National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

January 22, 2018

Call-In Number: [515-604-9386](tel:(515)%20604-9386), access code 419303#

**- 9 PM-Midnight EDT -**Join National Liberty Alliance's Open Forum and weekly news and updates on NLA's advancements in the courts every Monday night. **Call-in number (515) 604-9386 access code 419303#**, PRESS \*6 TO MUTE/UNMUTE, then 1 to get into the que. If your cell provider blocks or charges for the call you can call our **back-up number (781) 838-3728.**

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 22 : 15 – 22

(2:32)

We are continuing on with the Sheriffs Program

Please give $5 or more per month to help support the campaign to create the pocket handbooks for the sheriffs to give them an education

Go to PowerOfTheCountySheriff.org

The New York Safe Act Lawsuit

We are going forward with the Safe Act Lawsuit in New York State

So if you live in New York, you don’t have to own a gun to get into this lawsuit

You have to live in New York to get into it

We’re suing as plaintiffs

We’re suing ultimately for our gun rights

We know that they can’t answer our questions

(5:38)

QUESTIONS  
  
Question 1: If someone who is in jail files a habeas corpus , can we file a NLA habeas corpus with theirs, or should we wait until after? Would an inmate’s habeas corpus and a habeas corpus from NLA clash? Is there a limit on how many habeas corpuses can be filed for one person?

Any habeas corpus that any lawyer would file or even that an inmate might file would be statutory. It is true that habeas corpus is a statutory process It’s a statute.

It expresses a common law principle You have to get your due process

Very rarely do people get due process

John has not heard of any habeas corpuses that have been successful for people in jail that lawyers have done

NLA has about 20 or 30 habeas corpuses out there

We’ve only had two successes

There may have been some others that have not reported back to us

Our habeas corpus is a common law habeas corpus It rests on common law

We do it through the federal court an Article 3 court

Even though it is filed within the court system

It is answerable ultimately to the federal court

We do the Show Cause when they don’t respond properly when they don’t do the right thing we do a Show Cause

We’re at a point where those in the past we’re ready to do indictments on

That is the next step

The judges and the prosecutors ignored the habeas corpus

That is a criminal offense

They acted under the color of law

We are going to be doing indictments on the Hammonds’ case, we’re going to be doing indictments on the Wildlife Preserve , and also on the LaVoy Finicum assassination.

We are probably going to call for an indictment this Thursday.

You can join in and cast your vote as a grand jurist.

9:00 PM on Thursday We will confirm that by e-mail

We also want to do an indictment on 9 11

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org highlight the “Grand Jury” underneath the “Grand Jury” come down to “Docket” down toward the bottom of the page on “Docket” right before the video on the bottom of the page you will find all of the information necessary. It’s a Criminal Investigation Evidence Reports

We got reports regarding 9 11 Conspiracy , the LaVoy Finicum Assassination, the Bundy Ranch, the Wildlife Preserves, and the Hammonds.

People can go through this stuff and get themselves prepared to be able to place a vote of guilty or not guilty We’re going after the judges in Nevada and Oregon

We’re also going after the prosecutors

We’re going after the governor of Oregon

This is for consideration for indictment

There are five to seven shooters

Each one of these shooters will be called Shooter Number One John Doe, Shooter Number Two John Doe, and so on

There will be certain individuals that we will read out what they have done and the charges that we are going after for them

Everybody should be reviewing the evidence before hand

We’re going to highlight the details on individuals

Then people will take their vote

It will probably be less than an hour

There will not be any discussions

People should go to our website and breeze through what we have

There’s a lot of video

A lot of people have already reviewed a lot of this evidence over time.

A lot of people are familiar

That’s coming up this Thursday tentatively

We do the habeas corpus constitutionally and the key point is that it is the grand jury administrator which is approving these things

One of the big problems with a lawyer or an individual doing a habeas corpus is that they have to get the approval of a judge

Judges have already been told to not approve any habeas corpuses

They always get shot down

You have to get the judge to approve the habeas corpus and then move it forward.

That doesn’t happen We bypass the judge

We make the approval We the People as the Grand Jury as the Administrators for the Grand Jury We make the approval and send it through and they have to respond

Question 2

Is it true that when they convict somebody that they are actually doing a contract with them ?

If true, can we fight this with a claim of breach of contract or something else in federal court?

(15:18)

No I don’t think it’s through a contract or anything It’s called fraud.

They fraudulently brought you before a court that has no power or authority It’s administrative.

No power or authority to incarcerate or fine

They have to be a court of justice which means that they have to operate under the process of a court of record They don’t do that

Once in a while they make themselves look like they’re courts of record by staging a trial jury

A lot of cases especially in the lower courts they don’t even get a grand jury indictment

An infamous crime is a horrific crime Something that potentially can give you a jail sentence

You need to be first indicted by the grand jury And then you have to be tried by an untainted jury of your peers.

(17:30)

Question 3: If somebody puts in a habeas corpus and goes to court who represents them? That is, do they get a court appointed lawyer or do they have to represent themselves or do they have to get their own lawyer?

When they go through the judge gets to make a decision

They never take it this far because they ignore the habeas corpus

They think that they have to approve the habeas corpus

If they do hear it basically the charges have to be read out in writing they have to be read out. If you’re going to keep someone or put someone in jail and hold them

You can’t put someone in jail who hasn’t been indicted to begin with

If you’re going to hold someone at least they should have an indictment on them

If it’s a violent crime a serious crime they might want to hold them until the court case.

Only if they can prove or show that the person is likely to run

You can’t put someone in jail indefinitely and hold them for day after day week after week, month after month and they’ve never been indicted

There are lots of people in jail and being held and never indicted

There are people that have been found guilty which have never been indicted

You have to be a court of justice That brings us into a state or federal court.

You still have to have an indictment

You still have to run according to the rules of common law

You have to be tried by a jury and found guilty by a jury of your peers.

The jury cannot be tainted and it must be notified of it’s power

The power of nullification a primary thing

They get to decide both law and facts

Therein is the problem

(20:30)

Question 4: Can you cite specifically where to find documents concerning grand juries that would not indict and prosecute fellow Americans guilty of prohibition violations would instead choose to investigate overzealous prosecutors during the days of prohibition which began over a hundred years ago?

John and Gerard were not aware of that

(22:11)

Question 5: I think that I heard John say on last Monday’s Call that we should read the Bundy Ranch investigation report first for voting on indictments.

Does that mean that we should focus on them rather than the Finicum assassination reports which previously we were advised to read first in order to vote on indictments?

The reports are voluminous and I have limited time.

There’s a tremendous amount of information.

Most people can’t go through all of that information.

Watch some of the videos Read some of the papers

Breeze through some things

The individuals will be extracted from those documents They will be named

or numbered in the case of the shooters.

We will briefly give a statement A sentence or two or three of what the charges are What it is that they are guilty of doing

Anyone who watches the video can get the character of LaVoy and see that clearly he was not an individual that was a danger to anybody He clearly was a true patriot who was interested in the law and the Constitution and wanted it to be upheld by the government

Everybody should get out there and breeze through this stuff

There’s a tremendous amount of evidence

A lot of people have been following this

It shouldn’t get too involved

Once an indictment goes through this is all one case there is the Hammond case, there is the Wildlife Preserve case, the case in Nevada, the Bundy case , and the LaVoy Finicum case and it’s all connected and it’s one case

About twenty or so individuals

There are about fifty or sixty more individuals involved that we can’t identify

Once we get an indictment through and we get whatever information we have and the investigation starts going through as far as through the prosecutor there’s going to be a whole lot of people that’s going to turn up there. And then they’ll have to come back with indictments for those individuals. There should be a lot of add ons

Even the charges that we are going to lay up other charges will be discovered and brought up. Once a prosecutor gets an indictment the prosecutor thinks that he has the power to make a deal

That’s not up to them to do They don’t have that power and authority Only the grand jury has that power and authority to be able to make a deal prior to a trial by jury

That’s a possibility to make an arrangement with the grand jury

The trial jury, it’s their decision on who is guilty and who is not guilty they get to decide the law and they get to decide the facts

They also get to decide what the penalty is

The eye of the jury is always on restitution

That’s the purpose of going to a court of justice for justice to be served

They’re not doing any of this

They’re going to kick and fight in order for us to get back to the true process of what it should be

We need more people involved

We need to hit critical mass

ANNOUNCEMENTS  
(39:00)

Rich Merrett : Funding and Solidarity

There was a video that was released the day after the Bundys were released from jail

Within the first five minutes of the video they were talking about jurisdiction

What Cliven Bundy said was, “The sheriff had jurisdiction but relinquished it to the federal government” which was wrong. And in that same video if you go to the twelve and a half minute mark the interviewer asked him, “What was the most shocking thing that happened to you?” and he said, “The most shocking thing was that the federal government had about 200 people there pointing guns at us and the sheriff did nothing about it.”

This is a golden opportunity for us to sell these books.

Or to have these books printed and mailed.

It’s a golden opportunity for somebody to reach out to the Bundys or John Lamb or Kelly , somebody who’s involved in this group, and ask them if they would do a short video saying that National Liberty Alliance is trying to print these books and mail them 25 copies to each sheriff.

Rich told John Darash that he was really sick of John asking for money. It was driving Rich crazy.

Rich knows that NLA needs money. It costs money to print these books and ship them out.

But we need to be putting the word out to other people. Rich posted it all over FaceBook. He sent it to 200 plus people on his e-mail list. If we get 20,000 people out of 311 million that are in this country unless you count the illegals. 350 million If you count 20,000 people who give $5 we would have enough money to take care of that A one time $5 gift

It’s a golden opportunity for us to see if they will put out a message for us.

If everybody and their brother that is on this call post it on FaceBook and e-mail contacts , everybody that you can think of. That’s what needs to be done.

Rich has a couple of individuals in New Hampshire that scorn him.

Lately both of those people have been reposting things that Rich has been sending them which they have never done before.

It’s time that we start to get extremely active and try to get people united.

They don’t have to be members of NLA

Talk to other groups

We have to expose the fraud

Corporate America has taken over It’s all about money.

You’re a corporation Look at the name on your license All capital letters

You’re a corporate entity

You have the right to travel free and unencumbered

We have to start educating people

Stop consenting to what you don’t have to do

You have to be strong because it will mean arrest for some people.

But you’re not doing anything wrong The law is in your favor

We have to start educating

Rich would like Brent to call Dan Richard 603-315-5755 He is anxious to talk to Brent about a particular issue

We’re trying to do some things to embarrass legislature

They don’t have a clue

Some of them do at the top rung

Some at the top rung are orchestrating this whole thing

Some of the things that attorneys and lawyers are doing , some of them don’t have a clue.

They’re so accustomed to doing things

They say, “I’ve been doing it my whole life. What are you talking about?”

We have to start questioning everything.

Education is the key

(56:00)

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Jim Birsen

This weekend Jim attended a gun show

He handed out affidavits from our Safe Act Lawsuit Case

He got a lot of good responses from it

A lot of people are apprehensive but on the whole they believe in what we’re doing

They’re caught up in a statutory prison and they don’t realize it.

Jim is also looking for leads for interviews for our administrators to bring about this Sheriffs Campaign to the masses through radio and internet shows

If anybody comes across something then Jim’s e-mail is set up

[jim@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:jim@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Copy and paste it and send it off

We’re still taking intake forms for affidavits for federal court filings regarding times where you were violated against except for minor things like traffic infractions

And also nonjudicial foreclosures we’re still doing those

Gun Owners of America were handing out papers to people at a gun show for the purpose to comply to an unlawful law

That’s how bad we are That’s how ignorant we are

An organization that is there to help people recognize their Second Amendment rights and then they are handing out papers of compliance.

That makes the point of being not educated

We have to become educated

We have to be vigilant

(1:08:40)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Brent’s opinions are not necessarily those of National Liberty Alliance

The only remedy for lawlessness is true law

Brent had a conversation with a caller of NLA who has been indicted for a purported crime in federal court

They were in noncriminal litigation against him

He was not paying homage to them

The regulation number that they were criminally prosecuting this fellow as a matter of law has no force. That doesn’t mean that the federal government won’t use force against him

There is a difference between authority, that is jurisdiction, and enforcement, power

And to not make that distinction is to not understand the significance of our common law tradition.

Government may exercise power but that doesn’t mean that they have the right to do it.

That doesn’t mean that they have the jurisdiction

That doesn’t mean that they have the authority.

The Kentucky Resolution and the Virginia Resolution say the Constitution of the United States only mentions four kinds of crimes.

Number one: Treason against the United States

Number two : Counterfeiting the currency and current coin in the United States

Number three: Piracy and felonies committed on the high seas

Number four: Violations of international law

Outside of those four kinds of crimes the general government as a matter of constitutional law has no criminal jurisdiction

There are in the neighborhood of between 5700 and 6,000 federal criminal statutory crimes passed by Congress

Our federal prisons are overflowing double triple capacity

They build one about every year it seems like

The criminal jurisdiction of the federal government are limited to those four areas

Those four areas and no more

What about the rest of the crimes that men may commit?

Who has jurisdiction over those?

The States of the United States

The states have jurisdiction over murder, over rape, pillaging, plundering, stealing and burglary , all these crimes the state has jurisdiction over that not the federal government

The federal senators and the federal congressmen are far removed from us and if you notice they won’t return your phone calls And if you write them a letter then they will send you a form letter that says nothing.

You have no influence over them at all

If I can do anything this evening it is to inculcate in your mind that says that the federal government only has four areas of jurisdiction under law and rightly so

according to our Constitution of the United States of criminal jurisdiction

1. Treason against the United States
2. Counterfeiting the current coin the security of the United States
3. Piracy and felonies committed on the high seas
4. Violations of international law

These and no others These and no more

And if the states would take up the responsibility to prosecute those crimes even against federal agents that commit them that would go a long way in re-establishing the balance of power that our Constitutional law historically has called federalism

Federalism the balance of power between the states and the general government setting in Washington DC

Right now that balance is way way out of balance

And because of that we now live in a police state

The federal government dictating to the states law

Dictating to the states what to do

Providing money to the states

The federal government has sent governors of states to federal prison for a long long time

The state of Illinois Two governors back to back in federal prison

George Ryan, number one, a Republican

then following him Rod Blagojevich a Democrat

both of them resisted the teachers union and made the Powers That Be mad

Both of them got sent to federal prison

In both of those cases the indictments of both of those governors began with this statement:

In the year in question the federal government of the United States sent to the state of Illinois over $10,000 in FFA Federal Finance Assistance

and because the federal government had sent over $10,000 to the state of Illinois

the federal government said that it had jurisdiction to prosecute the governors of the state for any reason that they felt like they wanted to prosecute them and send them to federal prison

Do you think that the federal government doesn’t have control over the state governors?

Do you think that the federal government doesn’t have control over every county sheriff in the United States?

They do

They control the sheriffs because there is not a sheriff that does not accept at least a million dollars in federal money to house federal prisoners by contract

and that’s how they control the local sheriffs

And that’s why local sheriffs are kept men and will do their bidding

The counties are so addicted to that money that they would go broke

Most counties get much more than a million dollars a year

I wasn’t able to talk about the Declaration of ’76 right now

but it was pressing on my mind because of the conversation that I had with one of our listeners of this conference call who has been indicted for a federal crime that is no crime at all.

(1:29:00)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Eric

Eric is questioning whether the sheriffs are our friends

Brent brought up a good point: that they are totally given to the federal government

John encourages people to talk to their local sheriff and form Committees of Safety

Eric heard of this company called Defense Distributed

They appear to have a 3D gun printer for about sixteen hundred dollars

Supposedly if you print guns for anybody else but yourself you can get into a lot of trouble.

These 3D gun printers look pretty sophisticated.

And for $1600 you can print a gun that does not have any identifying marks on it

That’s something to think about

Defense Distributed

Richie was talking about how bad things are

There is a YouTube channel called American Intelligence Media

They have a couple of videos You got to hear this stuff

They are well researched They’re right on point They have references for their stuff

They have one called “Obama’s Army Found” “ the Senior Executive Services”

They have another one called “Meet Big Brother”

They have another one called “How Hillary Crashes Cars and Planes”

And then there is an interview with this guy that calls himself Thomas Paine from American Intelligence Media he’s got an interview with Lionel Nation

The title of this video is “You Will Be Completely Controlled -- You Are Wetware — Implanted Devices and Mind **Control** Hijack You “

All this stuff goes back to 1993 and even further back

Before the call started Crystal from Connecticut she was talking about the Executive Order that Trump had signed on the 21st The executive order blocking the property of persons involved in serious human rights abuse or corruption

Is this something that we can apply in a general sense against nonjudicial foreclosures and unlawful arrests ?

Eric has found a good little group of folk that have large audiences

Eric is trying to get John and Gerard on these calls.

Possibly if he works with Jim he will be able to get it done

Possibly we can unite like Rich was talking about

And if we do unite then we will have a much larger audience

Has John read Trump’s Executive Order and is this something that we can apply generally to the judges that are taking away our family member’s and our houses?

Donald Trump did a couple of things in that Executive Order

It’s a very specific order and it targets 13 main people

Those sites that you cited are very good

And there’s another one where the guy goes through the 13 and really puts it out there.

One of the things that he did was he included the fact that it was a threat to national security

So what that did was it brought in the whole force of the army and the marine corps and everything that is at his disposal to use

And he used a lot of the laws that Obama made and the UN made he used them against him

So part of what he’s doing he took out the rat lines that were making them money

He arrested and indicted over 5,000 people in these pedophilia rings

They were bringing in more money than the drugs do

Everybody knows how much money drugs bring in

Can you image that worldwide pedophilia and child rings has risen so much that now it’s more money than illicit drugs

He went and took out those rat lines by indicting those people He was going after those MK gangs

He’s taken their financial legs out from under them

He froze their assets

He took out all these lower guys

They’re not getting the money That’s why they’re failing and falling

ISIS doesn’t get any more money from us

If you go through the 13 in the list and follow the bread crumb you will find that they’re all connected through Hillary and Billary’s companies and Bush’s companies and all these defense corporations.

And he said that anybody that did any business with them he put it under RICO

It’s very specific

I don’t think that we can use it on a state level

They gave them a whole shipping yard in Cape Canaveral the biggest busiest shipyard in the world and they sold it to Saudi Arabian terrorists and Obama and Clinton made sure that it never got reviewed by the congressional panel that is supposed to look at foreign entities that are doing business and taking over assets in the United States that have to do with national security.

They completely bypassed.

That’s treason

They sold our country out

They have these shipping containers that come in

They got missile systems set up in forty foot containers and they’ve been trucking them all over the place for eight years

They don’t know where they are

That’s the other reason that she is not arrested

They’re playing a cat and mouse game

She probably says that if you come after me then I’m going to have them throw a missile on the White House or whatever

There’s a big cat and mouse game going on

It’s way worse than you think it is

There’s a big struggle going on

You are going to see major stuff in the next few weeks because of all of this

They’re ready to indict these people very shortly

The indictments are out there They’re getting ready for the arrests (1:41:54)

BP EarthWatch broke down the Executive Order Jessie at BP EarthWatch

That’s one of the calls that Eric is trying to get Gerard and John on

Eric will go to Jim who is handling this and figure out a game plan

American Intelligence Media said that there was definitely 4,000 indictments

They seem to be very well researched

Probably there is at least 4,000

Gerard recommends : The Bright Media it’s got a guy Ben another one that is very good is SGT Report with Sean

If all these indictments go through the next one would be the media

They’ve already lost all kinds of credibility

Turn the TV off because it’s nothing but a brainwashing tool

Fund the sheriff out of our tax dollars

The sheriff needs to be free and he needs to be funded

(1:59:00)

Caller 2: Yvette

She wants to talk about the 8.3 year divorce that she has been involved in in New York City with Judge Matthew Cooper forced to go to a referee trial disabled and not getting any kind of justice with an emergency to show cause stay that Judge Sugarman and Judge Cooper both denied her disability rights

She filed a federal law suit

She hasn’t finished the paperwork

She didn’t show up to her referee trial because she was sick

They barreled on through and they steamrolled and they took all her lawyer husband’s 214 documents against her in an 8.3 year divorce of total extortion and judicial misconduct and bias and perjury.

She just filed a federal lawsuit for more time because they denied her disability

They’re not going to get involved in that They’re going to deny that.

In order to get into a federal court you have to have a cause a cause of action

For a federal cause of action you must have a constitutional violation or a violation of some U S Code.

You need to have a cause of action which means you have to have a constitutional violation.

Number one: You are not getting due process

That court is an administrative court

It’s operating as an administrative court

Number two: You have a conspiracy There’s conspiracy between the lawyers on the other side who’s your husband and the judge There’s collusion going on and the judge committed fraud on the case.

You bring them both into the federal court for federal charges

For conspiracy fraud on the court

You’re challenging the jurisdiction

You’re not getting due process

That shuts everything down that that court is doing to begin with.

And you’re going after the judge and the lawyer on the other side for collusion, conspiracy, and violating your unalienable rights and again fraud on the court and you can talk about all of the damages You got tens of thousands of dollars of damages here.

You also need to give a Writ of Error in the other court

Do a Writ of Error and overturn the judge’s decision

We have examples on our website.

Or you can go to 1215.org and go to that website for examples and ideas

In a federal court you have to have a cause of action

The only thing that we can do is assist you

I spoke to you last time about what education we offer here

Get the education so that we can give you advice and thoughts and ideas

You need to file the proper paperwork

You need to learn about filing in the court a Writ of Error

You got to give that judge a Writ of Error and you’ve got to overturn his decision

You have to put your case together in a federal court properly

Is there a core group of people that I can work with to do this and not be on my own?

You can get thoughts and ideas from people in your neighborhood that are connected with NLA, go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org click on to your state find people in the counties that you can meet up with or give a call to and get involved and ask for support

They got the same problems that you have They’re trying to learn also

We’re trying to educate everyone

People got to educate themselves

We give people the tools

We don’t have time to work with others on a case by case level

We don’t have the ability to do that

We don’t have enough people educated

We’re all volunteers

At some point there will be paid positions

There will be grand jury administrators four people in every county

There will be a job opening for four people to take control of the grand jury and the trial jury orientation and calling and collecting and bringing together and preparing these juries within your county

You will have more resources at that point

Until we can get to that point we’re all volunteers

Get in touch with our Courtroom Observers group and tie in with them and they’ll try to find people in your neighborhood to stand up with you

If you make a strong enough paper position there will be no argument or debate in the court because they will not be able to answer those questions

If they can’t answer your questions then they agree with you and if they agree with you then you can move the court for a summary judgment

Lay out the crime and bring in the common law

You’re going to beat them with jurisdiction

Your argument is constitutional violations of particularly lack of jurisdiction not giving you due process unable to give you due process and whatever other damages these people have done as far as constitutional damages

That’s what you’re going to win your battle on which will shut the other court case down

Lay out all of the damages that have taken place over the last eight years

Get your due out of this federal court

(2:26:37)

Caller 3: California Fred

Discussed what the previous caller should do

Fred has suggestions for the poor sheriff that doesn’t have much money

Fred suggests that what that sheriff should do is to start a sheriff posse and take all the teachers in the schools in his county and invite them all to his seminar and instruct them on the use of handguns. Make them take an oath and make them part of his posse

That way he got armed teachers in every school

Get ahold of the union stewards of all the unions that represent anything to do with that county

Get the stewards and give them the same training and make them members of the sheriff’s posse.

Automatically that guy has an entire representation so he doesn’t have to hand his power over

He’s got the leaders

That takes time

He should do it and it’s going to take time

Meanwhile John would love to help this guy

The Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury could pick up his battle and fight it for him.

We could do that and John believes that we could win for him

But it takes money

If all of our members would give $5/month we could do so many things

We are encouraging that type of action with the Committees of Safety

Every sheriff should be involved with the Committees of Safety

The ideas that Fred just said should be done Every sheriff should do that

We could solve the problem in ninety days by going to federal court

It cost money to file in the federal court

It cost money to write the papers

We’d have to do some travelling because we would have to go out and represent the sheriff in his case.

We’d win

(2:35:00)

Caller 4: Saul’s wife from Pennsylvania Philadelphia County Doctor Ora

The posse comes to her door on the 18th They’re there to evict her and her family

She has been residing in her domicile for over 20 years

She has her satisfied piece her deed clearly showing them that her home has been paid for. She has two suitcases full of information.

They’re saying that someone took a mortgage out on her home in 2006

She went into heart failure and expected five years to live

It pops out of no where in 2010

She is focused on time to get better

No acquiescence She is responding

They’re not doing their part

She gives them a Writ of Discovery

She’s not getting anything back

She got out of a major operation four or five weeks ago

Come home

This guy is at my door

He’s putting up Sheriff Sale on her door again

She said to get off of her property

She couldn’t even talk to him

She takes the stuff down

Her husband said Whatever you did last time Do it again

We filed everything that we needed to

Last July our home our domicile two doors from me they started excavation and they are nearly finished and it will be finished by June They have a picture of what it will look like for a half a million dollars It’s already sold

The house right connected to my home It’s a three story home Victorian 7 bedroom

I’ve lived here 20 years Nobody ever lived in that domicile

Now they start construction on that 4 days after did the one up the street

Right next to me For a quarter of a million dollars

We have over $400,000 in equity

Things are falling through the crack and nobody is listening

She has all this paperwork

Nobody is responding

She will be 57 at the end of the month

They surrounded her house with guns

“You need to be here as a constitutional officer”

“The sheriff’s job is to keep the peace in the land for the natural people”

‘You’re here on what charge?”

“Do not infer what you have been told that happened?”

He asked her what gives her the right

“These are my God-given rights These are my unalienable rights”

She told them to stand down

She asked to see the court order Reluctantly they gave it to her She asked “Where’s the seal?” “Do you know what a raised seal is?” “The seal gives it authenticity”

“Where’s the judge’s name?”

“You come here with these fraudulent papers You’re trying to scare me and my husband and child out of our home We show you all our information Our Satisfaction piece”

It got really ugly

This is a problem across our nation

This is exactly what we are battling

She got them to stand down

They said they would give her until the 26th

She has her paperwork prepared

Can she use the information at the NLA website?

Anything at the NLA website can be used

This is a place to learn

John encourages people to cut and paste pieces of a case and work it around their own case.

Use footnotes and use memorandums

Most of the memorandums will need minimum doctoring to make sure it focuses on your case

These memorandums make your points of law

You’re building your case

Use all of NLA’s stuff

You can’t use our habeas corpuses from the perspective of the Grand Jury

These are written from the perspective of the Grand Jury

(2:49:35)

Caller 5: Henry Williams

Had a little incident last Saturday

A run-in with the police

Doesn’t have tags on his car Doesn’t have a license

He willingly returned his license in Kansas

They stopped him and were freaking out about him not having tags

He showed them his insurance and told them about the rulings

They ignored him somewhat

They tried to get him to answer all kinds of questions

He wouldn’t answer anything

He just kept quoting the information that he had

Once you make these decisions, it’s an uphill battle

People who do these kinds of things have gone to jail and they’re willing to do that.

John doesn’t have the time to spend in jail

John has too many other things that he’s got to do

He plays along with them for right now

John has his license in case he wants to drive something commercially, he can drive something commercially.

As far as the tags on the car to give them $40/year for tags it keeps John out of jail

It allows him to do other things

We have to fight for all our rights but you’re taking on a battle that may put you in jail from time to time

You can try to sue You could win in a federal court

Sue these people for violating your rights and your time in jail

You have to be knowledgeable on how to do that

You can learn that from NLA

Take our courses We have free courses

Take the jurisdictionary course

That course costs $250 for that course but it’s well worth it

We didn’t make that course A lawyer put it together

He gives you all the processes on how to write a paper and how to file and how to put together course of action

Take that course We give a lot of free courses We have an advanced education

Educate yourself to be able to represent yourself and fight and defend yourself

It’s a big battle and you are going to spend some time in jail

They decided to detain him It didn’t get heated

A question to ask while they are detaining you would be: “How long can you hold me before you get the indictment?” You’re not going to get indicted over this

That may make them think a little bit

Without an indictment you guys can’t do anything

“How many hours can you hold me lawfully?” “Are you pursuing indictment yet?”

That’s the narrative that you want to create and get going if possible.

Caller rescinded the signatures on the citations

They don’t care

If they don’t have a statute to deal with then they make one up

I wouldn’t argue the case in their court because you can’t win in their court

Go for special appearance to test that and ask them to throw it out because where’s your indictment

I wouldn’t say too much and I would ask them to dismiss it because it’s unconstitutional, it violates your unalienable rights

Come back another day for trial and that’s when you file in the federal court and you sue them all for first of all they don’t have jurisdiction they’re violating due process they’re trying to put you in jail Make a federal case out of it

Deal with jurisdiction and due process

Walk out of that court a winner

If you ever get pulled into that court again when the judge sees your name they will throw it out real quick

It’s a big battle

It’s an uphill battle

John has his tickets He puts them into a drawer He sends one paper into these people

Half the time he never hears from them again

The other half he pleads guilty under duress and one day when he has time he will sue them all

John is very co-operative on the road and most cops just let him go

He’s gone 70 or 80 mile per hour in the middle of the night on the road and it’s only about 50 or 45 mph and let go from it

John is very polite and co-operative

He does not challenge their authority

You don’t want to challenge a cop’s authority

If you want to challenge anyone’s authority you do it in the courtroom

You make your case You make it clear It’s a constitutional position You don’t have the power or authority to fine or incarcerate

I know you do it You’re defrauding all those people You don’t have that right to do that to me

I move the court to dismiss

Generally, usually they won’t

You need to get your federal case started before it’s time to go back

Or you just pay the fine

You got to choose your battles

John just doesn’t have time to go to jail He has too many things to do