National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

September 5, 2016

Opening Song: Days Are Numbers (The Traveler)

Topic: Article III Courts

Call-In Number: 605-562-3140 Participant Code: 385698

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Luke 6: 27 - 38

Problems with Skype

NLA will be using the older Skype without automatic updates

Details on how to download will be posted at NLA soon

Ham Radios will be available to purchase at the NLA website soon

(25:25)

John read a 6 page paper

The paper is Memorandum Article 3 Courts

The paper can be found at nationallibertyalliance.org

click “memorandums of law”

Then click onto Memorandum Article 3 Courts

The paper begins:

“MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ARTICLE III COURTS COVENANT It is by the following words in our founding document upon which all law rests whereby We the People called upon God and made a covenant: When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation. We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness. Declaration of Independence.”

The paper ends with the following:

“CONCLUSION Congress has been given power to create only Article III Courts of Record and equity courts ruled by American Jurisprudence; a/k/a “United States District Court for the District”. These courts proceed under the rules of Common Law and all judges are bound to the law of the land and hold office only when they are obedient to the law of the land.”

(59:00)

No questions submitted this week.

Brent is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

His website is commonlawyer.com

(1:02:30)

Silence is acquiescence

Acquiescence became well known during the reign of Henry the eighth

Tom Cranmer was a priest. King Henry the eighth was hunting.

Tom Cranmer , the country priest, said to King Henry the Eighth, he said, “Sire, it is treason for people to take an oath to a foreign sovereign and then claim to be loyal to England.”

“Every priest in England has taken an oath to support and defend the Bishop of the town of Rome. How in the world can they then take an oath to support and defend their own country , the law of the land, and even you when their loyalty is split that way?”

In time King Henry the Eighth summoned all the high muckity muck prelates in England , about 200 of them, and they were all in a room with him, and he said, “You all are guilty of treason. You’ve taken an oath to a foreign power, that is more powerful in your minds, obviously, than your oath to England or to the law of the land or to me. I say you are all guilty . What do you have to say for yourselves.”

Nobody said anything.

And after awhile he said, “I take your silence as acquiescence.”

He was known for separating people’s heads from their bodies.

But they were exceedingly wealthy so he fined them exceedingly heavy and excused them.

The time to speak is in official tribunals. In open court as our Constitution puts it.

There are four militia clauses.

Three of the four militia clauses delegates a duty respecting the militia of the several states to three classes of people. One of the four militia clauses delegates duties to Presidents of the United States. That is the first class. Another of those militia clauses delegates duties to members of Congress. A third militia clause delegates duties to governors and legislators of state government. The fourth militia clause is the foundation of all the militia clauses . It delegates no duties . It recognizes a God-bestowed duty of self defense and guarantees that infringement of that duty of self defense of one’s self and one’s family ,one’s neighbors, and one’s country, that general government, sitting in Washington D C , it guarantees that that government will not infringe , impede, hinder, or chill that right to keep and carry a gun and I remind you that a right in old Anglo Germanic speech is a duty. Rights are not accompanied by duties. Rights are duties.

(1:09:31)

The Second Amendment is the fourth militia clause.

Grandville Sharp was an Englishman. Grandville Sharp said this:

“No Englishman can be truly loyal who opposes these essential principles of the English law, whereby the people are required to have arms of defense and peace, for mutual as well as private defense, the laws of England have always required the people to be armed, and not only armed, but to be experts in arms. “

Daniel Webster said, “ Nothing will ruin a country if the people themselves will undertake its safety; and nothing can save it if they leave that safety in any hands but their own.”

The Fourth Militia Clause better known as the Second Amendment says this:

"A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

Chief Justice Taft said, “The framers of our Constitution were born and brought up in the atmosphere of the common law, and thought and spoke its vocabulary. They were familiar with other forms of government, recent and ancient, and indicated in their discussions earnest study and consideration of many of them; but, when they came to put their conclusions into the form of fundamental law in a compact draft, they expressed themselves in terms of the common law, confident that they could be shortly and easily understood."

The right to keep and carry a gun is a common law right. It arises and is undergirded by our common law.

Take away our common law and the right to keep and carry a gun goes with it.

And that is why we are a country because British troops made an attempt to take the guns of the militiamen in the colonies specifically that of Massachusetts and that is what sparked the war that brought our separation from the country of England and Britain. They said that the common law does not apply in the American colonies.

Blackstone said , “the common law does not apply in our American plantations.”

(1:19)

The colonists always said that the common law applied here.

If we are going to depend on the government as they do in Switzerland to provide our arms then that is not common law militia. That is not the fyrd affair of the Anglo Danes and Anglo Saxons . And that is not freedom.

We don’t want to depend upon government to provide our arms to arm the militia.

The oath of the National Guard is not to the state, it is to the federal government.

When the militia of any separate state is called into national service by the president, whoever the president may be, then the oath is administered at that time. The oath to support and defend the Constitution of the United States . I am not saying that it couldn’t be administered before. It probably ought to. An oath had not ought to be administered that requires, as military men today, obedience to the lawful orders of the President of the United States. That could come later, and should come later when they are called in, summoned, and mustered into national service if that ever happens. But before that happens their oath should be to the Constitution of the United States. But also to the Constitution of their state. And to lawful orders of the governor of their state. Whoever calls them out first has jurisdiction over them.

Blackstone said "The public good is in nothing more essentially interested, than in the protection of every individual's private rights."

There are no public rights. There are only individual rights.

And the public good is best protected by protecting private rights.

And by this principle James Madison structured the Second Amendment.

The public good, that is the security of a free state depends upon our keeping the individual right to keep and bear arms from infringement.

The private right, being the right to keep and bear arms, brings to us the public good, the security of a free state.

Justice Joseph Story, champion of our common law and of our Constitution, called the Second Amendment the palladium of our liberties. It offers a strong moral check against the usurpation and arbitrary power of rulers.

A palladium is something that is a symbol and the reality of a thing.

The right to keep and bear arms of the Second Amendment is the symbol of Americans as free people. Take that away then you have taken away the symbol of our freedom.

You’ve also taken away our freedom.

Justice Story understood the necessity of the Second Amendment.

He foresaw the great danger creeping toward it.

The danger now facing us.

In a word, infringement

“There is,” said Story, “certainly no small danger that indifference may lead to disgust and disgust to contempt and thus gradually undermine all  the protection intended by this clause of our National Bill of Rights.”

To be forewarned is to be forearmed. He has forewarned us.

Are we forearmed?

Brent concluded that is enough this evening concerning the Second Amendment.

John thanked Brent and opened up for questions

CALLERS:

(1:31)

Caller 1: Fred from California

A question about diesel these inmates all around the country Even though they are in federal custody these people are travelling across state jurisdictions and county jurisdictions . The sheriff is the supreme law enforcement officer in any county That sheriff has every right to see that man and see his wellbeing. Caller wanted Brent to expound upon jurisdiction and the dieseling of these inmates.

It is the duty of an American to arrest a man if he feels that he is capable of doing so to arrest a man in the act of committing a felony

(1:32:51)

An American has a duty if he is able.

If that is true of every American , how much more is it true of a sheriff who has sworn to uphold the law within his territorial jurisdiction

As far as dieseling men go that is a rough case and I know it goes on I have seen it if you stop a bodice that is dieseling people for days on end to the point that they are killing them as they did to that congressman that wrote that book to harass our people a number of years ago If they are doing that how can you prove they are doing it? What bus will you stop? How do you know what is on the inside? What right, under the Fourth Amendment, does a sheriff have to stop a bus owned by the Congress of the United States the Congress has ultimate power over property of the United States What right would they have under the Fourth Amendment to stop and do a search? The sheriff would have to have a good warrant issued by a neutral and detached magistrate . The only remedy for lawlessness is law. The only law of the land is due process. That is the law of the land. If the sheriff is going to do something like that he better have a warrant from a neutral and detached magistrate as our Fourth Amendment requires upon oath or affirmation it must be reasonable upon all points. And he must execute it according to the law. He must provide an inventory. After he takes whatever he wants to take or seizes whatever person he wants to seize. That’s the standard in America. It’s due process. In this case I think that a warrant would be in order because he would be investigating the personal property of another.

Caller 2: Terry

He has bought all of Brent’s books.

Caller has a question about an author whose books are often sold beside Brent’s.

He wrote a book about commercial liens. What does Brent think about the commercial lien process? Is it possible to use it against corrupt judges and prosecutors?

Brent has read about cases where that has happened.

Brent is not familiar with the book.

The cases that Brent knows about are cases where the government came down upon them like a war.

The Bible says Do not square off , I’m quoting Jesus Christ, Sermon on the Mount, the Old English translation says: Do not resist evil. What the Greek text really says is: Do not square off with evil. That means don’t draw a line in the sand and say if you are going to do this to me then I am going to do this to you. Don’t do that. You will lose. When you go into battle, this is a guerilla warfare game, we have courts, and to go into court and expect the court to follow the law is naivety. It won’t happen. And if it does happen it certainly won’t happen at every point. To go into court and think that the court is not going to go along with the federal government, that is naivety too.

1:39:46

Justice Scalia said he gets tired of people writing me letters telling me that I ought to do more and the court ought to do more , the Supreme Court, of supporting states rights. And Justice Scalia said this: “ You got to understand who pays my salary. When I get my paycheck it says Treasury of the United States. Why would you look at me to support state rights? Our Constitution,” he continued, “ is established and ordered so that states protect state rights and judges protect their jurisdiction in their courts .”

Judge Jackson’s point of the U S Supreme Court, he said this, he said, “A man’s rights are only as good as he is convicted they should be or as some lawyers are convicted that he has retained ought to be.” A man’s rights are only as good as he decides he wants to make them either by defending them himself or retaining a lawyer to help him do that.

(1:43)

Caller 2 Rhonda Washington state

Could Brent delineate the articles and sections in the Constitution to each of the four clauses of the militia?

The first militia clause is Article 1, section 8 , clauses 15-16

Article 1, Section 8, Clauses 15-16 says this:

“15:  The Congress shall have the power to provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions;

16:  To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such Part of them as may be employed in the Service of the United States,

That is the first militia clause and it has to do with powers delegated to Congress respecting the militia of the several states.

The second militia clause has to do with the authority , the jurisdiction of the states, that means the legislature and the governor.

It says this:

“reserving to the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress.”

That is Article 1, Section 8, clause 16

The appointment of officers belongs to the states.

The third militia clause pertains to the President and his delegated duties

It says this:

“The President shall be commander in chief of the militia of the several states, when called into the actual service of the United States; “

The first militia clause pertains to Congress

The second militia clause pertains to the state government

The third militia clause pertains to that class of persons called Presidents

The third militia clause is Article 2, Section 2, Clause 1

The fourth militia clause is the well known Second Amendment.

Caller 3: Veronica from Pennsylvania

Veronica heard the term “dieseling” brought up

“Dieseling” as Veronica was told by a prisoner when she was doing vocational rehabilitation counseling, what Veronica found out from the prisoner is that “dieseling” was the transporting of prisoners from one private prison to another in order that that private prison would appear to be full when the funding came.

That was in the early ‘90’s

John thanked Brent

(1:50:38)

John moved to Gerard

Caller 4: David in Missouri

David looked up “dieseling’ on the internet

Sometimes they do it with no destination and just to wear the prisoner down

To make him suffer.

Some prisoners have ended up where they began at but they travelled thousands of miles to do it.

David googled “diesel therapy”

This is a common practice now.

This is what they are doing with Joe Roberstson in Montana

He is extremely ill and suffered a stroke not too long ago

He is not receiving his medication

They should release him immediately because he has proven that he would show up for court.

He doesn’t have any past background problems.

It doesn’t involve any serious crimes.

The crime that he is accused of is digging a hole.

He is going through an appeal process.

NLA has already put a paper in for him concerning the fact that he should be released.

There is a good chance the case will be thrown out.

Unless they can prove he is a danger to the community or a flight risk the law requires his release.

Caller 5: California Fred

(2:00:00)

Brent said for a felony, citizen’s arrest, the Constitution spells out high misdemeanors, high crimes, and treason there is no mention of felony

When did the word “felony” become part of the Constitution?

The benchmark for felony keeps lowering and lowering.

The crime felony becomes more egregious and they take away rights.

How can that be?

You can drive your car with no insurance and they can charge you with a felony.

Who’s the injured party?

The definition of “felony” has been mushy over the centuries

When “felony” first became a popular word, it’s a Latin word, it came from Rome, and the invasion of the Romanized Normans. Instead of saying “high crime” that is more of an Anglo idea, but “felony “ was amounted to when a person lost his land.

It was a wrong for which a person lost his land.

His rights to land

When you have land what you really have is a bundle of rights.

If you lost rights in land then you did some wrong that the law said you would lose your rights to land and that was called a felony.

Now there are felonies just because some legislatures somewhere says it’s a felony.

Felony is anything in which punishment is a year or more in jail.

One used to get 40 lashes or pillared in the stock or pay a fine.

If it wasn’t at least a year in jail then it wasn’t a felony.

When you see the word “felony” it is according to the arbitrary imperious breath of legislators.

Brent recommended his book: “Excellence of the Common Law In Light of History, Nature & Scripture”

You can also purchase Brent’s translation of the Bible from original tongues “Winter’s Bible Translation”

You can get this Bible at [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com) and it will soon be out on Amazon

(2:26:50)

Caller 6: California Don

Caller has been hearing about this new government that we have. It is called a republic.

Today the caller was on his computer and he saw that the G20 Summit in China has a very interesting page.

G20 Meeting

**Hangzhou, China**

G20 leaders and their benevolent interstellar guides are still in session at this hour.

They’re unified in final settlement talks for all global disputes while in the same country, same city, same room and same table.

They will not leave this negotiating environment until all matters are deemed collectively resolved and absolute unification is achieved.

And when we say all disputes, we mean ALL disputes worldwide as part of a 30 treaty GESARA package currently being signed and implemented by all your leaders of the newly vibrationally sovereign world. Signature on all treaties is required by universal law. No country (or leader) may leave until all treaties are made law via their signature.

Former **President Barack Obama is not being included in this process as he no longer is deemed the lead diplomat for the Republic of the United States**–this is why he did not get the red carpet treatment. *General Joseph Dunford is signing all treaties (including the Paris Agreement on Climate Change) for the Republic of the United States per his own nation’s internal Constitution*.

This is precisely **why all such “real or new leaders” have gathered in China, as many are publicly unknown given all the severe and dramatic changes made privately** in their countries.

Many this weekend are meeting for the very first time as**to implement GESARA collectively,** and their combined will–through the body of law–*will now* **take full effect beginning in the fourth fiscal quarter of 2016.**

*Extra terrestrial disclosure and human reintegration back into the Galactic Federation of species will also be implemented and become a visible net result of this multi-decade ongoing negotiating process*.

**Global monetary reconstitution (aka the GCR/RV) is also included within the GESARA legal framework of change;**thus, intake and settlement of all defaulted historic assets–i**ncluding all fiat currencies–will now be retrieved, redeemed and revalued**as well as ***removed from physical existence.***

The entire **global monetary reconstitution process** is*scheduled to complete by the end of your September so your world can****permanently recalibrate beginning****on* ***October 1*.**

Gerard feels that it ain’t gonna happen.

There is a monetary change

The fiat money has run it’s course.

There is going to be a vacuum.

They are hoping that there is going to be some unrest so that they can lock us down.

They are talking about extraterrestrials

They have all kinds of technology

They can put holograms in the sky

The Bible says people are going to say “He’s here! He’s here!”

They are going to put a show on for us.

Be very careful about what they are saying They are planning something big.

We have so much technology today they might actually pull it off.

We have to keep our feet grounded in the fundamental principles.

Caller suggests to go to hollowearthnetwork.com

John said that we are very familiar with that.

John cautioned: We better be really really careful if We the People accept anybody that is a military leader to take over the administrative powers of the United States of America , if that ever happens, it’s the end of America forever if the people accept that.

Get involved in the Committees of Safety.

Caller 7: Kansas Jan

Is the membership of NLA going to do the letter writing thing with Mr. Robertson?

What can be more innocuous than making cow ponds?

Is NLA aware that there is an effort to reconstitute the sovereign states which involve finding two people who have been on homesteaded ground since about the middle of the 1800s. And if they can find two people per state then they can reconstitute the sovereignty of the state?

NLA will get involved and do something regarding Mr. Robertson.

Concerning the idea about finding original homesteaders that seems to be a formula by someone who has gone to extremes. That reminds John of going in and using the language of the man on the land and presuming that they will say he figured it out we got to obey him. All they are doing is identifying themselves. They are being laughed at. The judges know how to deal with them now. They will find themselves in more trouble. (2:42:43)

NLA deals with things with honor, justice, and mercy

National Liberty Alliance is a facilitator

We go into courts in the name of the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury

Caller said that if you do not give us a job other than talk to the sheriff who is already taken an oath to the cabal and we already gathered our emergency supplies and if you don’t let our hearts turn loose like yours is then you will continue to lose people. There are things that we could be doing right now. We want you and Gerard for our leaders.

John assured caller that we do not suffer from attrition.

We don’t have an attrition problem

We do grow.

I’m glad that we didn’t grow quicker in the beginning because we had to overcome certain problems.

Infiltrators have tried many ways We have been assaulted in numerous ways and numerous places.

We have survived every one of them.

The people need to become educated. They need to become structured.

The law is written in the heart.

We need four administrators per county to take the vow

NLA is creating a handbook to assist people

NLA has put two courses together to give a baseline of understanding and knowledge

The cream of the crop will show up at the right time.

Caller thinks that whenever somebody tries to bring something up that John talks over them.

Caller understands that John has to be the leader.

Caller feels that John is squelching the growth.

Caller feels that NLA has delivered a lot

John suggests that caller get ahold of Jan , speak with the national leadership.

John listens very carefully to what the national leadership has to say

We have tried to free the people by getting the Committees of Safety.

NLA has zero control on every Committee of Safety that we create.

John is not clear on where he is restrictive

Take this to the national leadership and show them

Once we get into the courts that is when people will start working on the local level

Once we get into the courts and they accept us then you better have your four administrators ready.

We had a state that wanted to do the man on the land stuff and they ended up in jail.

It’s not our timing; it’s God’s timing.

(3:05:14)

NLA is already working on a video for orientation of the jurors

National Liberty Alliance is trying to teach and educate

We are trying to guide people

You can go in a different direction and we wish you well but don’t rob our membership and put them in danger of going to jail

National Liberty Alliance has been very careful to do everything in such as way so that they can’t put us in jail.

If we can get Committees of Safety motivated and going that would help our membership.

Bring concerns to the national leadership

We need critical mass where we have thinking people in every state

Go to the national leadership with your ideas

Caller said that what she said may have sounded like a criticism but it was meant as a compliment. You guys are wonderful leaders. It was a compliment.

Caller does not thing that John sees the leader that she and others see. It also applies to Gerard, and Jan, and Karl. She doesn’t feel that they see themselves as the leaders that the people following them see them as.

John replied that maybe that is a good thing.

(3 hr 20 min)

Caller 8 : David

David has more information on diesel therapy.

On Google go to diesel therapy by what legal statute.

The first one up there is by “WINDS”

It talks about George Hansen and Diesel Therapy and Susan McDougal

Closing Song: Amazing Grace