.”

²⁸ **Genesis 1:26-31** “Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth. So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them. And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth. And God said, Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed, which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree, in the which is the fruit of a tree yielding seed; to you it shall be for meat. And to every beast of the earth, and to every fowl of the air, and to everything that creepeth upon the earth, wherein there is life, I have given every green herb for meat: and it was so. And God saw everything that he had made, and, behold, it was very good.”

In 1776, We the People, via America's foundational document, the Declaration of Independence, covenanted with Nature's God, acknowledging His jurisdiction and laws and thereby received His blessings of Liberty being "freedom from all law but His."³²

- IX "Any government, that is its own judge of, and determines authoritatively for the people, what are its own powers over the people, is an absolute government of course. It has all the powers that it chooses to exercise. There is no other or at least no more accurate definition of despotism than this."³³
- X For more than eight hundred years that is, since Magna Carta, in 1215 there has been no clearer principle of English or American constitutional law, than that, in criminal cases, it is not only the right and duty of juries to judge what are the facts, what is the law, and what was the moral intent of the accused; but that it is also their Unalienable Right, and their Primary and Paramount Duty, to Judge of the Justice of the law, and to hold all laws invalid, that are, in their opinion, unjust or oppressive, and all persons guiltless in violating, or resisting the execution of, such laws. Unless such be the right and duty of jurors, it is plain that, instead of juries being a "palladium of liberty" a barrier against the tyranny and oppression of the government they are really mere tools in its hands, for carrying into execution any injustice and oppression it may desire to have executed.³⁴
- XI "Treason consists of two elements: Adherence to the enemy, and rendering him aid and comfort."³⁵

EVIDENCE

We have filed and served 35 (*and counting*) Habeas Corpus (*listed herein*) and federal district judges concealed and carried away said judicial proceedings that we deposited with the clerk in violation of

²⁹ Gen 3:1-7 "Now the serpent was more subtle than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath God said, Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden? And the woman said unto the serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the garden: But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die. And the serpent said unto the woman, Ye shall not surely die: For God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil. And when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, and that it was pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof, and did eat, and gave also unto her husband with her; and he did eat. And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons."

³⁰ Cunning in a bad sense those who are elusive, insidious pernicious and difficult to detect.

³¹ **Isa 14:12-17** "How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit. They that see thee shall narrowly look upon thee, and consider thee, saying, Is this the man that made the earth to tremble, that did shake kingdoms; That made the world as a wilderness, and destroyed the cities thereof; that opened not the house of his prisoners?"

³² **Declaration of Independence** – We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.-- That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed.

³³ "Essay on the Trial by Jury, by Lysander Spooner.

³⁴ "Essay on the Trial by Jury, by Lysander Spooner.

³⁵ *Cramer v. U. S.*, U.S.N.Y., 65 S.Ct. 918, 932, 325 U.S. 1, 89 L.Ed. 1441.

18 USC §1519³⁶ and 18 USC §2071.³⁷ Leaving many people falsely imprisoned, children stolen from their parents, and the elderly and their estates are stolen from their children.

We have filed and served 55 (*and counting*) Amicus Curiae (*listed herein*) demanding due process or dismissal of these unlawful procedures on behalf of People losing their homes via “non-judicial foreclosures.” As these village, town, city and county judges concealed and carried away said judicial proceedings that we deposited with the clerk of the court in violation of 18 USC §1519³⁸ and 18 USC §2071, as they ignore the move to federal court for cause in collusion with Federal Court Judge Lawrence E. Kahn, leaving their victims in jurisdictions unknown.

We have filed and served 36 (*and counting*) Amicus Curiae (*listed herein*) on behalf of People in various cases who have been denied due process and suffered losses. As village, town, city and county judges concealed and carried away said judicial proceedings, that we deposited with the clerk of the court, in violation of 18 USC §1519³⁹ and 18 USC §2071. And then they ignored the move to federal court for cause in collusion with Federal Court Judge Lawrence E. Kahn, leaving their victims in jurisdictions unknown.

We have filed and served 38 papers, with 25 evidentiary documents on the US Supreme Court, US Congress, Governors and State Legislators in this extraordinary case concerning subversion and conspiracy by enemies both foreign and domestic and we have been met with silence.⁴⁰ “*Judges have*

³⁶ **18 U.S. Code § 1519** – Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any record, document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation or proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States or any case filed under title 11, or in relation to or contemplation of any such matter or case, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

³⁷ **18 USC § 2071** – Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally (a) Whoever willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, or destroys, or attempts to do so, or, with intent to do so takes and carries away any record, proceeding, map, book, paper, document, or other thing, filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court of the United States, or in any public office, or with any judicial or public officer of the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both. (b) Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing, willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States. As used in this subsection, the term “office” does not include the office held by any person as a retired officer of the Armed Forces of the United States.

³⁸ **18 U.S. Code § 1519** – Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any record, document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation or proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States or any case filed under title 11, or in relation to or contemplation of any such matter or case, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

³⁹ **18 U.S. Code § 1519** – Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any record, document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation or proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States or any case filed under title 11, or in relation to or contemplation of any such matter or case, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

⁴⁰ “Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading...” U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932

*no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the Constitution."*⁴¹

The following lists of papers have been filed in the above said court and can also be found at <https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/action-against-judiciary>. The consistent uniform tyrannical actions by judges from villages, towns, cities, counties, states and the federal courts, all acting in an orchestrated fashion is in itself evidence of conspiracy, concealment, fraud, denial of due process of law and the carrying away of people to jurisdictions unknown. Simply put “*wars against the Constitution!*” How can a court claim to be just if it deprives people of their property⁴² or condemns⁴³ without being heard? The US Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that “*justice must satisfy the appearance of justice.*”⁴⁴ Whereas, federal judges’ audacious denial of due process and unapologetic maintenance of “status quo” is self-evidence of courts of injustice.

The evidence by their actions being evidence of their tyranny and conspiracy,

WRITS & INFORMATIONS - FILED 2015 AND 2016 IN ALL 94 FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS			
COURT OF RECORD A/K/A COMMON LAW COURT			
15-05-15 Writ Quo Warranto	No Response	15-07-20 Mandamus US Supreme Court	No Response
15-05-20 Mandamus to Sheriff	No Response	15-10-14 Information to Judges	No Response
15-05-23 Mandamus all Federal Judges	No Response	15-11-15 US Congress et al, Information SWAT	No Response
15-05-27 US Congress et al, Mandamus Martial law	No Response	15-11-15 Show Cause Clerk & Judge	No Response
15-05-29 US Congress et al Mandamus Amendment II	No Response	16-02-18 Mandamus Governors	No Response
15-06-03 US Congress et al, Mandamus Terrorism	No Response	16-02-22 Information US Supreme Court	No Response
15-06-06 US Congress et al, Mandamus Subversion	No Response	16-07-04 US Congress et al, Declaration of July 4th 2016	No Response
15-07-10 Mandamus Governors	No Response	16-09-26 US Congress et al, Information Martial Law	No Response

REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES (13) - FILED DECEMBER 14, 2016			
COURT OF RECORD A/K/A COMMON LAW COURT			
12-13-16 Congress Redress of grievance	No Response	5-11-17 Governor Cuomo et al Extraordinary Proceeding	No Response
12-13-16 Supreme Court Redress of grievance	No Response	6-14-17 Unlawful Order to Dismiss	No Response
12-13-16 Governors Redress of grievance	No Response	7-17-17 Indictment Judge Kahn	No Response
3-20-17 Information to President	No Response	7-19-17 Writ of Error Final	No Response
1-9-16 Congress, et al Information Martial Law	No Response	7-31-17 Writ Mandamus to court officers	No Response
1-9-17 Show Cause Clerk of Court	No Response	9-8-17 Magistrate Show Cause	No Response
3-1-17 Governor A. Cuomo, et al	No Response		

DECLARATION OF RESTORATION - ORDERED AUGUST 17, 2019			
COURT OF RECORD A/K/A COMMON LAW COURT			
8-17-19 Declaration to Restore Law	No Response	9-30-19 Writ Mandamus/Information	No Response
9-3-19 Order Merging of Equity & Law	No Response	10-7-19 Writ Mandamus	No Response
9-9-19 Judge Kahn 2nd Indictment	No Response	12-17-19 Act of Treason Federal Rule 2	No Response
9-16-19 Fake law & fake courts	No Response	2-19-20 Writ Quo Warranto	No Response
9-25-19 Writ Mandamus	No Response		

⁴¹ Cohen v. Virginia, (1821), 6 Wheat. 264 and U.S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200.

⁴² "...no man shall be deprived of his property without being heard in his own defense. Kinney V. Beverly, 2 Hen. & M(VA) 381, 336.

⁴³ By the law of the land is more clearly intended the general law, a law which hears before it condemns; which proceeds upon inquiry and renders judgment only after trial. Dartmouth College Case, 4 Wheat, U.S. 518, 4 ED 629.

⁴⁴ Levine v. United States, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing Offutt v. United States, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954).

MEMORANDUMS OF LAW			
COURT OF RECORD A/K/A COMMON LAW COURT			
Memorandum Abortion	No Response	Memorandum Jurisdiction Natural Law	No Response
Memorandum Acts of Treason	No Response	Memorandum Jury Nullification	No Response
Memorandum Amendment II	No Response	Memorandum Jury Tampering & Stacking	No Response
Memorandum Amendment X	No Response	Memorandum Law and Equity	No Response
Memorandum Amendment XIII	No Response	Memorandum Non Judicial Foreclosure	No Response
Memorandum Article III Courts	No Response	Memorandum Petit Jury Authority	No Response
Memorandum Court of Record	No Response	Memorandum Sovereignty	No Response
Memorandum Founding Fathers and the judiciary	No Response	Memorandum Statutes Codes Regulations	No Response
Memorandum Grand Jury Authority	No Response	Memorandum Tax Courts	No Response
Memorandum Habeas Corpus	No Response	Memorandum USC Title 18	No Response
Memorandum High Treason	No Response	Memorandum Natural v Civil Rights	No Response

EVIDENCE			
COURT OF RECORD A/K/A COMMON LAW COURT			
16th Amendment Not Ratified	No Response	Dodd Report to the Reece Committee 1954	No Response
17th Amendment Not Ratified	No Response	Federal Grand Handbook	No Response
ATT and NSA spying on the People	No Response	Federal Reserve Certificate of Corp	No Response
Articles of Freedom	No Response	Federal Trial Jury-handbook	No Response
Blaze Armed Agencies	No Response	Militarizing the Federal Agencies	No Response
Board of Governors Vested	No Response	NSA Utah Data Center	No Response
Congress Report Lawyers Guild	No Response	No Free Speech Zone	No Response
Congressional Oversight Hearing on Public Land	No Response	Sovereign Sioux Tribe	No Response
Congressman McFadden Speech	No Response	Ten Facts About SWAT	No Response
Cooper File	No Response	The United States of SWAT	No Response
DOJ 2008 Fed Law Enforcement Report	No Response	Timeline of United States at war	No Response
DOJ 2008 report Federal Law Enforcement	No Response	Two cents worth	No Response
Diesel Therapy	No Response		

AMICUS CURIAE, CASES MOVED FOR CAUSE			
COURT OF RECORD A/K/A COMMON LAW COURT			
Amendment II NY Governor et al	No Response from originating court	Nadler Joel 2	No Response from originating court
Abendroth Brian	No Response from originating court	Ollis David	No Response from originating court
Anderson Theresa	No Response from originating court	Paul Randy	No Response from originating court
Birsen James	No Response from originating court	Paul Randy 1	No Response from originating court
Brooks Benjamin	No Response from originating court	Paul Randy 2	No Response from originating court
Gregerson Debra	No Response from originating court	Paul Randy 3	No Response from originating court
Gregerson Stephen	No Response from originating court	Paul Randy 4	No Response from originating court
Gundersen Candace	No Response from originating court	Regec Ryan J	No Response from originating court
Hall Carey A	No Response from originating court	Rice Charlie	No Response from originating court
Heinz Timothy	No Response from originating court	Roberts Kelli1	No Response from originating court
Hobby Matthew	No Response from originating court	Roberts Kelli2	No Response from originating court
Kapustin Oleg	No Response from originating court	Sara John	No Response from originating court
King Greg A	No Response from originating court	Sepehry-Fard Fareed	No Response from originating court
Knight Benjamin	No Response from originating court	Wilson Gale	No Response from originating court
Koulinich Oksana	No Response from originating court	Yorty Heatherlee	No Response from originating court
Lewis Dwayne	No Response from originating court	Zarinegar Sean	No Response from originating court
McQuarry Patricia	No Response from originating court	Gurley Bruce	No Response from originating court
Nadler Joel 1	No Response from originating court	Hatton Ronald	No Response from originating court

HABEAS CORPUSES			
COURT OF RECORD A/K/A COMMON LAW COURT			
Aaron Rabold	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Karla Johnson	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Arianna Meyers	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Kathryn Stuart	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Brian Jopson	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Lily Helen Ko	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Campbell Robert	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Louis Daniel Smith	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Christina C. Jiron	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Lugaro Jesse	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Congress Anwar	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Mable Marson	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Crannell Christian	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Maud Pollock	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Curtis Kimbrough	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Mercer Russell	Ignored by the Federal District Court
David Lee	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Newton Cantrell	Ignored by the Federal District Court
David Mongielo	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Pielack Barbara	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Erica Carey	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Rolando Ramirez	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Griffin	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Ronald Poulson	Ignored by the Federal District Court
James Vernon	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Sara John	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Jan Pachnik	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Sheri Grizzell	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Janie Sanders	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Shirearl Taylor	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Johannes Wanda	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Szach Anthony	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Justin Borseth	Ignored by the Federal District Court	Timothy Berry	Ignored by the Federal District Court
Kapustin Oleg	Ignored by the Federal District Court		Ignored by the Federal District Court

NON-JUDICIAL FORECLOSURES - AMICUS CURIAE, CASES MOVED FOR CAUSE

COURT OF RECORD A/K/A COMMON LAW COURT

Ann Galloway	No Response from originating court	Leokadia Miglietta	No Response from originating court
Asulu Williams	No Response from originating court	Louise Gardner	No Response from originating court
Awilda Lora	No Response from originating court	M Johnson	No Response from originating court
Byron Gashler	No Response from originating court	Mable Marson	No Response from originating court
Byron L. Gashler	No Response from originating court	Mark Kleeman	No Response from originating court
Christie Reed	No Response from originating court	Maud Pollock	No Response from originating court
Crystal Mack	No Response from originating court	Michael Hammer	No Response from originating court
Crystal Mack (2)	No Response from originating court	Nahimana Bey	No Response from originating court
Deborah Foster	No Response from originating court	Paul Gonzales	No Response from originating court
Deborah Foster (2)	No Response from originating court	Randall Grondwold	No Response from originating court
D'Annie Isra El	No Response from originating court	Randy Paul	No Response from originating court
Elliot Rodriguez	No Response from originating court	Randy Paul (2)	No Response from originating court
Elliott Rodriguez	No Response from originating court	Randy Paul (3)	No Response from originating court
Fareed Fard	No Response from originating court	Robert Hornbarger	No Response from originating court
Felicia Collins	No Response from originating court	Robert Overheul	No Response from originating court
Frederick J. Nuzzo	No Response from originating court	Robert Rubio	No Response from originating court
Harley William Blake III	No Response from originating court	Ronald Poulson	No Response from originating court
Heather Dalton	No Response from originating court	Ronald Van Dyke	No Response from originating court
Heriot Boyles	No Response from originating court	Sergio Paul	No Response from originating court
Hiltrud Steimel	No Response from originating court	Seth Rabold	No Response from originating court
Janice Jackson	No Response from originating court	Shirearl Taylor	No Response from originating court
Jan'e & Rudolph Colahar	No Response from originating court	Stephen Gregerson	No Response from originating court
Jeffrey Bryant	No Response from originating court	Stephen Gregerson (2)	No Response from originating court
Jeffrey Smiles	No Response from originating court	Theron Marrs	No Response from originating court
John Sprouse	No Response from originating court	Thomas Anderson	No Response from originating court
John Sprouse (2)	No Response from originating court	Thomas Williams	No Response from originating court
Joseph Eskel	No Response from originating court	Valtair Souza	No Response from originating court
Kenta Morris	No Response from originating court		

INDICTMENTS AGAINST FEDERAL JUDGES

DENIAL OF HABEAS CORPUS, CONSPIRACY & FELONY RESCUE

Chief Judge Robert J. Jonker	U.S. District Court for the Western District of Michigan
Chief Judge Linda R. Reade	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Iowa
Chief Judge Dana L. Christensen	U.S. District Court for the District of Montana
Chief Judge Marsha J. Pechman	U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington
Chief Judge Dana L. Christensen	U.S. District Court for District of Montana
Chief Judge Ann Aiken	U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon
Chief Judge George H. King	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
Judge Steven McAuliffe	U.S. District Court for the District of New Hampshire
Chief Judge Joseph Normand Laplante	U.S. District Court for the District of New Hampshire
Chief Judge Phyllis Jean Hamilton	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
Chief Judge Ann L. Aiken	U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon
Chief Judge Janet C. Hall	U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut
Chief Judge Joy Flowers Conti	U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania
Chief Judge Denise Page Hood	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan
Chief Judge George H. King	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
Chief Judge Christopher C. Conner,	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania
Chief Judge Carol Bagley Amon	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York
Chief Judge David Gregory Kays	U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri
Chief Judge Marsha J. Pechman	U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington
Chief Judge George H. King	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
Chief Judge J. Daniel Breen	U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee
Chief Judge Jerome B. Simandle,	U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
Chief Judge Thomas D. Schroder	U.S. District Court for the District of North Carolina
Chief Judge Glenn T. Suddaby	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of New York
Chief Judge John R. Tunheim	U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota
Chief Judge James J. Breder	U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland
Chief Judge Mark S. Davis	U.S. District Court for the District of Virginia
Chief Judge Grant Murray Snow	U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona
Chief Judge Steven Merryday	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida
Chief Judge Rebecca R. Pallmeyer	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
Chief Judge Marcia S. Krieger	U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado
Chief Judge Frank Paul Geraci Jr	U.S. District Court for the Western District of New York
Chief Judge Carol Bagley Amon	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York

**INDICTMENTS AGAINST STATE JUDGES
DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS, CONSPIRACY & FELONY RESCUE**

Chief Judge Carin Schienberg Chief Judge David Nuffer Chief Judge Frederick J. Lauten Chief Judge Kathleen Brickley Chief Judge Scott Needham Chief Justice Lenore Gelfman Chief Justice Paula Carey Judge A C McKay Chauvin Judge Alfred J. Jennings, Jr. Judge Cortland Corsones Judge D. Hinrichs Judge Daniel A. Ottolia Judge David J. King Judge Eddie Rodriguez Judge Francis Mathew Judge George B. Turner Judge Gordon R. Burkhardt Judge James Wilson Abrams Judge John Braxton Judge John J. DiMotto Judge Jon Theison Judge Joseph Farneti Judge Juan B. Colas Judge Kenneth J. Grispin Judge Lisa Porter Judge Lonnie Thompson Judge Mary Ann Sumi Judge Michael P. Burns Judge Nathaniel J. Poovey, Judge Patricia M. Lucas Judge Paul M. Yatron Judge Roger N. Nanovic Judge Sandra Champ Judge Sharon Devreis Judge Terence Judge Thomas Michael Deister Judge Timothy M. Wright Judge Toni E. Clarke Judge Virginia A. Phillips Judge Wallace A. Lee Magistrate Judge Keith Rosa Master in Equity Marvin H. Dukes, III	Superior Court, Clark County, Washington State United States District Court for the District of Utah Ninth Judicial Circuit of Florida 36th Circuit Court in Van Buren County, Michigan State Tenth Judicial Administrative District, Wisconsin State Fifth Circuit Court, Howard County, Maryland Massachusetts Trial Court 30th Judicial Circuit, Jefferson County, Kentucky Fairfield District Superior Court, Connecticut Vermont Superior Court Superior Court of Humboldt County, California Superior Court of Riverside County in California First Judicial District in Kansas Fairfield District Superior Court in Connecticut First Judicial District of New Mexico Brevard County, 18th Judicial Circuit Court in Florida Superior Court, Riverside County, California New Britain District Superior Court in Connecticut Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas Milwaukee County Circuit Court, Wisconsin Eau Claire County Circuit Court, Eau Claire County, Wisconsin Suffolk County Supreme Court, New York Dane County Circuit Court in Wisconsin New Jersey Superior Court, Union County 20th Judicial Circuit Court in Florida Shelby County General Sessions Court, Division 6 in Tennessee Dane County Circuit Court, Branch 2, Dane County, Wisconsin 10th Judicial District County Court, Nebraska North Carolina Superior Court Superior Court of Santa Clara County, California Berks County Court of Common Pleas, Pennsylvania Carbon County Court of Common Pleas, Pennsylvania Fifth Judicial Circuit, Ocala FL Portsmouth District Court, Brentwood Family Division United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit Twenty-First Judicial District Court, Colorado Gila County Superior Court, Arizona Circuit Court for Prince George's County, Maryland United States District Court for the Central District of California Sixth Judicial District, Utah Circuit Court for Montgomery County, Maryland South Carolina Judicial Branch Beaufort County
--	---

**INDICTMENTS AGAINST FEDERAL JUDGE KAHN
FELONY RESCUE, CONCEALMENT**

Judge Lawrence E. Kahn	US District Court for the Northern District of New York
------------------------	---

**MURDER CONSPIRACY INDICTMENT
MURDER OF LAVOY FINICUM 24 PAGE INDICTMENT**

Hillary Clinton Harry Mason Reid BLM Special Agent in Charge Daniel Love for Utah and Nevada Attorney General Loretta Lynch FBI Director James Comey Oregon Governor Katherine Brown FBI Special Agent Gregory T. Bretzing Grant County Commissioner Boyd Britton Sheriff David Ward Judge Steven Grasty FBI Agent W. Joseph Astarita Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen Magistrate Judge Carl Hoffman US Attorney Daniel G. Bogden US Attorney Steven W. Myhre U.S. Attorney Nicholas D. Dickinson US Attorney Nadia J. Ahmed US Attorney Erin M. Creegan	Chief Judge Gloria M. Navarro Assistant U.S. Attorney Steven Myhre Magistrate Judge Michael R. Hogan Chief Judge Ann L. Aiken Magistrate Judge Patricia Sullivan U.S. Attorney Amy E. Potter U.S. Attorney Frank R. Papagni, Jr. Judge Anna J. Brown Magistrate Judge John Acosta Judge Stacie F. Beckerman Judge Dustin Pead U.S. Attorney Billy J. Williams U.S. Attorney Ethan D. Knight Assistant U.S. Attorney Geoffrey A. Barrow Assistant U.S. Attorney Craig Gabriel * Numerous John/Jane Doe(s) from multiple agencies (To be identified) which include, but are not limited, to the Local Police, State Police, BLM, FBI and NGO Contractors.
---	--

THE TRIBUNAL ORDERS the United States Supreme Court, United States House of Representatives, the United States Senate and the Federal Judiciary is to Honor their oath to support and defend the Constitution for the United States of America by their actions -or- resign immediately -or- we will remove you via indictment for waring against the Constitution.

“Any judge who does not comply with his oath to the Constitution of the United States wars against that Constitution and engages in acts in violation of the supreme law of the land. The judge is engaged in acts of treason.”⁴⁵

THE TRIBUNAL ORDERS the American Bar Association to cease the teaching of civil law in place of “Law and equity” and notify your members of the same.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Albany, New York, April 6th 2020,

SEAL



Jury Foreman
Natural Law Tribunal

⁴⁵ Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S. Ct. 1401 (1958)