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(4:45)

We have the Campaign for Constitutional Sheriffs

We need help to get this campaign going

Without collecting money in order to purchase these books to get them to sheriffs then we are at a standstill.

We’re counting on people to pass the word

Most people belong to local groups liberty groups they should pass this information to local groups and get these people and talk to them about the project what it’s purpose is and get them to read the front page and also read the handbook that we wrote for the sheriffs.

So we really need to get this project moving because it is not moving much at all.

It’s slow going.

Also we have the New York Safe Act Law Suit

We have enough people to go forward but they’re still dwindling in

Other people are still going to be sending in to get into the lawsuit

We’re going to wait a little longer until we file it

Somebody put this out that they are moving the deadline until next year

Nevertheless, John’s sheriff said that they are not going to be able to enforce this

They have no enforcement for if you don’t file There is no enforcement set up for them

And he said in order for them to take your weapons they got to go through the local judge in that county

Each county has a judge that signs off on the weapons

In order to take your weapons away that local judge has to do it within your county that’s what the sheriff told John

They will not be coming to knock on your doors to collect your guns

They will not be arresting you while you’re driving or anything of that nature

And he also said that 90% of the sheriffs most of the sheriffs in New York State holds his position and even the judges hold that position.

This is a political thing and they realize it.

John’s sheriff told him that this is a political thing and it’s all about collecting data and information.

We still have more time We’re going to hold it off a little longer so we can get more people into this lawsuit

We’re still going to pursue what we’re going to pursue and damages

So anybody in New York that would like to get into that lawsuit go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org right underneath the News highlight the News about three down it says “New York Safe Act Lawsuit”

Read the information about the lawsuit and how to join in

Download an affidavit and also a paper with your basic information and you mail it with $50 to the address that’s on that page

Anybody that still wants to get into this lawsuit there’s still time

We really need to do more We could be much more active

There’s so many papers that we could file

We have to sue the judiciary

The grand jury would be the perfect group especially the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury would be the perfect group to sue the federal judiciary

But again these things cost money

It cost money to file these lawsuits

It cost money for the paper and the serving and the following up on the paperwork

In order to do those things we need money

We need to sue the judiciary for numerous reasons

First of all they seem to have closed down Article 3 courts

We need to sue them about that

We have courts that are not giving due process

We need to sue them about that

We do have this in our court case ultimately under subversion

And it’s really a depository is what we opened the case up for in Albany

We’re filing all of our papers that we served on different people in different levels of government

And we are also doing some indictments and we are filing them up there

We are also reporting this to Jeff Sessions and also to President Trump

So they get a copy of everything that we are doing

We’re expecting them to send U S Attorneys down as prosecutors to prosecute these cases

in as far as indictments are concerned

They are still keeping our papers and not sending them back

We will be sending some more papers soon

We did an indictment a week and a half or two weeks ago

We indicted numerous individuals under the Bundy case, the Hammond case, the Wildlife Preserve, and the assassination of LaVoy Finicum

That paperwork is taking a little time to put together because we want to give some historical background inside the paperwork and we have a lot of things to put together

There’s going to be a lot of paperwork involved in this so we are still working on putting that together.

(14:46)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Eric

Eric has a question about local emoluments

Jeff Sessions wanted to make the civil asset forfeiture on the federal level easier to do and allow states to skirt around their stricter civil asset forfeiture laws They called it equal sharing

It essentially allowed states to skirt their more strict civil asset forfeiture restrictions

and pass it off to the federal government which of course is something that we don’t want them to do

This Trafits fellow from Nevada or Utah or out West who resigned

We were fans of that guy He seemed to be a bulldog

He was on a show recently he said he took some stuff over to Sessions stuff about the Russian dossier this included big people like Mueller and Comey and Clinton and Sessions said that he wasn’t going to do anything with it. This is what Trafits said.

The idea that we’re going to send anything to Sessions and believe that he is going to do anything for us could be barking up the wrong tree.

If he’s been busy on indictments 10,000 indictments over the past year he’s been busy.

We really don’t know what the plan is

We don’t know what the plan for Sessions is

Maybe it is to sit back and keep going to work undercover and just ignore everything else.

He looks really bad

But we still have to send him the stuff because nevertheless he is the U S Attorney General.

He has to get a copy of this stuff

I don’t understand how Trump would allow him to continue

Trump doesn’t tolerate nonaction people not doing their job

He hasn’t gotten rid of him

The unfortunate thing and this is the problem with everything that we are doing people get used to instant gratification They expect everything to be instant

People will join NLA and then think that we are not moving fast enough

You can only move so fast

Things take time

In our case we’re waiting for critical mass

We’re not going to go anywhere until critical mass comes

We need critical mass

We’re going to file this indictment and we’ve got some big names in this indictment

We had to put them in

We couldn’t leave three or four big names out and go after everyone else underneath

when it’s clear that these names should be in there too

We did go for the indictment of big names

We have a filing in court It’s up in Albany, New York

We have a file number We’re going to be filing it up there It will be on our indictment

We’re going to put it out on the internet

We’re going to put it out on our website

It’s up to the people It’s up to everyone listening here

It’s up to everyone to get this indictment out into the masses.

Put it up on YouTube

Share it on a news program

Send a copy somewhere

Just get it out there

If we could get that to go viral that could potentially bring critical mass

People have come and people have gone and people have come back

People have gone because they are impatient

They’re not seeing things happening right away

They don’t understand

Until we bring justice back into the courts then we are not going to get anywhere

We are only working on two types of cases

One is habeas corpus Habeas corpus requires a lot of work

We don’t have enough people working this

There is a certain amount of experience needed to be had by the people working on these papers

It takes time to train people

We did habeas corpuses and we also did nonjudicial foreclosures

Both of them are due process

Habeas corpus is due process And people aren’t getting it

That’s why we’re filing habeas corpuses

Same thing with nonjudicial foreclosures It’s no judicial process at all no due process at all

Not even the consideration of it

Nobody gets to be heard The whole thing is a sham

These are two big violations

People losing their home that’s a big thing

We did those two things for two reasons

Number one : it makes a point in our case to the judiciary

That’s why we chose those two different kinds of cases

When justice is returned to our courts every single one of those people who went through a nonjudicial foreclosure has every right to come back into a just court and demand that they get restored and restoration

 (36:05)

Caller 2: California Fred

I believe that the Constitution says that you should not add a tax upon a tax that you would tax something twice

I look at automobiles tires batteries and other things that have a federal excise tax

Like alcohol and tobacco

And you turn around in these liberal states and everything has a sales tax

So they are actually taxing a tax

Brent will respond to Fred’s comment later

Eric had been muted

Eric returned to the call

Eric’s question is about emoluments

He is trying to figure out how to address it on a local level when the sheriff gets money from federal agencies when police organizations get money from federal agencies

say for civil asset forfeiture so when municipalities get money from Agenda 21 Agenda 2030 Resilient Cities Green Cities type of thing

The Constitution talks about no emoluments but I’m assuming that that’s a common law custom How would you address it at a local level?

Brent was available to answer

When you’re talking about counties, and towns, and cities , and villages, and charters they have their own Constitution

If you’re talking about emoluments the Constitution of the United States does address such things. If you remember DJ Trump was accused under that clause of some roundabout thing. It didn’t go anywhere

As far as he knows Brent has never read a case about emoluments

He don’t know if it’s ever been applied in a meaningful way

What it boils down to is the fundamental idea that bribery is against the law

Bribery only applies to government employees

It doesn’t apply to the public sector

You can bribe people all day long and it’s lawful

It depends upon if there is a statute against it

In general if you want to pay somebody off in the private sector that’s your business and that’s his business

To pay off a state representative or a bureaucrat and it happens a lot

The federal government has no jurisdiction over matters of health, education, and welfare.

Learn the law

Keep the law

You don’t add to it and you don’t take away from it

You keep it that means safeguard it

If you let encroachment come upon it it will destroy it

If you add something to the law like the bureaucrats do now the law then is zapped of it’s energy You don’t pay attention to it any more

You pay attention to the regulation That’s what you’re scared of

Learn it

Safeguard it

Do it

Those are our three duties as Americans

Those are our three duties as a Christian folk

Learn, Keep, and Do

The only remedy to lawlessness is true law

As far as emoluments go it’s a matter of bribery bribery is against the law blackmail goes with that

(57:38)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Brent’s opinions may or may not be the opinions of National Liberty Alliance

The only remedy for lawlessness is true law

We’re going through the Declaration of ‘76

Courts are out of hand

They’re acting on their own cognizance They’re doing what they want

We are at paragraph 19

It says that they’re mad at King George for imposing taxes on us without our consent

Paragraph 20

for depriving us in many cases of the benefits of trial by jury

that’s paragraph 20 of our Declaration of ‘76

Back in those days the days when our country separated from Britain as we turn our eyes back in times of crisis which men instinctively do and ought to do

There was a colony called Rhode Island

Brent remembers learning when he was in grade school that Rhode Island was the smallest in area in land area of all the states

Rhode Island loathed the law of the city

Rhode Island loathed it so much that she conducted her vice admiralty court using the jury

Britain said “You’re under admiralty law”

They were trying to get rid of the jury

Admiralty law like martial law like the law of the city it’s a martial kind of a law a bureaucratic kind of a law There’s no jury

Rhode Island in their admiralty court they’d empanel the jury

They wouldn’t put up with it

Consequently convictions of merchants in their courts was very rare

in the admiralty courts in Rhode Island in those days

Massachusetts it was an original colony was one of the 13 original colonies it’s hatred for the law of the city was so strong that Massachusetts never allowed an equity court from it’s first settling by Puritans back in the 1600s until the year 1873 over 200 years

would not allow an equity court Equity courts are without the jury also

And they did it for good reason because experience shows without crude and partial common law jury governments will control the outcome of cases

Keep in mind one of the great distinctions between our law of the land as it stands in contrast to the law of the city the civil law is that our law of the land is process oriented

the law of the city is result oriented.

In Brent’s booklet on the Declaration of ’76 and Constitution of the United States Common Lawyer Comments Clause by Clause Blow by Blow Brent put a Table of Contents in the front The Table of Contents is not only a table of contents Brent formed it in a way that it is an outline of the Declaration of ‘76

In one glance on one page you can see the flow

(1:26:00)

QUESTIONS

Pertains to Article 5 Constitutional Convention

I would like to know what NLA’s response to an Article 5 Constitutional Convention of the States and the rewriting of the Constitution could we ever procure one and ratify a new amendment if this possibility ever becomes reality should we be loyal to the new Constitution since it would appear legitimate or continue with fidelity to our original document ?

If this issue could be briefly addressed on tonight’s conference call it would be greatly appreciated.

A Constitutional Convention makes John very nervous especially in today’s environment

With the controls of our government then we can’t possibly allow it

Until the People can take control of their destiny control of the judiciary control of the political process the most dangerous thing in the world for us is a constitutional convention.

It would be very difficult for them to get it they did that purposely to get a constitutional convention going Robert Schultz made arguments here in New York concerning a potential constitutional convention potentially coming up in 2020

He went to court to make it clear and to make his case that no elected official when the convention comes together an elected official cannot sit in that convention it has to be the People.

What about people who have served as a political animal before?

It gets dangerous because politics comes to play here

Bottom line, this is the key, John is comfortable with this, even with the misinterpretation some time of the Constitution we must read the Constitution in light of the Bill of Rights or in light of our inalienable rights

That’s the key Anything that violates an unalienable right is null and void

That gets finalized by the People in a court of law

Right now we have no control of anything

We do not control our political destiny

Other people control that for us

Committeemen are puppets They have no say on who runs for office or who doesn’t.

If they try to buck the system and walk their own papers for people that they like they’re going to find themselves kicked out of the process

We have no control over our court system

Every jury out there is puppetized It’s a stacked jury controlled by the government

Ultimately when a person is accused of something we saw this out in the Bundy trial the Wildlife Preserve trial the Hammond trial where the judge takes control and convinces the jury that they have to apply the statutes

Thankfully we still have some people that are able to see beyond that

If We the People controlled the process none of that would happen

If We the People controlled the judicial process if we controlled the grand juries if we controlled the trial juries if we oriented them in the proper way of how they need to view law if that’s what We the People did and if we taught our children that you wouldn’t have the problems that Trump is dealing with you wouldn’t have the swamp to be drained It is so seriously bad and so seriously defunk John turned on CNN today and John couldn’t believe what his ears were hearing. What these people were saying It so far out from reality

It’s total making things up with lies

This is the control that the elite still has on our news stations.

That which we call News is no news at all

It’s propaganda But people believe it’s news

People have a hard time pulling away from that

People get pharmaceuticals and get sicker and sicker

They never look to the nature side

People know that but they still go to doctors

John knows three people who died from cancer and John pleaded with them to try this or try that and they ended up staying the course with their doctors and they’re dead they’re in the grave today

They’re afraid to take control of their own life

Mommy and Daddy Government

A lot of people claim that they don’t want government to run their life

when it boils down to the bottom of the whole pot here and you’re going to step up and take responsibility they grab back on to their mother’s skirt they go back to the government.

We have to take control ourselves We have to step up and take this control

And if We the People don’t do it we’re going to lose what we got

A constitutional convention in today’s environment would be a very dangerous thing

John don’t think that they would be able to pull it off any way

There’s enough resistance at this time There’s no real need for it

If they did have a Constitutional Convention as long as we don’t lose that understanding and we’ve almost lost it already we need to get it back we need to re-establish it is that all law is understood in light of our unalienable rights

If it violates our rights it’s a problem

Brent added his view

That scares Brent

When he sees people promoting that idea it seems pretty obvious we have a Constitution, we have a Constitution that sets forth principles of common law government and we’re not following them is another piece of paper or another line or couple of sentences is it going to help us?

How can you improve upon co-equal powers the right to keep and bear arms stated clearly.

Brent can’t image those kind of things being restated and be restated better

We have seen that they have served us well

The only reason that people can say that they aren’t serving us well is that the courts ignore them. Rewriting it isn’t going to help

We don’t need a constitutional convention we need to do what our Constitution says to the hilt. That’s what we need to do

Show me someone who is for a Constitutional Convention and I will show you somebody with a hidden agenda.

John has never heard of any good reason for a Constitutional Convention

(1:45:00)

Caller 3 D’Annie West Virginia

She suffered a nonjudicial foreclosure and eviction

She looked up the SDAT of Maryland and it list the property as being sold by her to U S Bank and then U S Bank selling it to 2 individuals that are part of Carrington Reality

It’s the Maryland Tax office

They play the game It’s exactly what they’re doing They’re trying to pull a con job

that you’ve given the property to them and they sold it and they’re going to get their money back Nothing belongs to them They never put a penny into the game to begin with.

She has received numerous mortgage rate declarations via e-mail and they call all day

It’s like harassment

They are calling about the very property that was foreclosed on

And she asks them “This property that you are trying to get me to refinance is occupied by someone” It’s as if they can’t see it. They’re calling from other states to try to get her to refinance this particular property

John thinks that the problem is that her property is listed in certain places for certain reasons for a certain amount of time They’re playing a game It’s a shell game

They want to make it look like you’re forfeiting the property

They have to make it look like that before they make a move without a court of law

They understand that what they’re doing is thievery

They use the process of what is called in REM

Did anyone at NLA ever consider doing a petition for re-instatement of Article 3 courts due process of law?

We never considered that and we still wouldn’t because we need to take it into the courts and deal with it because this subversion here they’re only going to ignore that

With thousands and thousands of signatures you think they would ignore that?

Absolutely

They have an agenda They’re not going to give it up

(1:52:12)

Caller 4: Kim Ohio

She has a very serious situation

She and her children who are adults have been indicted

One of her sons is incarcerated since November 27 The rest have warrants for their arrest.

There is no probable cause for this

The information that they have used to concoct this indictment actually comes from quiet titles that they filed in the courts regarding address possession

During the process of litigating these complaints inside of the trial court they have run into judges who are biased They did not understand common law

They understand it more than they are saying

They make comments like “You could do this in England in the 1800s but not in America”

We were polite and asked “What do you have that says we can’t”

As far as our research has led us common law is alive

She filed a Notice of Void Judgment

After filing that within eleven days she got a response from the prosecuting attorney.

He filed an answer saying that they had jurisdiction

The indictment is saying that we violated the statutes of theft, unauthorized use of property, and tampering with records

Anybody who knows anything about adverse possession at all knows that it has to be unauthorized If it’s adverse possession then you don’t have permission

It is legal theft

The statutory period in Ohio is 21 years and if the true owner of the property comes forward then there is an eviction or ejection process There is process in civil law that handles the situation

They have taken this and made it criminal

They’ve done it without any supporting statutes

Her son has been incarcerated since November 27

He has challenged jurisdiction

Her son was arrested by a sheriff but the other ones were the local police department

If you move this into federal court and you sue these people for violations of US 42 US 18 and Bill of Rights jurisdiction due process

You went into federal court with this you went up against the sheriff you went up against the judge and you went up against the prosecutor and anyone else that touches this case you go after that too If you sue everybody then nobody can come after you with other legal action at all

Because it’s now in court and nobody can do anything

When John went up against the IRS he sued the sheriff he sued the clerk county clerk he sued a couple of agents he sued numerous individuals John Does and Mary Does he sued the director of the IRS

He sued the IRS He sued his bank

He sued all those individuals Froze them up

Sheriff couldn’t go and take John’s money Clerk couldn’t pursue anything The bank couldn’t give any information out without a subpoena They couldn’t give his money over to anyone He froze everyone up

Just by suing everyone

John considers if it is worth his time and money or is it easier to pay the $150 for a traffic violation and he will set it aside for another day to pursue it

You write an affidavit and you deny this and you say this is the way it was They got to rebut it And if they don’t rebut it then it stands as truth in a court of law They’re going to ignore all of that

They’re going to put the pressure on you You have every right to defend yourself

You have the right to put in whatever evidence or witness you want

That’s your unalienable right

That’s part of due process The ability to defend yourself

They’re not going to let you

You are not going to win in that court

You have to make a federal case out of it

You can look at all of our court cases up on our “Docket” page

You can utilize those ideas

John does not want to get involved in teaching people

We can discuss these things but they have to learn

John can show you different places where you can go to learn and get the necessary information

They love to come after people who are teaching things

They build a case against them

Go to the page where we have our “Docket”

Reading those cases is an education in common law

Look at our memorandums

We have about eight or ten memorandums up there

You can use most of them in your court case

Work it around your case

You change the personal information into your case

You can use the framework off of that

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org

Highlight “Grand Jury”

About three down you click on “Docket”

NLA stopped doing habeas corpuses

We’re trying to get back into doing habeas corpuses

Until we can get it to a point where we can keep it moving along then we can’t get back into it

Study, Study, Study

Read those papers

You will get an education You will find a lot of things you can use

Memorandums will build your case

If you go into federal court read through the rules very carefully

(2:26:12)

Caller 5: Jim from Pennsylvania

Are you familiar with Judge David Wynn Miller

He’s a former federal judge

We are all aware of the Masonic presence of the Powers That Be

Jim does have a case but he doesn’t want to get into that

There was a judge that wrote a book

He wrote a book and exposed everything of what’s really going on

The judge that John is talking about is really working for the enemy

He fed so much B S on what to do

He exposes what you don’t see in the courtroom

That book is written for the people who understand what he is talking about

and the people who are going to make their battle with the court

Those are the people who read that book

Once they read the book and he says certain things and how to deal with it and how to build a defense and how to position yourself

all of that is baloney

You don’t fight the court in their sandbox

You bring them into your sandbox

He was just bits and pieces so Jim searched on Mark Christopher Dean Clifford there’s Santos Spinocchia

Caller has a copy of the United States Constitution in front of him

He also has a copy of the Pennsylvania Constitution

Caller read Section 2 of the Pennsylvania Constitution It says all power is inherent in the People All free governments are founded on their authority instituted for their peace safety and happiness for the advancement of these ends they have at all times an inalienable and infeasible right to alter, reform, abolish their government in such manner as they may think proper.

The problem is that through time they dissolved all that knowledge there’s no Civics Courses in school

Caller says it’s not just to win his upcoming case it’s to win our freedom back

This was back in 1776 when this was written

Fast forward to 2000

He got a DUI

He spent 8 years in and out of jail on probation for one DUI

Now fast forward to this case that he has now

Driving under suspended license and because he is driving with a suspended they automatically hit him with a DUI

It’s an administrative court that they’re operating under

There is a sworn affidavit

It is not a crime to break a statute

People go to jail because they think that they have the power and authority to put them in jail

They don’t really have that authority

They are trying to give him five months to six years for this

Your only way to deal with this is to move it into a federal court

You will never win in that court

You say it once it’s on the record you move on to the next step

The next step is to take it into another court

You got to take it to federal court because they have jurisdiction over this

They’re violating your right of due process

Not only are they violating your right of due process, they don’t have jurisdiction

I’m sure you’re familiar with U S 42 and 18 acting under the color of law

There is a place on the website where John put a lot of his notes

Possibly under the “Education” tab area

You will find something on “Court Access”

There is a book on court access that we put together

With that we have some dictionaries in there

I have a group of notes They’re called footnotes that John has made over the years

You’ll also see some U S Codes

In the federal codes you’ll see acting under the color of law

violating constitutional rights

They apply things that are not law to you That’s acting under the color of law

You’ll see that in U S 42 and U S 18 243 242

Look up U S codes 18 and 42 and read all of those that we have in there because you will find these things that I’m talking about they’re in there

Those are the things that you use to get into the federal court

You move that case into the federal court for cause

What’s the cause? Constitutional violation

They want to see U S Codes so you can give them those codes

Would I file that at the local courthouse?

No you serve it on the judge

You’re going after the judge and the prosecutor and anyone else that’s violating your rights

You’re going to file this in the federal court and you’re serving them

John would sue the court directly

That binds them up for continuing

The fact that you’re moving it in for cause that should bind up the court

You’re going up against the judge

And you want to chase him for damages too

Put some money values in there

Jurisdiction can only be challenged in another court because they’re not going to listen

You’re making the point they don’t have jurisdiction

There is no injured party

They don’t have the power and authority to stop me on the road

or arrest me or put me into court

They don’t have this power and authority

They are an administrative court It’s a nisi prius court

Jim said that the court is overpopulated with the smallest thing

80 percent of the people who are in jail are there because they opened their mouth

A nisi prius court requires you to respond

You’re here by special appearance

He has court on the 19th When he goes before the judge should he say he’s there by special visit No, I wouldn’t tell him that He will put you in jail real quick

There is a course called Jurisdictionary

You go to our website NationalLibertyAlliance.org

on the right side you should see Jurisdictionary

Click on that you need to buy the course It’s $249 We did not create that course

If NLA had written that course then we would have given it away for free

Everything that NLA has is free but if we didn’t write it we didn’t write that course it is the only course that John knows of that can teach people how to get into the courts

How can the caller spread the word about the NLA indictments?

When the indictment is finally put together we got to frame it out well he wants to get all the necessary information inside it when we file it we will send out to our members the knowledge of the fact that we filed it and the next Monday’s meeting call here we will make the announcement that it’s been filed

It will be up on the “Docket” page which is at NationalLibertyAlliance.org

Highlight “Grand Jury” then come down to “Docket”

You can make a copy of that

You might want to get people to go to our website to that page to read it or get a copy and hand it to people

We need to get this to go viral

Caller will talk to his local radio station

(2:48:22)

Caller 6: Chuck from Illinois

John made note that every Monday Night Conference call is recorded and posted at the website.

So that if there is vital information that’s new to you and it is hard to take notes and keep up you can go to the website and rewind and rewind and rewind and get all the information down.

You can pause and rewind and get every piece of information

Caller was discouraged about the difficulty getting through to these judges when you present a good logical common law argument

Carl Miller is back from the ‘80’s 90’s and early 2000

He pulled off a lot of good stuff

Other people duplicated him But they closed those ranks up

You have to go through his videos and scrupulously document the arguments that he uses

Do research on the court cases so that you can annunciate to the judge when he asks you what that case means

You can tell him what it means and how it applies to your case

His logic today will only work in a federal court

If you are being held for a criminal action you can’t be held for a criminal action in an administrative court

An administrative court is a nisi prius court

It’s a foreign court to begin with

Once it becomes a nisi prius court it’s a foreign court

Nisi prius means unless first

When they bring a charge up against you once you respond to that you’re now agreeing

That’s why you go for special appearance

You’re here to test the lawyers “I don’t know if this is valid” “What’s going on?” “Why am I here?” “Explain these charges”

Basically you’re not agreeing with anything that is going on in that court

Once you leave object to the process

You don’t believe they have the authority and the jurisdiction

And if you feel that that’s going to get you in trouble you do that by paper after you leave the court

You’re going to quote the law and tell them what it is

They’re going to ignore all of that

Once they ignore your objection and they ignore the way you are moving the court back into a court of justice or letting them know that they don’t have the authority

You don’t go back to that court no more It depends on what it’s about How much you want to fight it If you have to fight it If it’s a violation and they’re going to fine you then maybe it’s simpler to pay the stupid fine

John sends papers to court Half the time when he sends the papers to the court he never hears back from that court again Half the time he hears back and then he pays the fine

John doesn’t have the time to fight it

If it is a criminal thing then you got to move it to the federal court for cause

If it’s a fine of $150 then John does a little fighting but when he realizes that they’re not going to let him go then he just pays the fine and moves on

Then he has a file with cases like that and one day he could sue them all collectively

The Karl Lentz approach What do you think of that? He’s got a lot of success stories

Not everybody can have the nitch that he has He got some charisma

He knows how to play the chess game

Some of his strategies John does not agree with It’s not a good strategy to keep

John is never going to compromise principles when it comes to the law being consistent on them

John is not going to play in anyone’s sandbox You got to bring them into a court of law