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Scripture Reading: Matthew 27 : 11-31

We’ve written a couple of papers to be sent out probably the next day or two

It needs to be perfected We might change some things in it

It’s two papers and both are about 19 pages long

They’re an answer to the order that the court put out

We commanded the court for a few things

One of the things we took notice we never really looked at this close enough but we always knew that the paperwork was a problem It’s a bigger problem than we realize

The paperwork that you fill out in order to file a case in any court says on the very front page of it A Civil Case Even the paperwork that is also printed out for us which is the summons usually the clerk prints that out Even that says Civil Court on it.

Civil means statutory

Even though we have taken our papers and we have been very careful to maintain our jurisdiction by using all the proper language within the paperwork

Also we make sure that we make note of the fact that We are the People

We come before the court for a court of record

We’re looking to be in a court of justice

We also make the point that we expect and intend on being in an Article II Court which is a constitutional court under Article II of the Constitution it tells the jurisdiction of the court

So that’s an Article II court

There is no such thing as an Article I court

The lawyers make all kinds of things up

One of the problems that we have is that we’re dealing with lawyers

We’re trying to get a law to be upheld by BAR attorneys who believe that statutes are law.

That’s a major problem That’s the hill that we have to climb

No matter what happens This is the New York case that we’re talking about the Gun Issue

The Safe Act issue even if we were not able to prevail in this case we still have a case that we could then put in to also add it on to the subversion to prove the subversion to prove the collusion the conspiracy between the judges and some legislators One of the problems is not so much that the Congress is writing bad laws somehow they’ve been convinced that some group of lawyers can write the law and they’ve given the law to read and pass and usually no one really knows who wrote it

There have been complaints that Congress says “We don’t have time to read it” “We got to pass it because it’s so important”

Lawyers have been writing these laws that have been controlling ultimately the law and has turned common law over and removed it from our memory and has moved in Roman Law, Civil Law.

(15:10)

Babylonian law, Justinian law, Civil law they all mean the same thing

The whole process is to enslave the people to control the people

The whole point of their law is to maintain control

To sum up common law in just a few words would be “Do no harm”

In the New York case they asked by letter that they want more time They asked for 45 days. We objected to that We denied that We wrote a letter The judge immediately the very next day approved that time They didn’t make a motion and the court gave an order The court can’t move without a motion not on behalf of the plaintiff or the defendant

They cannot move on a motion on behalf of them

The court can put an order if there is a particular problem or situation

We’re talking about equity courts here

ultimately common law courts which ultimately would be a court of record that is a jury trial. And the judge should have no say whatsoever

They put out five orders

We first off told them that we want our money back We gave them 400 bucks and the only reason we paid them was that we couldn’t get in here without paying it

Now that we paid it we want it back

That was extortion They extorted money from us

They don’t want to give us the money back

By paying that 400 bucks that’s another lock into the civil court

You have to fill out a civil cover sheet that just changed the jurisdiction

The summons is a civil paper

It fortifies the fact that you’re back into that civil court

We filed papers to correct this stuff

We told the judge and the magistrate that we expect to have a court of record under the common law one that operates as an Article III court

We want an Article III court That is the only court approved in the Constitution

Administrative courts are nisi prius courts They have no power or authority to fine or incarcerate yet they do

We have to hit critical mass

We have one thing on our side the swamp being drained

We have to continue to press toward the mark and continue to go forward

We now filed these papers and we had to fill out those papers now we corrected that We want our money back We want to correct the records This is a common law court

We’re under the rules of common law Not under the rules of chancery

John is going to read pieces of these papers

We wrote two papers we’ve been working on them for days

We finally finished them today

It has to be perfected We may make some changes

Maybe Wednesday we’ll get it out and file the papers and get everybody a copy of the papers

and we’ll post it up online

When we post it online we will send a note out

We will also post the order that these judges put out We haven’t posted that yet

We will post our answers and response to it

Two papers we filed

One was a response which we put together as a Show Cause concerning motions in error

Motions in Error is a process that you can use it’s a common thing when a motion was approved and there’s an error that got that motion approved you file what is called a Motion in Error and in this case we’re filing a Show Cause concerning a Motion in Error

Because it’s more than an error It’s subversion

(30:00)

One of the things that they did is they made a motion to dismiss

They asked for permission to have 45 days to put forward a motion to dismiss

The second paper is written from the Grand Jury

It’s called a Brief of Amicus Curiae which is a paper that can be written by someone who has an interest in the case and they’re not one of the parties but they become part of the party by putting in a paper to support a particular position and in this case we put in a Brief of Amicus Curiae Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury the King’s Bench in a Court of Record in support of the plaintiff

So the Grand Jury is coming in both as the Next Friend and the Sureties of the Peace

John is going to read pieces of it now

Once we get it up people will have the opportunity to read it on their own

John is going to read pieces from the Brief of Amicus Curiae

Here we got motion to dismiss

Jurisdiction and Filing Fees

One of the things they when we told them we wanted to come into a court of record and we showed them the law on it they denied it they put an order out denying us to have a court of record under the law of the land

This is an argument that we have concerning jurisdiction and filing fees

28 US Code Subsection 1914 requires a filing fee for civil cases

Civil Law and Roman Civil Law are interchangeable serving the same system of jurisprudence

(John continued reading the Brief of Amicus Curiae)

(33:00)

(50:50) Conclusion

(53:20) John concluded reading the first paper

We wrote from two different positions

One from the position of the Grand Jury coming in as the Sureties of the Peace as the Next Friend in support of the plaintiff

And the other one is from the plaintiffs

The first thing is Right to Proceed without Costs

John read the paper

(1:20:32)

John concluded reading the second paper

We will get this up in a couple of days

We will send an e-mail out to notify everyone

Once it’s up there you should download it and read it carefully

Learn

Understand how these courts are structured

Learn how you can position yourself

It’s very difficult Not all of these judges are fixers

Some of them are afraid to take that next big step

We will move this into our other case if necessary

We’ll ask for indictments

We’ll bring them all up for the same kind of charges

We’ll probably ask for an indictment on all of those individuals at the same time that we ask for indictment on these two judges

One is the judge and the other is the magistrate

They may decide to obey the law

Gerard had a question from somebody

He is seeking info on transferring my lower court case into our New York Court Case but lacking info on the process

I don’t know that we would want to do that

We’re not perfect We make mistakes We’re learning all the time

Our paperwork is very close to pristine

We’ve been working on this for almost a decade now

In order to bring a case in it can’t be personal It’s got to be something bigger

If you look into our cases we’re going after the big cases that are national cases

The LaVoy Finicum murder the Hammonds the Bundys

We’re looking at 9 11 a big case conspiracy

But also we have the NonJudicial Foreclosures

These NonJudicial Foreclosures every case operates the same way

They break the same law

They do the same thing Follow the same process

It proves conspiracy in the judiciary

That is where we are heading

We’re going after the judiciary eventually

We’re going to need more people involved with us

We’re going to need more money in order to pursue the judiciary

That’s going to be a big case We’re going to have to file a lot of papers

There’s going to be a lot involved in putting that case together

We’re not lawyers We’re not making millions of dollars

There is no lawyer here making $300/hr

The case would have to fit into a case that we would be able to say that we could take other people into the same category if they came to us because it does prove the conspiracy

the collusion the subversion across the entire nation of the whole federal judiciary

The paper would need to be really pristine we can’t afford to lose jurisdiction because of something said when we put this paper in We could correct certain things possibly That would take a lot of work

It would have to be something that works with what we’re doing

There was a person about a month and a half ago they wanted us to do something for them with their case and when we told them that we couldn’t do it they got upset

They were a new person They didn’t really understand how we are proceeding here.

We’re not here to play lawyer to win cases monetary gain we’re not here for those purposes We’re here to deal with the subversion against the United States of America by enemies both foreign and domestic

The swamp is being drained We have to drain the judiciary swamp

Maybe they don’t understand that the problems that they are having are already in our case

so that when we prevail on this then they can point to our case

The New York case is a real good case to study

We got a lot of memorandums in there

We cover the problem with jurisdiction vs the common law

We cover the problem of how they keep moving us into another jurisdiction

(1:30)

It’s a game of chess maintaining your jurisdiction

All of that is fraud because they took the rules of the court created different courts created laws took rules and took them far beyond the authority of what Congress gave them the authority to do

Generally the rules were written to destroy your ability to stand on unalienable right

They want to get you into civil rights

A lot of these issues will be incorporated into the book that John is writing and into the course that we’re putting together

Gerard made an announcement

There are three new videos on the front page with David Barton Capitol Tour with David Barton that John just downloaded and One Nation Under God and 4 TRAPS of False History Something that everybody should watch

John is a Bible teacher 30 years plus there was so much that he missed he was blown away by those videos

They are the last three videos at the NLA Welcome Page

Jan gave Terry the questions and one announcement

(1:40:47)

QUESTIONS

Question 1 When does a presentment become an indictment ?

Please explain the difference in detail.

Presentments are something that the Grand Jury does totally on it’s own

Indictment is something that is produced to them by another person usually a prosecutor.

We do indictments for two reasons

First of all the grand jury isn’t necessarily exploring it out on their own

It’s We the People out here in National Liberty Alliance putting this together and bringing the case together to produce and give to the grand jury and we place it on our website and the grand jury whoever is going to participate in the grand jury Anybody can participate with the grand jury We send an e-mail out when the grand jury is going to meet Usually a few e-mails We start a couple of weeks before and build up to the meeting coming up for the grand jury to meet to discuss a certain case But we constantly put out the page where the information is that the people need to study the evidence in order for them to make a decision whether they want to indict or not and also which individuals they would like to agree with an indictment on It’s produced through the Grand Jury by We the People

And the grand jury gives the indictment or not

If the sheriff brings it to them again it’s an indictment

If the grand jury in the process of doing their duty they discover something off out of left field maybe something they were looking into led them to something else and they said “ Hey, we have to look at this” and then they would move to the administrators to get access make sure that they have access to whatever it is that they want access to They can do their own investigation and in that investigation they decide OK let’s bring it before the whole grand jury and they produce it to themselves and that’s called a presentment

It’s as valid and as powerful as an indictment

It just has a different name

When the administrators work in the county and they’re doing their job of orientating the grand jury orientating the trial jury making sure that they’re not tainted making sure that no one tries to stack them Also those administrators are also investigators for the grand jury

If people want to talk to the grand jury they can talk to the administration of the grand jury and maybe we can fix the problem and if we can’t do that let it be known OK we’re going to send it to the grand jury We give it to the grand jury they can review it and then they can have these people come before them they can try to fix the problem and if they can’t then they’ll maybe decide to do an indictment and send it to the trial jury for final decision

So there’s always a process The purpose of this whole judicial process is to fix the person that is harmed

The main point of this whole thing if you want to talk about common law in just a few words it’s this simple “Do no harm”

If someone’s been harmed the question that the administrators might want to ask themselves to discover where they want to go from there or the grand jury would want to ask themselves on where they want to go from there or even the trial jury wants to ask themselves on where they’re going to go from there is Number One Has someone been harmed?

Number Two: Who likely harmed them?

and Number Three: How can we fix this?

We’re not here to punish the person per se

the process requires a punishment just naturally

because you have to fix the person that you injured and that’s the punishment

(1:45:12)

(1:46:55)

Gary Will has an update on the Welcome Committee

We got the new Welcome Committee page up.

If you go to National Liberty Alliance we encourage everybody to log in new and old

If you go to the “Members” tab on the blue bar and go down to Welcome to NLA

it will go through six parts

Gary sent a Skype to John several days ago

Maybe the day after tomorrow we will find time for that

There are six parts

The first part we go into the mission That’s very critical Most people really don’t understand our mission If we understand the mission then we will get the vision to stick with it to complete the task

In Part 2 we go into Who is NLA Their history

In Part 3 we call it the Transformation That goes into a little deeper history

Part 4 is just the Basics in American Law

Part 5 is the Law of the Land

and Part 6 is Members Orientation which includes a lot of videos

Gary would encourage old members as well as new members to review this information

It will give us information that we need that we can take a stand in our communities and defend ourselves

We’ve added videos to compliment the course

During the course we cover Constitutional Rights v Unalienable Rights

We cover Admiralty Law and Republic v Democracy

It gives you a firm background on base knowledge on everything you really need to know to defend yourself at the school board meeting or wherever you may go

If everyone followed the Constitution we wouldn’t have all of these statutes and codes that we have now to regulate our behavior

It’s located on the “Members” tab on the blue bar go down to “Welcome to NLA”

We welcome your feedback If you’re happy with it let us know If not let us know

There are a few changes to be made

It’s available to everyone

We encourage everyone to go through it

It will give you a good background

The end result is we steer everyone into the Constitution Course

That’s a course everyone should have

If you don’t know your Constitution it’s pretty hard to defend it

If you don’t know your rights it’s pretty hard to defend them

We’ve selected a couple of hundred people

We’re going to be calling

Anybody that knows of any radio or YouTube internet radio it doesn’t matter if they want to hear us we’ll speak and answer questions and give them a synopsis of what we’re doing We need to get the word out

You need to get educated Without education you really can’t get anything done

We will be starting calling people

Ron Flick is on the line He’s been very much involved in this

Jim had an announcement

Jim wanted to make sure that everyone knew that Jan and Gerard were going to be on the Randy Yarborough show on the 17th This Thursday

Brent is going to be running that radio program

Ron Flick gave a skype that we still need volunteers in California, Oregon, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, Kansas, Minnesota, Texas, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi

Volunteers to make phone calls

(2:00:20)

Brent and John discussed the upcoming Randy Yarborough show on Thursday

(2:01:35)

Brent Allan Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Brent’s comments may or may not be the position of National Liberty Alliance

A question was brought up about Amendment 5 of our Constitution

Amendment 5 of the Constitution of the United States says this:

“No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury….”

There are only two ways to bring an indictment against a man and to hold him over for trial

criminal trial in federal court

Only two ways and that is presentment or indictment

The presentment is not used much any more

Criminal trial says Article 5 must only on presentment or indictment of grand jury

Now these two methods presentment and indictment signify two different ways to bring a person to criminal trial

The word indictment means caught by the foot

Presentment means a grand jury investigates a matter on it’s own initiative

It investigates a matter that the US Attorney doesn’t ask them to investigate

Presentment means that the grand jury may decide to investigate the U S Attorney that’s trying to get them to investigate somebody else

The other method called indictment signifies that the U S attorney’s submission of a proposed indictment to the grand jury is signed

If you were to distinguish presentment from indictment presentment is where the grand jury does the investigation without the involvement of the U S attorney

Indictment is where the US attorney does the investigation the Justice Department of the United States does the investigation and they present all their information to the grand jury and the grand jury either signs off on it or they don’t

The presentment method where the grand jury does it’s own investigation is the runaway grand jury The government attaches a negative name to it to give it a bad name as though it’s a team of horses being whipped without anybody holding the reins

These words sound about the same People wouldn’t notice the difference

These two ways of indictment by grand jury are the ways of our common law

have been around for a long long time centuries

We’re moving through the Declaration of ‘76

Paragraph 24 that’s where we left off

Paragraph 15 thru Paragraph 24 begins with the word “for”

The rest of the paragraphs in the body of the document begin with “He”

There reason these paragraphs here about nine of them begin with “for” because they are all subsections to this statement in Paragraph 15

Everything before Paragraph 15 is “he did this” “he did that”

Paragraph 15 says “He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:”

There was no United States Constitution at that time

Their constitution they understood was their common law

Our Constitution today is still our common law

What Americans wanted to do was to reach back into the past and establish something that they thought had been lost

Britain was trying to take it away from the Americans

After we had our break from England we just continued the way we had before

We had the same courts

the same state legislatures

that’s why it was all stability it wasn’t unstable

There is always a filling in of the void of lack of government

And wherever there are men that void will be filled

There are bad things but the power is dispersed that’s our strength

The power is dispersed among states counties local water districts school districts irrigation districts communities of religious order and all different kinds of churches families have power

That’s what makes it work the best for everybody the spheres of authority that you may have

Paragraph 24 says:

“For suspending our own Legislatures, and declaring themselves invested with power to legislate for us in all cases whatsoever.”

He’s saying they’re passing Paragraph 15 this is Paragraph 24 Paragraph 15 says they’re passing Parliament the King is signing off on it pretended legislation for suspending our own legislatures by legislation of Parliament they were saying we’re suspending your Legislature there in America

and we’re declaring ourselves 3,000 miles away Parliament we’re saying we’re the ones we have all the power to legislate for you folk over there 3,000 miles away in all cases whatsoever Now that’s pretended legislation

What they wanted to do was shut government down in the United States

That’s what they wanted to do

That’s what the federal courts, and the federal government and the Congress is trying to do.

Strip the states of power

Strip them little by little

Take away their power of legislation

Our common law requires self and local government

The closer to home the better

Where the common law goes it brings order

Because with it it brings the Bible

Mercantilism says that a man or a country will profit only by the loss of others

You can only profit by taking what someone else has

That is the fundamental of the Evil Empire

When the first settlement from England came to the American shores they had been given a charter The weather blew them woefully off course They didn’t land where their charter had authority The Mayflower landed someplace else And knowing that they did not have authority over the land where they were and not knowing how to get to it at that point and the winter coming on fast they set down before they came to shore the men set down in the cabin of that little ship and they drew up a document they called it the Mayflower Compact and using common law first principles fitting their needs in the wilderness they all signed it

And it is said that that was the first pronounced act of self government in the New World by an English settlement

And the colonials agreed that common law applied on American soil

Other colonies followed suit in self government and for the next 166 years Parliament while the English were enjoying the wealth the colonials’ free relationships contract and trade produced they all enjoyed that and by silence they agreed that common law applied on American soil it never bothered them for 166 years but then all of a sudden they said “We got to get control of these colonies” and they talked King George into trying to change the colonies 166 year old course of prosperity

It was too late

They had a taste of self government for 166 years

Can you imagine the wealth we would have in America if government would just leave folk alone

Brent looks forward to the interview on Thursday

(2:40:45)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Crystal from Connecticut

She got her deed

She got her quick claim

The judge said “We’re taking your house”  
We were in court she met about 15 people she gave them National Liberty Alliance information She has been sitting in the courtroom as a court observer

They’re changing people’s mortgages

One guy had his property in his name and we were filing different paperwork His accountant told him, he goes, “Oh, you moved They got the new owner And they said that you abandoned your property” So they’re stealing people’s property a year in advance

People are like “Wait a minute when did we get this?”

What they are doing is changing and stealing people’s mortgages

The people have not received any written paperwork or nothing

She has been representing herself for the last four years

They withdrew everything but then they came back

So she got all of her paperwork

We’re filing a complaint It’s probably criminal charges

Keep aware of N E R S if you didn’t sign your name it’s illegal to change anybody’s mortgage

She had her property quick claim back over to her

She gave herself 400 years

And her granddaughters for 500 years

You can do that too

The quick claim

She got the deed that’s the proof of ownership

She is the owner of record

The lawyers have no morals so they’re going to do whatever they think they can get away with

There’s a lot of confusion nationally

Crime and deceit and all kind of stuff going on

They’re getting together and they see that they can get away with this because nobody’s watching

The attorneys are the debt collectors

WPCA are the ones stealing everybody’s homes (Water Pollution Control Authority) (sewer)

It’s a utility bill but they’re obviously in league with the town

A utility bill is not a secured debt

It’s not secured by your property however when the town gets involved in the water department and things like that now the town attaches it to your taxes

And so then you get the full force of tax collection on a bill

In other words a sewer bill that’s run by the town even if there’s a third party doing the sewer but it’s still a municipal

Normally they couldn’t foreclose your house for a sewer bill

But the way they’re doing it They’re putting it under the auspice of the town

And the town adds it to your taxes so it’s just like you didn’t pay your tax

The town was complicit in allowing them to use the full force of the law to add it to your tax bill

The town did it because they allowed it to happen

The town should have said, “No you have to go after them personally You’re not going to stick it on our tax bill”

Obviously somebody in the town is co-operating with the corporation that’s running this municipal facility

They are all colluding

They’re all corrupt

And they have no fear of retribution or retaliation

The people need to come together

They need to demand their grievances be heard

You need more people

Crystal is a court observer and they are in support of each other

There were five people from her street in court today

Caller 2: Rhonda

(2:52:47)

She has been going in and out of court for custody of her grandchildren

Today she submitted a paper from back in 2013 when she had power of attorney and custody of her grandson They are running a little scared about that because it is a binding contract

If she and her daughter come up with a contract about where she would give me power of attorney and rights to the kids would that overstep the Child Welfare?

Almost anything can override the Child Welfare

You and your daughter have first rights to those children

I would do any contract that you could bring into court

Your right to contract is unlimited

They have no reason to intervene between families

So whatever you can produce like that with power of attorney and contracts, I would do

At some point you may have to sue each one of them personally for acting under color of law

There should be no way that they could breach that or get in between you two

I don’t know the particulars and I’m not giving you legal advice

I’m just giving you legal precedent and what the law says about contracts

If you can get an attorney that understands contract law and family court law it seems that it would be an easy thing to get out of

If there’s no good reason for them to be in there Why are they in there?

There’s no reason that they should be in there

She had a meeting today with one of the caseworkers

She asked about a visit with her grandson after she handed the caseworker the paperwork that she had already submitted to the court with giving her power of attorney and custody of her grandson The caseworker did not want to take it She refused it

When she asked the caseworker about her visit and how she wanted to take her grandson to the zoo She told me that if I wanted to do that that I would have to pay the people who supervise visits and I’ve never been charged that

The caller asked the case worker “What’s that going to cost?”  
The caseworker said $14 every 15 minutes for supervision if I wanted to go somewhere.

Have her served with the paperwork that you have there for your grandson that she refused.

Pay a process server to serve her and then sue her and have her arrested for kidnapping.

Caller served the court with it today

She went right over to Child Welfare and she called their lawyer The lawyer said she could take it The caseworker did not want to take it because it’s pretty legal

The lawyer said that she was giving permission for the caseworker to bring it to her

It was already stamped and filed today

Crystal added information This is what Crystal did and won the case on this

You ask her for her supervisor

Then you ask for the supervisor’s supervisor all the way up to the governor’s office

You send them all a letter

By the time they got it the person got fired no one wants to sign off on it

Go right to the top

Caller talked to the top today She called and talked to the top director

Go all the way to the governor’s office

Get all their names

Caller has been collecting names

Go to the top person under the governor’s office

Get that person’s name and title

All of them are involved in this

Crystal knows of a case where they dismissed the case instantly

They take kids away from their homes and give certain families $4,000/month for these kids

And then a lot of kids are disappearing

A lot of crazy stuff is going on

We’ll talk more about this next week

Bring back your results