National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

June 27, 2016

Topic: Declaration 2016 and Militia Part 9

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Proverbs 8 : 1 – 17

The focus of our program tonight is justice

On January 1, 2016 NLA declared this the Year of Justice

We have heard that in September or August or sometime toward the end of this year they will not be able to cover the checks for Social Security

Another rumor is that the Federal Reserve has been foreclosed on on May 28, 2016

Next Monday is the Fourth of July

NLA will have the Monday Night Conference Call on the Fourth of July

Next Monday is the Fourth of July

NLA wrote a paper.

Go to nationallibertyalliance.org

on the top of the page you can click onto Declaration of July 4th, 2016

We posted it tonight We are going to read it tonight

It is only two pages

NLA will mail it out this week It will be dated July 4th 2016

We have a message to send to the judiciary and also the U S marshals and we will send a copy to the FBI special agents in charge and the chief judges of every federal district

NLA will start faxing tomorrow to every elected official

This is a message from We the People to our servants

We are declaring July Fourth 2016 we want to press forward what our Founding Fathers did for us, the gifts that they gave to us

We still anticipate liberty in this nation

John began reading the paper (19:22)

“We the People of the United States of America on March 4th 1789 birthed a Nation and We the People this July 4th 2016 ratify that, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ORDAIN and ESTABLISH this Constitution For the United States of America.”

The paper concludes with the following:

“Any servant who resists these truths ‘Wars against the People’. Wherefore, We the People are rising, critical mass is imminent as the olive branch sifts away like the sands in an hourglass.”

John concluded reading the paper at 23:50

John will start printing this paper tomorrow

If it doesn’t get out in the mail tomorrow then it will get out the following day

 This all costs money

Everything is free, the courses are free

NLA has written books

NLA is writing a book for the purpose of teaching the people and guiding the people in the counties when they become administrators on how to go through the process

NLA will continue to be here for advice, information, and further education

Everything NLA does is free

We charge nobody anything

We ask for donations

NLA does habeas corpuses for people who are in jail or are in court under threat of going to jail

We also do habeas corpuses for people who have lost their children through family courts

We also take mortgage foreclosures

NLA has a process for that

There is a second phase to the mortgage foreclosure process which requires that you do a second affidavit.

We require the first affidavit to get the process going and to alert the court and the sheriff and others of this violation of their due process

The second paper that NLA sends out to the sheriff and to the court and others cannot go out until we get another affidavit from the people that started the process

(27:25)

There needs to be a second affidavit which means that sheriff is not going to help them

Then we put the sheriff under pressure and the judge

Those are the cases we take

We have done about 28 cases on habeas corpuses

And about 150 cases that all need to be updated

Contact intake at nationallibertyalliance.org

NLA reduced the paperwork requirement

Highlight “help” and then click onto “help with abusive courts”

Read through this page thoroughly. NLA has updated it

There are download intake forms One for mortgage foreclosures and one for all of the other court problems.

Click onto the forms

If you have a habeas corpus going through the process and NLA hasn’t processed it yet then refill out another form

Take the information from your old form and put it on the new form

Send it to intake at nationallibertyalliance.org

And also send a copy of your affidavit

NLA asks for a donation of $75

We are not charging for this

For the mortgage process

once the paperwork is filed, you get a copy within one week and then you give a call to the sheriff and ask him if he is going to protect you from these people because they are trying to take your property away without due process

And if the sheriff doesn’t understand or doesn’t want to understand or doesn’t care and he is not going to help you then you have to do an affidavit concerning that and get it to NLA and then NLA will send out the second part.

The design is to put the sheriff and the judge under pressure and force them to do the right thing.

Gary read the one question:

The courts have court rules

Does Brent, John , and Gerard support the rules of the courts are the common law because statutes and constitutional provisions are not common law? Do rules of the court embody the common law as we know it?

The court has rules.

You don’t need to be educated in anything in particular to walk into a court and represent yourself. The court case is all about the facts

It is not ultimately about the law per se

It is about the facts It is about injury It is about an individual that has been injured in a certain way and is looking for remedy They are looking for restitution And the only thing required for a prima fascia case in the court is an affidavit.

If you go through the process and you don’t know the rules of the court the judge is required to help you along as you go

There is nothing wrong with rules

Rules are there to make things flow

Rules are there to protect us

 (38)

Two more questions slid in under the wire

Please tell me how is it that there are no statutes in common law when the common law remedy is an administrative one as well.

There are statutes in common law in the sense that we have the Constitution, we have the republican form of government We have a Constitution

And in that Constitution we have given the legislators 19 powers

We have given them those 19 powers to make law.

Those are statutes and they are lawful as long as they stay within the criteria of that which we ordained and established for them.

(39:09)

Article 1 Section 8

The Congress shall have Power To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defense and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States;

To borrow Money on the credit of the United States;

To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several states, and with the Indian Tribes;

To establish an uniform Rule of Naturalization, and uniform Laws on the subject of Bankruptcies throughout the United States;

To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard of Weights and Measures;

To provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States;

To establish Post Offices and post Roads;

To promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries;

To constitute Tribunals inferior to the supreme Court;

To define and punish Piracies and Felonies committed on the high Seas, and Offences against the Law of Nations;

To declare War, grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning Captures on Land and Water;

To raise and support Armies, but no Appropriation of Money to that Use shall be for a longer Term than two Years;

To provide and maintain a Navy;

To make Rules for the Government and Regulation of the land and naval Forces;

To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions;

To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such Part of them as may be employed in the Service of the United States, reserving to the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress;

To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise like Authority over all Places purchased by the Consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards, and other needful Buildings; —And

To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

If a clerk refuses to file that is a crime

If that clerk refuses to file it could be one or two years in prison for refusing to file

Any law that Congress tries to make to control the behavior of We the People , they cannot make any law to control our behavior.

Our behavior is between ourselves and God

We have to control our own behavior

If we do something outside of good behavior , that would be bad behavior, and if we injure another person then now there is restitution being required. Now common law kicks into play and the people will decide what needs to be done to restore that individual

Jail is not the answer

Restitution is the answer

There should be no other jail other than the county jail.

There is no need for state prisons. That is where they make money.

There are good laws.

There is nothing wrong with laws as long as they don’t try to control our behavior.

And that they be in harmony with common law.

We the People write laws to control the government.

John read the Preamble

We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

That is the purpose of government

That is the purpose of our Constitution

Gary read the next question:

(46:04)

With the reading of the PDF that you just read tonight about the Fourth of July are you aware that today is the twenty third day of the third lunar month. I just skyped you some texts of some scriptures that you may find worth looking at. They are in your skype, John. And it might be worth reading. If you did this by accident your timing seemed auspicious. Or shall I say if it is purely coincidental it appears auspicious.

There is quite a bit here. John will read it when he is off the mic. Maybe he will come back on and comment on it. But there is quite a bit and John did not want to hold up anybody. John will look at it and meditate upon it. John will think about it and see if he can respond.

John’s decision to write this paper just came out of no where.

Just this morning it dawned on John that we need to declare to reiterate our declaration from 1776 and this next Monday is the Fourth of July.

John was going to wait til next Monday to do this but John decided that we need to get this out so that on the Fourth of July they will be thinking about this

Gary thinks that John will like Esther 8:9

John will try to comment on that later.

No more questions.

(50)

Brent will be speaking about militia

Brent is author of the book “ Excellence of the Common Law”

and his website is commonlawyer.com

We left off having discussed the first three militia clauses

The first militia clause concerns the Congress of the United States.

The second militia clause concerns the states and governors and state legislature

The third militia clause concerns the duties of the Presidents of the United States

under our Constitution concerning the militia

And the fourth militia clause is the well recognized and well publicized and well known Second Amendment of the United States Constitution.

The first militia clause says that Congress shall have power to provide for calling forth the militia to execute the laws of the Union , number one. Number two, to suppress insurrections.

Number three, to repel invasions.

(51:31)

To provide for the organizing, arming, and disciplining of the militia and for governing such part of them

that may be employed in the service of the United States.

Congress has the power to set the standard for calling forth the militia when the President or governor calls forth the militia for his state

The second militia clause concerns the states and it says the Constitution reserves to the states respectively the appointment of officers and the authority of training the militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress

Congress prescribes the discipline but has no power to put it into practice.

(52:51)

The states respectively have the power

The third militia clause concerns Presidents of the United States

Article 2 Section 2 Clause 1:

The President shall be Commander in Chief of the Army and Navy of the United States, and of the Militia of the several States, when called into the actual Service of the United States; he may require the Opinion, in writing, of the principal Officer in each of the executive Departments, upon any Subject relating to the Duties of their respective Offices, and he shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment.

The first militia clause concerns Congress

The second militia clause concerns the states

The third militia clause concerns the class of persons called Presidents

The fourth militia clause says: A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed.

Our founders wanted Americans to be smartly armed , ready to stop the oppressor, whether within or without.

Well regulated militia denotes the able bodied men of the People who each keeps a loaded firearm in his home and carries it outside his home.

And the words of the Second Amendment “free state” refer to each of the separate united states,

State is an old Latin word that means the status. It is short for status quo.

Governments are never made and never expected to be productive.

The two things that the state is good for are the two duties of those able bodied men, the People, able bodied to carry arms. And that is found in our oath when people take office in the United States to defend our Constitution against all enemies foreign that means the courts to serve on the jury and to sue out the rights of your own when they are being violated. To help another if necessary sue theirs out. That is our rights domestic. And enemies foreign. That means that you are willing to take up arms and defend your land. Enemies domestic and enemies foreign those friends, neighbors , and kin are the two duties of government to provide justice by providing courts and to defend our borders national defense.

The class of men called the President has authority under our Constitution to call out the militia of the several states or any part thereof.

It is limited to three reasons

to repel invasions

to execute the laws of the United States

and to put down, quell, insurrections.

Those three reasons and no other.

Congress is given the authority to define how the President is to recognize when those three things have happened

Congress has ignored their duty for 175 years

The first three militia clauses delegate authority and power

The fourth militia clause delegates nothing

The Second Amendment grants nothing

In colonial days the word “regulate” found in our Second Amendment signifies that which was kept regular. That is, that which is always in readiness.

The Second Amendment denotes those of the people always armed in every way necessary always armed and ever ready to muster when called by the local governor or national president to execute the laws of the Union, suppress insurrections, and repel invasions.

The governor of a particular state may have other reasons to call out the militia.

As for the President of the United States, the Constitution defines the only times that he can call out any part of the militia of the several states.

The regular Navy is always at sea and always loaded down with ammunition and always in readiness for war.

Our common law is not a list of laws

It is not a set of court regulations

It is not a set of court opinions

All of those things are a part of what our common law tradition is but they are not our common law.

Our common law is due process.

Our common law is a fair fight.

You got to fight according to the rules

What are the rules? Due Process

What is a fair fight?

In an old John Wayne movie there was a big fight and someone said “He fought me a fair fight”.

In England they say “fair play”

Due process is fighting according to the rules.

Our common law is the first volume of the laws of nature

There are two volumes of law upon which our country is founded the laws of nature, that is the first volume. It is unwritten. That is due process. That is our common law. It is unwritten , that means we have to observe it.

The common law is due process, it’s a fair fight , the rules of evidence, the rules of litigation.

You have to fight according to the rules

Well regulated in the Second Amendment denotes the always well armed and ready to fight people

A well regulated militia is those individuals of the able bodied people that keep at least one loaded firearm in their homes and carry that firearm when outside the home

The militia is the People , armed or unarmed

The nonregular militia is that part of the People able to arm themselves and be ready to fight at a moments notice but have not done so

(1:18:10)

The regular militia , the well regulated militia, is that part of the People always well armed, always prepared, always ever ready and willing to train or fight upon being called out, that is upon a moments notice.

(1:19)

Brent us available to answer questions:

(1:29)

John referred to Esther 8: 9-12

Mordecai who was under the death penalty, all the Jews in the region were under a death sentence because of a decree by the king, things turned around and in these verses if Esther in Chapter 8 verses 9 thru 12 Mordecai was given authority by the king to write in the king’s name and seal with the king’s ring, sent letters in that the king granted that the People stand for their lives. In other words they had the authority and right to defend themselves. That is June 29th. The letter we are sending out will be arriving on June 29th. That is an interesting idea. Later on it goes on and talks about, and this is a letter to all the rulers and deputies of the land to let them know to stand down or we are going to defend ourselves. The finale would occur on March 11.

CALLERS:

(1:32 )

Caller 1: Jeremiah from California

Brent mentioned that common law are the rules of evidence

Caller heard in small claims court they throw out traditional rules of evidence

Is small claims court a place where anything goes?

Small claims court is a little more lax in rules of evidence

You’re talking about small things

Sometimes following rules of evidence doesn’t give you due process

Sometimes rules of evidence will violate a fair fight.

There are exceptions

Due process is fair fight. It is fair play.

(1:46:27)

Caller 2: Jim from Pennsylvania

Would you be able to comment on the hearings with Sheriff Arpaio in Maricopa County, Arizona. It seems like it is not a fair fight. They are saying that he violated the court order and he is doing these patrols to look for Latinos. It seems like he is just doing his job as sheriff and it seems like it is not a fair fight and they are dragging him into court.

Brent commented that the reason we have juries is because fights aren’t always fair.

So many of the things they are doing are lawless and unfair.

Politics is war

There is nothing fair in politics

Politics is evil inherently.

Brent will look into what is going on with Sheriff Arpaio but he is certain that it has a whole lot to do with politics.

(1:50:25)

Caller 3: Male caller from Rockland County, New York

He just came from the federal court house.

It makes so much sense about the fair fight

And that is not recognized

In the Constitution it says we have the right to assistance from counsel

Caller has never asked for counsel

The counsel is getting in the ring and taking a dive

It is creating revenue for the system

You say due process, a fair fight

I don’t feel like I can get a fair fight

Is there any way to get a fair fight

It is up to us, the People, to demand a fair fight

People come to Brent because they don’t get a fair fight

Every time that you go to court and you demand what is right and the judge hears it or the court hears it any time you do that you are giving the opportunity to the court, to the judge, to do right. And if he doesn’t then he piles up wrath against wrath. We worry about results. Results is God’s.

He will ratify the results. The results are not my responsibility.

In the Evil Empire , in the world, results are everything , the law of the city, the civil law, is result oriented. We must achieve the will of the powerful party. You are not the powerful party. The civil law says the results of the legislature must be achieved the results of the power that be. Our common law says Don’t focus on the result and don’t focus on achieving a result . Stick to the process and let the chips fall where they may. The results are God’s. Not yours and not mine. Our job is to follow right process. To insist on a fair fight, fair play, and substantial justice.

It takes a whole lot of work and a lot of faith.

Caller 4: T J from Oregon

(2 hr)

When you are going into court there is the presumption that they are an enemy combatant coming in rather than a man. How does one address that issue coming in to that court system?

Litigation is battle. A fellow will not prevail unless he acts like it is not a battle. You don’t go into court biting people’s heads off. The man that goes into court and seems a little detached and a little aloof from the process but just calmly asks for the process that is due will fare better, And if a fellow focuses on staying the course , that’s the battle, not achieving victory. You either prevail or you don’t prevail.

You won’t gain anything in court by being openly belligerent. It won’t work.

It is always a battle with the judge.

Caller 5: Tim from Michigan

Tim inquired about the duty of the jurist to not only judge the facts in a case but to judge the law as well.

In reality in a case where a jury has to render a verdict, they are the judges of the law. They are not informed of that . They don’t realize.

Back in the days just before our country became it’s own country Justice Mansfield , called the father of the U C C, was in a case with another justice, his name was Willis, and they both delivered opinions and they were opposites and it had to deal with the jury. The question was whether the jury had the authority to judge the law or whether they had the power to judge the law. This was a long time ago. Justice Mansfield said the jury has no authority to judge the law but they do have the power and there is nothing you can do to them

Justice Willis said If you say they have the power to do it but they don’t have the authority but you can’t punish them if they do do it well that is inconsistent. So Justice Willis said Not only do they have the power to do it, they have the right to do it. The authority, the jurisdiction to judge the law.

That particular case, with those two famous justices, has revealed the tone of the problem that existed before their time and exists even yet today.

It is the consensus among most of the people that the jury has the power because they have the authority to judge the law. And they cannot be punished. And it is clear that our common law says that the jury and the juryman cannot be punished for the verdict, if he can’t be punished then he does have the power and that power rests on good authority.

Caller 6 Michael from Colorado

He is the rightful owner to his mother’s estate. It is a probate case. It is still pending. Is there any way he can transfer this estate to the NLA?

Brent does not know all of the facts of the case and would be amiss to say what he could or couldn’t do.

His mother passed away in 2009

Medicaid wants to reimburse itself for his mother’s expenses

First of all they have to have a proof of claim and it has to be sworn to

If that doesn’t exist then they have nothing

Where is the proof of claim?

Who gave them fiduciary authority over the estate?

Someone has to be appointed

To that appointment there has to be sworn affidavits

They never do this

Everything they are doing is illegal.

NLA does habeas corpuses and we could try to pull this case out if you wanted.

They don’t listen to much of anything,

In order for them to take anything from anybody they have to have proof of claim and they have to have fiduciary authority.

John corrected himself and said that NLA could do a habeas corpus if the mother was still alive but since she is deceased NLA does not do cases like that.

Go down to the federal court and look into the records Use the index number.

Ask for a copy of the fiduciary authority and the proof of claim

Form 56 and Form 4490

John thanked Brent

Gerard tookover

(2:18:44)

Caller 7 Pennsylvania Mary

Regarding Michael from Colorado She has learned from personal experience

Just know that, those that it’s going to, have zero honor

They don’t care that you are being honorable

They are totally renegade to what is going on

There is a lot of corruption

There is no place they won’t go when there is money involved.

You have to get things on the record

They like to falsify the record

It takes a certain skill to get things on the record

They don’t like you when you don’t take an attorney

When you go into court it is a battle

You have to know it’s a battle

It’s a war

How do you get all these things on the record?

Sheriff Arpaio is a target and he has done very well holding them off

The reason that he can hold them off is that he is popular and has a lot of support

We hope it hits critical mass

Caller 8 Jan from Kansas

They are doing it in Kansas too

Caller fought the medical system for 7 years on her mother’s behalf

Caller became a target

The New World Order doesn’t like gold money

They want to be able to create money out of thin air and put interest on it

It is ultimately about keeping us slaves

Russia and the BRICS nations has put together this basket of currency that is a commodity backed money

The IMF has special drawing rights. That’s the New World Order

They are going to try to take this gold money and turn it into digital money

We need to educate ourselves

They are going to buy us off

If you take that gold money and you turn it into digital money then shame on you.

Because it is only worth more that week.

(2:37:30)

(2:45)

Caller 9 Danny from New York

You said it is like a battle.

You said the outcome is not in our hands

Doing the right thing is

Court is a show

The best showman will win

We have had a guy that went in that was very schooled and very articulate and the D A shook their hand and said I never heard anybody be so articulate and they actually end up liking you.

If you conduct yourself in the proper way , you can win

But they can hold you in contempt

It is bad when people go in and they don’t know what they are doing

It gives a bad name to the whole common law thing.

When people don’t know how to act and they’re arrogant and they don’t have a lot of credibility

The judges are looking to get rid of them

Try to leave judges a way out

If you corner them and they think that you are going to go after them , you have to have a door that they can exit

Let the judge have something to hang his hat on

There’s an art to it

Not everybody can do it

Not everybody has that ability

Caller was talking about his case

All of your problems are due process and they are violating your unalienable rights and you will never get remedy in the state court

You’ve got to be savvy

You need to get it out of the state court

But you have to be able to navigate in the federal court

If you are facing jail then NLA could do a habeas corpus for you.

Habeas Corpus requires them to show cause for your potential incarceration or your incarceration

You do a habeas corpus

Then they got three days to add to the habeas corpus and if they fail to answer then it goes to default and then they have to release you

Out of 28 cases NLA has had three people released

When we get to the other side immediately we will move forward to secure these habeas corpuses and make sure these people are freed

Read the papers under “Help”

Then fill out the form and NLA will help you

John wanted to say something to Michael from Colorado

Michael said that the state had already awarded him this

Which means that this battle has already been done by the state

This is now in federal court

How did they get into federal court

They have to have a federal issue

It seems like they got into the federal court because they are the state and not because they have the authority

Did the law come into effect after his mother died or before his mother died?

These judges seem to be recusing themselves.

He has been in court for eight years.

These judges are afraid to make the decision because they don’t have jurisdiction

The state doesn’t belong in there

For a state to move a court case into the federal courts, they have to give the jurisdiction to the federal courts and they have to have a cause to be able to do that

And the cause is generally an unalienable right cause

The state doesn’t have unalienable rights

So they can’t move into the courts under the Bill of Rights

They don’t even belong in this federal court

There is no way that the state has filled out any fiduciary form

If they have a lien, where did they get the lien from?

The state court didn’t award them a lien

By what authority do they think that they can go and put a lien

They don’t have the authority to file a lien

A lien has to come from the court

They’re battling over a lien that doesn’t exist

Move the court to dismiss this case