National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

May 28, 2018

Gerard hosted the call

Memorial Day

Things are very busy

We only have about 25 people attending the call

ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Natural Healing Group we have guest lecturers coming in

We have lecturers lined up but we have an opening for June 21st and then we’re covered through July 12th . And then onward we are looking for people who have a good knowledge of some phase of natural healing that would like to talk for forty to fifty minutes and answer a few questions. It would be on a Thursday night at 8:00 EST

If you’re remotely interested and you have a good background and you’d like to talk even if it takes two sessions that would be fine

Call Jan at 814-531-5363 or e-mail Jan at [jan@NationalLibertyAlliance.org](mailto:jan@NationalLibertyAlliance.org)

We have an upcoming courtroom observer case

It’s for Elena Struajin of New York City

It’s for September 13th So we will revisit this in early September

In regards to the Gun Case John has posted their latest response to us

They responded with a lot of crazy stuff

In their response they made a lot of claims unsubstantiated claims about us and what we’re doing

They called us sovereign citizens

It almost read like the Southern Poverty Law blurb about us

They say we’re sovereigns and that we don’t believe in the government

The Southern Poverty Law Center did a good job of writing who we are and what we do

And as bad as they tried to make us look they explained it so well that it’s a good advertisement

Meanwhile they’re calling us sovereign citizens

That’s exactly what this assistant attorney general did

In this assistant attorney general’s motion to dismiss they didn’t even answer the case they answered with a letter request to extend the time for them to answer in order to put in a motion to dismiss The rules don’t even allow for that

You can’t come into a case where somebody has brought you in as a defendant and then say Well I want to extend the time for me to answer from the thirty days that I had. I need another 45 days in order to put a motion in to dismiss

You didn’t even answer the case yet

There has to be some action to move the court in order for you to move the court

So we come in with accusations they don’t even answer our accusations

They make a motion for an extension of time

In order to go over your time of when you send out your notice and when you get your notice and when you have to answer There’s only a strict few rules that allows the judge to offer you more time

There’s two rules if you’re a defendant and you need more time to answer

anybody that needs more time they get personally served they get 20 days and if they get served through the mail then they get 30 days and the only way to get that extended is

two reasons: it has to be something earth shattering that was totally out of your control

and number two is you have to show that you would have probably won if you got your chance to answer

Both of those things need to be in place before you can get an extension

Neither of them are in this case

What does the state need more time to answer for?

They got our tax dollars They got a whole army of lawyers there and they couldn’t answer us in thirty days?

The need more time and they didn’t answer any way They want more time in order to put a motion to dismiss in

Not only did he not answer the case and just made a motion to dismiss but he proceeds to try to argue the case without answering us in his motion to dismiss

And he brings us some old case that we had a couple of years ago That was never ruled on

It went out on a technicality

He’s calling it we can’t bring this case up it’s collateral estoppels because it’s already been in here once

It never went in It never made it in because it got dismissed on a technicality before it went in so therefore it was never adjudicated it was never ruled on

In order for it to be collateral estoppels it has to be something that actually went through the process of being argued then finished lost or won

Then it’s collateral estoppels

This guy is bringing up a case that we ended up let drop it wasn’t really adjudicated

You can’t put collateral estoppels because you didn’t discuss anything really

In his request for more time in his Memorandum of Law he is throwing a bunch of stuff in that technically he doesn’t think that we’re going to be able to answer

He’s making a motion to dismiss He hasn’t answered us in the first place

and he has no intention of answering us in the second place because basically the judge gave him another 45 days to answer to put his motion to dismiss in and he’s hoping that the judge dismisses it and we never get to answer

It’s kind of fraud He’s using the courts to commit fraud

What we need to do is we need to address that

The other thing we need to do is to address the fact that they labeled us with all these labels without proof We have to put this guy in the case now

Or we could take him off on his own and the judge too the judge should know better

that he’s using the court and he’s making these claims nobody has been convicted of anything at NLA ever

We’ve had numerous visits from the FBI

We always tell them what we’re doing and how we’re doing it through the court

We send everybody a copy of our papers

What is a sovereign citizen anyway? How do you become one?

Where are they? What’s their organization?

It’s liable It’s defamation of character

He’s not going to be able to defend his position here

The name-calling he did is pretty bad for him to put that out there in a paper in a case.

(15:00)

We are going to go over everything he said and put it under the microscope and send it back to him

I don’t know how they’re going to answer because they didn’t answer the first time

the only reason they did this is because they couldn’t answer

They can’t reply to the law

The answer to lawlessness is the law

What we wrote in there is the law

And he cannot answer the law

A good video to watch is **Paul Weston - The Orwellian Jailing of Tommy Robinson**

(35:50)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: It’s on National Popular Vote

Please comment on National Popular Vote as I am receiving surveys asking whether National Popular Vote should be a law

This would allow California, New York, Florida complete control over the other 47 states

because they have the largest populations

Could you spend some time educating freedom lovers of the dangers of a National Popular Vote?

When Gerard was growing up he never understood about there is an electoral college and every state gets so many votes as far as your Senators and Congressmen that was designed so that out of the fifty states at least the states would get equal representation

Also the Seventeenth Amendment where the legislatures used to send two senators up

They killed that by making that a National Popular Vote

We have the Congress as our representatives

The States needs the Senators as their representatives

We stole the states votes from them by letting the people because now politics comes in more

into the agenda and they can control it more with a National Popular Vote of the Senators

The whole idea behind the electoral college which Gerard don’t know why they couldn’t explain it to him when he was a kid

Each state gets two votes in the electoral college

So if you don’t get enough electoral votes even if you get the popular vote you don’t get in.

You don’t have the most populous states dictating to the rest of the country

National Popular Vote is a horrible idea

(42:30)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

(43:15)

Brent does this because he is of the conviction that if he doesn’t do it there will come a time when the Powers That Be will try to stop him from doing it

And when we exercise our right of free speech responsibly then you preserve it

You don’t lose it You don’t use it you lose it

You cannot deal with an unprincipled man in a principled way

Let me get to that fundamental document called the Declaration of ‘76

Brent’s comments are his and may or may not be the view of National Liberty Alliance

Paragraph 26 of the Declaration of ’76

Paragraph 26 says “ He has plundered our seas, ravaged our Coasts, burnt our towns, and destroyed the lives of our people.” The 23rd of August 1775 George III issued a proclamation declaring that all 13 of the American colonies were in a state of rebellion In October of that year 1775 British forces burned the town of Falmouth , Maine The name of that town now is Portland The only tool that the King and Parliament had to dominate the American colonies was force and threat of force The only tool that government has to get its will this has always been true and always will be true is force and threat of force Force and threat of force and when that doesn’t work they got one option left Greater force and more threats of force What they don’t have is truth They don’t have the truth They don’t have the high ground

Paragraph 27: “He is at this time transporting large Armies of foreign Mercenaries to compleat the works of death, desolation and tyranny, already begun with circumstances of Cruelty & perfidy scarcely paralleled in the most barbarous ages, and totally unworthy the Head of a civilized nation.”

Paragraph 26 was strong This one is worse

The foreign mercenaries are the dreaded German Hessians

King George III was the Elector of Hanover, Germany That means that he was a German

The reason he was setting on the throne was because he was the only option that they had for a Protestant King He was the Elector of Hanover He was a German Prince

He was German and he was King of Britain

The Hessians were from Germany from Hanover he sent to help subdue the American colonies were his subjects King George III leased the Hessians from himself to himself as King of England He was Prince of Germany so they had to do what he said

He leased them to himself that way he could get money from England as King of England from the taxpayers He would get that money and pay Germany

So he leased the Hessians from himself to himself The transaction was not only profitable to him and the banks which invested The Bank of London they liked it

It was risk free As the German Prince George demanded a large lease payment for the Hessians As the King of Great Britain he borrowed that payment from England’s Central Bank and laid the interest payment on the backs of the British people the taxpayer

Then as the German Prince he received that lease payment for his Hessian soldiers and invested it in Germany’s Central Bank which owned England’s Central Bank

He enslaved the British to the Bankers through debt

Then he tried to use the Hessians to enslave the colonists

Such shenanigans our common law abhors

Common law and the Bible it’s impossible as a matter of law to contract with yourself

to make an agreement with yourself

It takes two parties to have a reciprocal contract

King George not only cinched the work of his bank investors on the backs of the British for years to come but also cinched some power for the German England Bankers cartel

The Bankers enslave the people by debt and their tool is the presumptive silly they call the useful idiot enslaving him fell to their sham in exchange for false feelings of security

What is a mercenary? A mercenary is a combatant hired by lease from or lent out to someone other than the mercenary’s own government to fight in a foreign war

King George III asserted absolute power over the young Hessian men

to buy, sell, and lease them at his will

Then they had to kill or be killed at his command

That’s trafficking in the souls of men

The use of mercenaries though found in the history of common law countries has never been a part of the common law tradition

The mercenary fight on command for profit for himself for his master or for both

If you’re enslaved as were the Hessians they were fighting for the profit of their master

and a paycheck for themselves

The nonmercenary fights for his rights and those of his family and his neighbors and his countrymen and if he receives a dollar or two a day while he does it he considers himself fortunate

In the past the United States has neither employed mercenaries nor has lent her sons out as mercenaries

Joseph Plumb Martin was once a young Continental soldier

And years later as an old veteran of the American War for Independence from Britain he recalled his discovery of the unburied remains of Hessian mercenaries

J P Martin recalled his feelings of the discovery of the remains of his Hessian foes

Brent read from J P Martin’s memoires

He enlisted as a private got out seven years later as a sergeant He is the only enlisted man that has written out a complete memoire about his service

Listen to what he says on discovering the remains of Hessian soldiers after the Battle of White Plains of which he was engaged

“I, with some of my comrades who were in the battle of the White Plains in the year ‘76, one day took a ramble on the ground where we were then engaged with the British and took a survey of the place. We saw a number of the graves of those who had fell in that battle; some of the bodies had been so slightly buried that the dogs or hogs, or both, had dug them out of the ground. Their skulls and other bones, and hair were scattered about the place. Here were Hessian skulls as thick as a bombshell; —poor fellows! They were left unburied in a foreign land; —they had, perhaps, as near and dear friends to lament their sad destiny as the Americans who laid buried near them.

But they should have kept at home, we should then never have gone after them to kill them in their own country. But, the reader will say, they were forced to come and be killed here; forced by their rulers who have absolute power of life and death over their subjects. Well then reader, bless a kind Providence that has made such a distinction between **your**condition and **theirs**. And be careful too that you do not allow yourself to be brought to such an abject servile condition”

The abject and servile condition of which J P Martin speaks is that of those subject to rulers who conscript and sell their subject’s lives and military services to a foreign power or other foreign officers. The Hessians fighting the Americans were enslaved by George III not only German Prince but also King of England

Their lives were sold to King George of England from Prince George of Germany for money

Such slavery now threatens American armed forces as our government puts her sons and despicably even her daughters under the command of the United Nations foreign officers

This clause Clause 27 refers to circumstances of cruelty and perfidy scarcely paralleled in the most barbarous ages because King and Parliament unable to subdue the colonies by threats or force planned instead terrorism That’s barbarous force even to the point of infusing the small pox virus to the colonies through the slave population in an attempt at germ warfare to destroy the population And General Washington having learned of this barbarity and he himself had survived small pox when he was young His face was horribly scarred from it he asked if the Continental Army would submit to the small pox vaccination. Him and them knowing full well that likely ten percent would die from the vaccination But without it the Brit’s germ warfare would kill 90 percent of the Continental Army. Vaccination disabled each man for about two weeks

Washington staggered the vaccination of the army group by group

This has been a constant threat throughout our history that Americans would be put under command of foreign officers or foreign princes That’s not to be allowed in America

Paragraph 28 it says

“He has constrained our fellow Citizens taken Captive on the high Seas to bear Arms against their Country, to become the executioners of their friends and Brethren, or to fall themselves by their Hands.”

Here our Declaration of ’76 charges King George with common law kidnapping, slavery, and murder.

British warships were stopping American ships on the high seas and at point of guns and cannons boarding them and taking how many men they needed for crew members claiming that they were men that went AWOL They were taking them captive on the high seas to bear arms against their country They were making seamen out of them

They needed sailors bad It was hard to find men to sign up for the Navy

(1:26:56)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Maria from Wisconsin

inaudible

Caller 2 Fred California

He has a lot of comments about this case about the guns

That lawyer hung a lot of names on NLA Fred don’t like that Make him provide legal definitions and references out of books where it comes from

You should subpoena the judge and the attorney general Get their oath of office Get their BAR membership agreement where it says they will keep things normal in the courts for the BAR Then you got a conflict of interests

That should disqualify them

Then you got the Errors and Omissions Insurance get those numbers

They become personally liable and you can use the Errors and Omissions Insurance to wedge between their personal finance and what the insurance is willing to pay

Then you want the exact name that practices law under the BAR card

And if the name they’re using on their documents is one iota different if he is not practicing law under that exact name in a courtroom it’s fraud upon the court

And then you want to demand the reason for more time that alters the Rules of Procedure

Lastly is this Subpoena from that judge the common law procedures in a court

We are not in a civil court We’re in a common law Article III court

And you want a jury present during all court

The judge is nothing more than a magistrate in this court

When you go into these courts the game that their playing is that they have a cover sheet that you fill out and it has checkboxes They feel comfortable

We said This is an Article III court

They just want to throw us out because they can’t answer us

They can’t answer because they know that they’re on the outside of the law

If you go into the courtroom and make a scene you will probably get arrested for contempt

We’ve been to court on various occasions and we’ve never been held in contempt

We don’t mouth off

The judge that did these things and the assistant attorney general Gerard does not think that they will still be on the case that’s going to be fought on paperwork

By the time we get done with the paperwork going back and forth they’ll have to recuse themselves

If not then that’s what we’ll go there demanding

Respectfully demanding that they recuse themselves

(1:52:00)

Caller 3 Andy a voip dialer It’s not Andy It’s Cindy

Cindy was hoping that Crystal was on before her

Caller is experiencing what Crystal is experiencing

Crystal is not the only one in Connecticut going through this scam

They don’t change their M O from place to place

Be careful that if the judge gives you an order that you follow through

so it doesn’t give him the opportunity to put you in contempt and you could end in jail

It’s organized crime It’s theft

They do robo signing

(2:05:25)

Caller 4 Terry from New Jersey

Terry was just looking over the paperwork NLA filed

Caller was referring to a case from last year

A magistrate judge does not have jurisdiction to rule on dispositive case motions

Terry’s case has been going back almost 18 years now

with regard to his unlawful conviction and removal as executor

He kept appealing this to the higher courts

Eventually he filed a complaint in federal court against them which was dismissed

Basically you have this judge that ignored Terry’s case

They were protecting lower court judges

They removed Terry as co-executor and arranged his conviction so they could put in a corrupt County BAR Association President who took over the estate

Terry was looking at the rules for the prosecutor

One of the rules for the prosecutor to invite the person who is being indicted to appear before the grand jury. That never happened in Terry’s case

They take these complaints They don’t bother to call the alleged defendant to appear before the grand jury And the grand jury court just rubber stamps them because they don’t understand what their job is

Terry is doing a blog now

If this happened in Terry’s case where they’re supposed to extend an invitation to the defendant and they don’t do it then it’s probably pare for the course

Most of these indictments and convictions are tainted

(2:16:56)

Caller 5 617 number Cynthia from Massachusetts

Talked about Non Judicial Foreclosure

It is not only deprivation of your rights

It’s a violation of your right to self determination the basic principles of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness

You don’t get to respond to anything it keeps on moving forward

They’re not asking your permission they’re just moving ahead like you abandoned it

You’re in the total wrong jurisdiction

But you don’t know this when you’re going through this

When people find remedies then they change their tactics

If we could get courts and judges back to the rule of law this problem would go away

(2:29:07)

Caller 6 Felicia Florida

Did you hear about the case in Florida where Wells Fargo tried to foreclose on someone and they ended up having to dismiss it?

What do you guys think about Trump rolling back the Dodd Frank to give the stock market more leniency?

Has Judge Kahn been indicted yet?

Indictment of Judge Kahn was on October 24 we filed an indictment on him

Which he didn’t answer

We got him on concealment and felony rescue

It is on the docket even though the judge said it would be dismissed in 30 days

Since the time he did that we have filed five more papers

And they are on the docket

The clerk is still putting them on the docket