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(3:43)

We’re going to talk some more tonight about our sheriffs project.

The website is up and going and we did send an e-mail out today.

PowerOfTheCountySheriff.org

It’s free to sign up

We ask during the sign up process if you can afford to give a donation for this project

We ask for $5 a month or more or a one time gift

We have over 6,000 members

We are looking to collect about $60,000

There will be some sheriffs that will be asking for more

Upon request we will fill orders for those who want more

That will be an additional cost as time goes on

We would also like to send these sheriff handbooks out to other individuals that are elected

We may even create another handbook

A handbook for political servants

It will be pretty much the same as the sheriffs handbook but redirected to anybody that is holding elected office.

The sheriff handbook on it’s own is good for anybody

It was designed very specifically for the sheriff.

We are close to the final draft

We have some other ideas from another individual or two that we may incorporate or make some corrections

We have a couple more weeks to tie all that together before we get final print

John got an estimate and then he got a second estimate

At this point it’s close to 50 cents per book

We are at 128 pages

Every 8 to 16 pages that we shave off of there then we would save a little money

It’s not worth it considering the amount that we would save verses the importunacy of the message

We will probably end up with 128 pages

The estimate is approximately $60,000 for the entire project

If everyone of our members gave $10 or let’s say 6,000 members joined , if that did happen, right there that’s $60,000

If everybody gives $5/month or more then in two months we would have the money

We’re going to go out to liberty groups

We have a few other ideas on how we might be able to earn money for this project

The project is going to cost us $60,000 to get 80,000 sheriff handbooks printed up, delivered, and then repackaged, 20 in a package, and then sent to 3,000 plus sheriffs.

We’re also offering anybody who is donating $10/month or more, then we’ll send them a free handbook. Anybody who sends a one time donation of $50 or more then we’ll send them a free handbook. In order to get that handbook, and we wrote this information down at the website , you’ll have to send us your physical mailing address of where we would mail this handbook. If anybody donates $10/month or more or $50 and they don’t send us their address then we won’t know where to send the handbook. Then they won’t get a handbook.

Hopefully that will encourage people to do this.

At some point in time we might even sell these handbooks.

We may sell them for five bucks a piece.

That’s something we will consider doing after we get all of the sheriffs their handbooks and we will have some left over at that point

There will be additional handbooks going out to the sheriffs who need them

We’d like to get a copy to President Trump and Jeff Sessions and numerous other people.

The Welcoming Committee is meeting tomorrow

The Welcoming Committee is working with leadership and anybody else that wants to participate to start a phone call project for the sheriff project

We will want to call people up

John has not had time to set up the dialog

We’ll create a short dialog It shouldn’t take long Less than a minute

We’re going to make a very short dialog in which we will call all of our members and ask them to visit the site and strongly consider joining up and giving a monthly donation if they can

or join up and if they can give us a one time donation

We need to try to get this as soon as possible so that we can do the whole project in one shot.

If we can’t collect this money quick enough and it starts to drag on and we’re months into the game and we don’t have enough money to purchase all of these then we will end up purchasing either a half or a quarter of the amount for a much more expensive rate

Then this project in the long run may cost us more than $60,000. Maybe $70,000 or maybe more. It definitely will cost substantially more.

At least then we could start the project and start getting these things out to sheriffs at some point. We don’t want to sit on money waiting six months or more waiting to hit that goal.

We want to do something as soon as possible.

Hopefully we will be able to reach out through our membership alone and collect enough money

Also we will be training people to talk with others in the dialog we would have when we make these calls

Not only ask them to go to the website and read the front page and read the sheriff booklet and consider a donation but also get the message out to your liberty groups

Get your liberty groups out there Get them to read the website particularly the first page

And also read the sheriff handbook and see how powerful it is.

Hopefully we will get a lot of the people from the liberty groups that haven’t heard of us

and they will come out and work with this project

The website is PowerOfTheCountySheriff.org

We got to get moving We will create that dialog We will train everyone in that dialog in what needs to be said We are going to ask people to give a commitment

We need to get the message out so that we can educate our sheriffs

Donald Trump is doing some amazing things

He gave a speech in Utah

Go to PowerOfTheCountySheriff.org and highlight “News”

You will see the very first video Breaking “ President Trump Gives Historic Speech”

This is probably one of the most important speeches that Trump has given to the people.

He goes after, without mentioning names , he goes after the BLM, he’s going after the FBI, and there’s no doubt that that’s why all these people were released out there because he’s going to go after the judicial process after the judges particularly that judge that judge belongs in jail. He talked about how the federal government has robbed the states by taking control of this land and it belongs to the states

He signed a proclamation concerning this land.

It belongs in the hands of the states It belongs in the hands of the people who have these agreements and have taken care of the land either cattling or mining or logging

all of this is for America and not for the federal government.

If you haven’t heard this speech you got to go and hear it it’s about twenty minutes long

It’s one of the most important speeches at this time because of what it’s doing

On our “News” page we actually have three newses we have just regular news, then we have “News, Constitution, in Jeopardy” , and then we have “News for Sheriffs and the Constitution”. You might want to go and watch some of those videos.

Especially the “Constitution in Jeopardy” page and of course the “News” which is what is basically going on.

There are six videos here And they’re all great videos

They are very informative with lots of information.

Trump can only do so much

And he can win back a lot He certainly is doing so

We’ve heard but we haven’t seen evidence of this yet 5,000 plus sealed indictments

And that’s worldwide.

We’re going after people

A lot of these indictments are within our government people within our government.

5,000 that’s a lot of indictments

If that’s true we want to be careful with that message

Trump could do some changes and he could do a lot of stuff and turn things around if we don’t complete the job by taking control of our judiciary not bringing them back to courts of justice without the people because it will only slip back right to where it started where we just came from. The people need to get control and keep their liberty.

We’re making ourselves invalids

We’re making ourselves as children and having to go and have someone represent us.

People should learn this in school

At some point in time that will be one of our projects is to make sure that we receive a good education in Civics and on the Constitution and how the court system works

This does not have to be complex

We can’t expect one man to save America

The job is far from over

John is hearing things about pedophile rings worldwide going down.

John heard about a speech that the President gave and he grabbed a bottle of water it was Fuji water and they made a big deal that he took a drink in the middle of the speech and then he held both hands on the bottle

Fuji is one of the operators that have been in the Clinton Foundation that is deep into child pedophilia

He’s going after these groups He seems to be taking them down.

He seems to have a really good plan he knows what’s going on he understands

On our “News” pages if you click on “Constitution in Jeopardy” the very first video that you are going to see there is why LaVoy Finicum was assassinated.

John may move the speech from the President above this video and then have this video second.

Unless people take control of the political process and the judiciary process then we will lose it again.

(25:41)

QUESTIONS  
  
Question 1: John said that NLA is making a new Jurists Handbook. Does NLA have any old Jurists Handbooks ? If so, how do I order?

John has some, not many. A couple hundred at most. John has a sheriff that requested a bunch and John is going to send some to him. They are not for sale at this point.

John is going to rewrite that book.

John will take stuff from the sheriffs handbook and combine it into the Jurists Handbook.

John would like to make a third handbook for all elected and appointed officials.

What they really need to know in order to run for office.

They need to take their oath seriously.

We might add a little more to the sheriff handbook but not much.

And maybe a correction or two here and there.

At this point there is nothing available

Money is the problem

If people were giving on a regular basis then we’d be able to buy a lot more handbooks and get them published and start selling them and that would make more money.

We were selling a box of 100 Jurists Handbooks for $68 and that included delivery.

I’d like to get back to that and sell them in quantities.

And sell the Sheriff Handbooks in quantities.

Once we take control we will need Jurist Handbooks in the hands of all the jurists.

We need to get these books in their hands something to walk away with keep in their pocket and refer to.

We want to get those books up and going and we want to keep the price down.

When the administrators start ordering them for their county, and again you will get a budget, there will be a budget for handbooks, and there will be a budget for website and education and collectively we could make this very cheap

National Liberty Alliance will remain as a facilitator for education and information

We’re writing another handbook for the administrator.

The Administrators Handbook. How things work.

The administrator will be able to refer to the handbook to get things going in their county.

We’ll do better than the system that is in there now because at least we will bring justice.

We want to get the books out

We want to get them out cheaply.

We need to start working on an educational program that needs to be put into the schools for our children.

Right now our big focus is the sheriff project.

This is one of the most important things

Continuing what we are doing in the courts we’re not going to stop that

We want to get this sheriff project off the ground.

Let’s get our volunteers and start phone calling.

John will try to make a dialog for the phone. What we will cover It will be very simple

Right now the Jurists Handbooks are not available.

Does the defendant or his attorney have to have the judge’s permission to distribute the Jurists Handbooks to a sitting jury?

If the judge refuses can they be distributed to the jury pool during jury selection?

Trying to get one of these booklets into the court filled with lawyers is like bringing a cross into a vampire’s parlor. They will do everything to get rid of you.

We’re not going to have any success going that route.

I don’t want to see anybody get into trouble or get arrested.

But once We the People take control of it they’re going to get it right when they come in for orientation. We’ll give them a copy of that book free of charge.

Each jurist needs to have a handbook.

We need to give an orientation Most of that we have already planned out.

We will list in the administrators handbook what we propose as an orientation program and different videos that we will make available for the juries to watch

National Liberty Alliance has no real control other than the advisory role to the people.

(37:30)

Question 2 Can government employees take the Fifth since they have taken an oath to obey, protect , and defend the Constitution?  However, compensated government employees cannot refuse to report to the people when required.  In other words, they must resign if they take the Fifth.

As far as an employee is concerned, if they’re not elected or appointed, but they’re just an employee, if they have a responsible position of authority and they have to answer to people concerning their authority you would think that if someone refused to answer concerning their authority at that level then they would be fired. It is almost impossible to fire a government employee. We got to change that around. People need to be fired. When we get to the real levels where the real things are really important like the elected individuals and the appointed individuals people going before Congress they can’t call for the right to remain silent.

They got certain powers and authority that was vested in them in that position that they have taken and it is their job and their duty to report to those who call for them to give an accounting. So if Congress calls you to give an accounting of your job, your work, what you’re doing and report and give all the information to them then you need to do that. And if you don’t do that, then these people would be in bad behavior

The United States Supreme Court has ruled that anyone that has a duty to speak and remains silent is guilty of fraud.

Right there, we get rid of them for fraud.

They shouldn’t have their jobs no longer.

They should be removed from that position immediately.

And fired. They should no longer be employed by the government.

The next person under them would need to come up gather together the records review all things and come and give a report. They can’t not give the report.

Although they get away with it They should know better

Silence when you have a duty to speak is fraud.

Some employees take an oath to the Constitution.

If there’s an oath there then they got a duty.

All employees for the government don’t take oaths.

If they took an oath then they have a duty to speak they can’t plead the fifth when it comes to their work that they have been given certain authority to exercise that authority and produce whatever records are required of them to produce They can’t hold back , they can’t reject, they can’t deny they can’t do any of that.

They should be immediately removed.

Fired Gone and even considered further action beyond that.

Definitely they should lose their jobs

The next person underneath them needs to collect themselves go through all of the things that they don’t know about and come up and answer on behalf

That’s all the questions

(43:25)

Robert has a report on Nevada

There’s no court this week.

However last week they decided to release the four defendants that were on trial.

They did

However Cliven Bundy refused to leave his cell until all other defendants were released.

Today, four more were released and so they gave in to him.

Robert’s understanding is that this trial will go on

What happened for all these people to be released the judge changing her mind and releasing them was that Ryan Bundy and Cliven’s attorney Whipple what they did was proved to the judge beyond any shadow of doubt that the government’s case was based on all lies and deceit They say they’re going to have some meetings and stuff

Maybe this trial is over

The President may be involved at this point

He went all the way out to Utah to give a speech concerning the problems with the BLM and the FBI and the right of the people with the property they have contracts on

If anybody hasn’t heard that speech You got to listen to that speech.

Also in his speech in Utah he rescinded what Obama did as far as the monuments

There were 1.5 million acres in the monument and he reduced that back down to 220 thousand   
He rescinded a bunch

He’s also rescinding some of what Bill Clinton designated

Obama was designating monuments as a way of turning over control to the UN

That might make his decision on rescinding more even more likely.

What’s happening in this trial now will have an effect on the trials that were in Portland

because a lot of what happened in the trials at Portland were based on different things that started at the Bundy Ranch standoff. More BLM people are going to be in trouble. There’s going to be some more arrests and indictments. Just based on what happened here in Oregon and Nevada.

This trial is probably over.

They’re just trying to figure out how to do it.

People need to be arrested here.

This judge is in deep trouble. Hopefully justice will be met

It looks like Judge Navarro has realized that she’s been on the wrong side of things

She’s been visibly upset over finding out how many lies and hidden things and deceit was being done by the prosecutors

Maybe she was more upset by the fact that it was being exposed

There is no doubt that the way that judge has been running this whole thing she’s been biased from the beginning and now appears to be innocent It could be a sham

When it came to releasing more of the defendants the prosecutor said they wouldn’t object.

They threw the towel in. They realized that they were beat.

The judge has something to answer for but maybe not as much as collusion as much as just ignorance.

They were definitely hiding things from her too And she found that out

Maybe she’s having an awakening

She could learn a lesson

When Trump entered office he set up a commission of investigators to investigate all the Obama holdovers and stuff running different agencies And he had them working with trained spies. and they went in deep cover and they learned probably yesterday maybe it was actually before when they learned about it they found that three different departments

the Department of Transportation, the Department of Agriculture, and another one, three key departments were being run by Obama Deep State agents and being paid by Obama and they’re members of his new security firm.

In effect he was controlling what was happening in government through transportation, agriculture, controlling the food , and transportation

They use a lot of private contractors to do a lot of bad stuff

That’s something he has to fix

There’s a movement to get rid of contractors

That’s how Clinton and Obama make their money

They run those contractors

They hide the money but basically they’re making money off of it.

It’s probably how they control the Military Industrial Complex

Why wouldn’t you want to go to war when you’re going to sell all the services to the armed forces ? Right down to the laundry

If they got caught bringing their laundry to the local people then they got court marshaled

(55:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

We’ve been talking about the Declaration of ‘76

We’re in paragraph 15 of our Declaration of ‘76

Common law legislation is by indirect consent of the governed through their representatives and by direct consent of the (inaudible)

Our common law demands that legislation be constitutional

This is within common law principles

The 16th paragraph of our Declaration of ’76 says this. The Declaration of ’76 is a petition, of course.

It says:

“For Quartering large bodies of armed troops among us:”

You don’t think that they’re quartering large bodies of troops among us?

Back then those large bodies of armed troops were British regulars.

Those red coats They call them Lobster Backs in Boston

And they were everywhere

They were obliged to feed them

Why? Why did we do that?

They did it back then for the same reason that they put government employees federal employees in every little village and hamlet in America

Called Post Offices

There’s a politically correct control reason for that

Post offices were put in little places in America in order to control Americans in order that the federal government knew who was communicating with who and why

Post masters have been doing that since the war between the northern and southern tiers of the United States.

That’s why the post office became prevalent and they became by monopoly government controlled before that they were contracted privately

And there were a lot of private contractors that carried letters back then until the federal government said “We want a monopoly on letter carrying so we can keep track of who’s talking to who”

It says here “quartering large bodies of armed troops among us”

If they don’t put in troops then they’ll put in a large number of federal employees

During the Roosevelt administration He was a socialist of sorts He was interested in powerful government from the top down

He established a welfare system that had not been known in our country before

And in establishing that welfare system what he did was and he wanted to control the whole country from Washington DC so he put in every county in the United States and yet today there is one of these offices in every county a federal office called the ASCS office

And through the ASCS office and by the way that’s the United States Department of Agriculture and through those offices was administered the food stamp program.

Food stamps are not used anymore Now they have electronic cards instead of the physical stamps

The ASCS office was another attempt beyond the post offices to put a federal presence in every county in the United States

Quartering large troops is a federal presence a government presence

The eyes of every soldier are the eyes and ears of the federal government

If you’re quartering troops in your home just like the postman he comes by your house he’s a federal employee he knows everything about you the postman knows it all.

They had troops everywhere they were the eyes and ears of the government.

They have a monopoly on letter carrying which is unconstitutional

It comes down to control

If you have large bodies of troops in your home you will have the eyes and ears of the government in your home

When a soldier enters your home what does he bring with him?

A gun He brings with him the martial law

The military law

Without privacy in the home government control of people is total

Whereever soldiers occupy martial law governs that’s why they were upset that they were quartering soldiers in the home

The federal government has inserted it’s employees everywhere

Just because they don’t call them soldiers doesn’t mean that the principle doesn’t apply

Amendment 3 forbids that any soldier be   quartered in any house without the consent of the owner

The Declaration of ’76 complains of quartering large bodies of troops among us

Amendment 3 says “No soldier shall, in time of peace, be quartered in any house without the consent of the owner”

Brent’s points of view may or may not agree with those of National Liberty Alliance They are Brent’s points of view

1:21:16

Caller 1: Eric

John was talking about how people will yield to him and he will yield to others

Eric has written a handful of blogs They’re up at NLA

He thinks he’s the only blogger

He started a series called “Myth of the Thin Blue Line”

Cops are the thin blue line between chaos and civility

Not only do they not promote civility they actually promote chaos because

people forget that they have a duty they have a responsibility and this is why liberty does scare people

But when the cops are around people are like “I don’t have to do anything because that’s what the cops are there for”

Not only do the cops not promote civility they promote chaos because people begin to degenerate

A few weeks ago I said that based on the studies that I have done Washington could have crushed the Red Coats several times but Congress was too afraid to give him a standing army.

A lot of those people had studied history so they had a good reason for not giving him a standing army because a standing army is a frightening thing.

Since then I’ve noticed a few things that have come up.

There’s a lot of talk about Erik Prince This guy that started Blackwater

I understand that he’s promoted the idea that mercenaries were part of American history.

I can’t find any place and it seems un-American and antichristian that mercenaries I know that we fought mercenaries we fought the Hessians when the Red Coats employed them they were mercenaries and I guess you could call certain Indians that were against us they too were mercenaries Brent talks about how some of our men had to go and reclaim land back land such as Ohio Valley what would be Ohio and Michigan but I’m pretty sure that that was just militia men I guess it could have been the army

and then further I saw another story from that fellow that we discuss here some time

we discuss him for other things and that’s the Health Ranger Mike Adams

But he has a video about this and he said some of his friends in the private military of the contractor world they said that they’ve been told this is very recently to be on guard to be on alert because they might be called into service and they were saying it might be domestic and the idea that he was promoting was that even if these men were employed by the military they were in service a year ago as long as they were no longer in service now they could become a part of the posse comitatus and that to me seems inappropriate and I don’t think that our Founding Fathers would have allowed for that kind of a thing

They could be part of the militia

Do we have mercenaries in our history? I don’t think we do

Is it possible for men who serve in the military? I guess if you got cut from the Revolutionary War you went home it ended I guess you could go ahead and assume your position back in the militia but this seems like a loophole to allow these contractors to come into our territory and perhaps establish martial law

When Brent exited the military service Brent was offered $1400 a month to go to Africa and do what I had learned how to do

$1400 a month back then over 40 years ago was a lot of money

When you’re a young fellow I’m telling this story to say that I understand the temptation of such things because you’ve been a fellow that has been trained to do certain things and you think that you’re good at it and that’s what military life does it pumps you up to think that you’re good and you’re indestructible. I didn’t do that but I’ve done a lot of looking into this. I want to start by reading the testimony from the only memoir of a young revolutionary soldier from the Continental army, J P Martin

He joined at 15 and got out at age 23 when the war was over

He saw a lot of scrimmages and a lot of battles

This is what he wrote after a battle He went back through with his comrades

He remembers the fight and describes what happened in that fight in gruesome detail

I’m going to read his draft from his memoirs

He said this:

*“I, with some of my comrades who were in the battle of the White Plains in the year ‘76, one day took a ramble on the ground where we were then engaged with the British and took a survey of the place. We saw a number of the graves of those who had fell in that battle; some of the bodies had been so slightly buried that the dogs or hogs, or both, had dug them out of the ground. Their skulls and other bones, and hair were scattered about the place. Here were Hessian skulls as thick as a bombshell; —poor fellows! They were left unburied in a foreign land; —they had, perhaps, as near and dear friends to lament their sad destiny as the Americans who laid buried near them.*

*But they should have kept at home, we should then never have gone after them to kill them in their own country. But, the reader will say, they were forced to come and be killed here;*forced by their rulers who have absolute power of life and death over their subjects. *Well then reader, bless a kind Providence that has made such a distinction between***your***condition and***theirs***.*And be careful too that you do not allow yourself to be brought to such an abject servile condition”

What he’s saying is the abject and servile condition of which J P Martin speaks is that of those subject to rulers who conscript and sell their subjects lives and military services to a foreign power of their officers as we now do in our country under foreign officers of the United Nations of some other country doing the bidding of evil perverts on the other side of the world.

That’s what’s happening to our military now as we speak

And the Hessians fighting Americans in that day were enslaved to King George III

Because King George III was a German prince but also King of England

He had power there he had power here.

He took the men the mercenary men and he rented them to himself as King of England to send to America

He rented them to himself

In other words he took British money and paid himself to send those men that’s what we call corporate sham

That’s what the IRS accuses people of today that the government of America does all day long.

He was a German prince doing that

The lives of Hessians which were feared for all by their ruthless prowess in battle and they were ruthless they had become the young men the Hessians identified themselves as warriors they wanted to go fight their respect as men demanded it that they be mercenaries that’s perversion to do that.

The same thing happened in Switzerland And during the days of the Reformation in Switzerland the leader of the reformation a man by the name of Huldrych Zwingli he was a German speaking Swiss man and he said, “How can I get people woke up?”

Because in that day the only money in Switzerland was money that came from Rome

The Pope paid young Swiss men to come and serve in his mercenary army.

They killed people and were killed.

That was the only way to get money in those days

They’d come back without arms or without legs or crazy lunatics having fought in battles for other men for a foreign dictator called the Pope of Rome and he said , “This has got to stop The flower of youth is being destroyed in devotion to this priest down in Rome We don’t even know the man” Well he finally got their attention and the Reformation did occur in Switzerland That is tyranny Mercenary is contrary to our common law and it’s contrary to freedom.

And to be caught up in it to be the brunt of it the victim of it or be caught up in doing it is evil.

As a German prince King George III demanded a large lease payment from the Hessians

And as King of Britain he borrowed that payment from England’s Central Bank and laid the interest payments on the backs of the British people

Received that lease payment for his Hessian soldiers and invested it in Germany’s Central Bank which owed England’s Central Bank thus using enslaved Hessians he enslaved the British to the bankers through debt and then tried to use the Hessians to enslave the colonists to the same cabal of theft using raw force That’s called shenanigan

Our common law abhors all such shenanigans

Mercenary is not part of Christianity either It’s not part of common law It’s not part of American culture.

Brent has a section on mercenaries in his book, “Our Constitution and Declaration of ‘76”

You can find Brent’s books at Amazon.com

You can also find it at commonlawyer.com

It’s called “A Common Lawyer Comments Our Constitution and Declaration of ‘76”

(1:35:53)

Caller 2: It’s a 914 number

She received an eviction from her mother’s landlord even though she didn’t rent an apartment because she has no income

The illegal eviction doesn’t have a docket number

It tells her that she has to answer within three days before the court date

If you are served in person then you have 30 days to answer an eviction

If you are served not in person then you have 60 days to answer the eviction

The eviction lists her as the plaintiff

Lists her mother and herself as the plaintiff

It also tells her that they are going to go ahead with the eviction even though her mother and she declared bankruptcy

According to federal law if you declare bankruptcy that should stop the eviction

The time of the court for the eviction is 4:00 but they close at 3:00 so she and her mother think that they don’t want anybody to be around because it is an illegal eviction

The people involved in this are involved in racketeering and are very dangerous people.

She and her mother reported them for using her mother’s name and her name for government agencies in getting a Section 8, food stamps, money for furniture, money for clothing, and Medicaid , and other things from the government and caller and her mother never authorized that. They never saw any of that. They sell the benefits to illegal aliens

The taxpayers end up paying for free care for illegal aliens

And also free housing, electricity, food stamps, and everything else.

She and her mother were forced to report these people.

Then they started harassing us and contacting all our landlords and tell them to illegally evict us.

They have connections with the local court

She and her mother have moved six times in one year

Is there something that can be done for this false eviction?

It doesn’t have a docket number

The eviction lists the caller and her mother as the plaintiffs

They don’t have any evidence that she rented the apartment because she doesn’t have an income

If you’re living there then they can evict you whether you’re paying or not paying

They have to give you thirty days The four days after the 30 days is up then they give a four day warning and then they go to the sheriff

Caller said that she filed for bankruptcy and that should stop the eviction

These guys that are racketeering in the courts they have everybody under their thumb

The judges and everything so what are you going to do?

If you’re in there they can evict you

If they want you out they have to go through a 30 day eviction procedure

Whether you paid rent or didn’t pay rent

The law says I have to give you 30 days then there’s the four days and then the three days and then the sheriff comes in and kicks you out

If you’re in bankruptcy technically that should stop it

If you don’t have a lease then they can evict you for any reason

If rights are being violated then you can move it into federal but you have to have cause to do that.

Caller’s mother is the renter Caller is living with her mother Caller is not on the lease.

There is no lease it was a verbal agreement

The agreement was with the mother

The bankruptcy judge is aware of this

Caller’s mother is disabled

The court scheduled the hearing for 4:00 but court closes at 3:00

Caller wrote a letter explaining that her mother was disabled and to reschedule for a better time.

He hasn’t answered her letter

You filed for bankruptcy and you’re living in the house with your mother and your mother has an agreement with the landlord there is a problem in figuring out how the law would go on this.

Caller’s mother filed for bankruptcy too They both filed

If you need to go to court you need to object to the process immediately you need to inform the judge that I am putting you on notice now that I am moving this into federal court for cause there has got to be some identification for that case there’s a number somewhere go down to the clerk get a number you move it into federal court for cause and the cause that you are moving it in for is the bankruptcy law you can also use your letter for that bring in the fact that you’re not getting due process

You may be able to work something out with the bankruptcy judge to stop the other judge

They are stealing her mother’s social security checks

The sheriff is involved in the racketeering

He sent police officers to try to provoke a heart attack in caller’s mother

Your first order of business is to get your affidavit into the hands of the judge in bankruptcy court.

See if you could move it there for cause

Talk to the bankruptcy judge and bring a complete affidavit with you

(2:03:00)

Caller 3: California Fred

Obama eight years ago made some kind of a treaty with the United Nations

where the state department and immigration was going to be handled by the UN

Trump pulled us out of that today

How can the President of the United States make a treaty without Congress?

The Sanctuary City Laws Right now we have a problem with sanctuary cities not obeying federal law. It means that any city or state can refuse to pay federal income tax can kick the military out of their state they can tell the federal government to go fly a kite.

And now the federal government is taking these laws and they’re trying to put through the budget to where anyone who violates a federal law especially immigration they can take away the funding but also they’re looking at imprisoning politicians and political leaders like the sheriff for not following federal law.

Trump is going to release the names of the 256 people who used federal money to hide and cover up their whatever they did to seal the cases.

Congress was doing things and they were using federal dollars to pay the people off

The only reason he would not release that is if there’s an investigation going on right now and he may not want to tip his hand.

Brent responded to Fred:

There is a difference between authority and power

Authority is the right the jurisdiction to do a thing the right to act respecting a given matter

Power is just whether you have the raw force to pull it off

There is a difference between authority and power

It’s a big difference

You cannot impart what you do not possess and no man possesses the authority to do wrong

Brent went to a tea party meeting in 2010

The lady that put the thing on said “Bring your books You can sell your books We’ll set you a table up My daughter is a real handsome creature and she will sell a lot of your books”

The daughter was helping sell books And they had a band up front

The moderator got up and said “Before we start Brent Winters is going to say a few words”

Brent was not prepared to say any words

Brent ran up to the platform He didn’t know what to say

Brent was hoping that by the time he got up there that he would think of something to say

Just that day the Congress of the United States voted not to audit the fed

Ron Paul was pushing that back then

Brent brought that point up then he said this:

“If you see government doing something that you don’t think is right just ask yourself this question: ‘ Could I, or my friends together, could we do what government is doing?’ And if your answer is ‘No’ then the government probably doesn’t have the right to do it either. Why? Because government has no authority that the people of the United States has not given them. And if we don’t have the authority to do something like that then chances are that they don’t have the authority to do that either”

You cannot impart what you do not possess

If you don’t have authority then you can’t give it to somebody else.

(2:34:37)

Caller 4 Crystal

They came back at Crystal again

She put all her paperwork in

It was supposed to be for February 18, 2018

They turned around and the trustees they wanted to move it up So they wanted everything done by the thirtieth so that they can discharge and take her house

Crystal objected Crystal has to go to court tomorrow

Crystal just happened to call and ask when was her next hearing and they said “Tomorrow”

She got all her paperwork in They have to answer all her questions tomorrow

She is going to ask for proof of claim and the questions that she got from National Liberty Alliance Crystal put everything together

Every time you put papers in they have to answer it So they want to do something that you don’t know about

You’re making a record in the court and that’s what they don’t want

Somebody over there is trying to make all their money now and get out while they can.

They’re obligated to answer you back

Crystal is going to amend this with her deed

Crystal files everything

Crystal will look into the Homestead Act

The clerk should have it there You just fill in the blanks

It is designed so that they can’t take your house for debts

They can’t make you sell your house to pay a debt

They cleared all her debt from Chapter 7

Now they got some other attorneys Crystal put all their names in it already

Because the attorneys are the debt collectors

She wants to see the contract in blue ink “When did I take out a contract with you?”

Keep objecting They have no proof

The stenographer has to record everything that is going on

This is the federal court that Crystal is going to tomorrow

Crystal will ask for this case to be dismissed or discharged.

(2:49:14)

Caller 5: Felicia

Can she use the File on Demand to reopen her illegal foreclosure case?

Can she use the same File on Demand to file her papers from the Grand Jury to the copy on the fake deed that Fanny Mae and this guy filed with nobody’s name on it

You can always use a file on demand because that just tells them that they can’t take your papers out

Unless you get into federal court they’re all in collusion

They stole her house

The real estate guy’s name is on the deed

At the bottom of the deed somebody whited out the name

Caller has a copy of the so-called new deed

She has her original deed

She has all the police officers badge numbers

She actually video taped and one actually called her and told her to open the door

She rode by and she video taped them all

They’re going to do whatever they want to do until they get a summons into the court

File that case in the federal court for cause you file against every one of those officers

The state court is not going to give you remedy

It has to come out of the federal court because it’s a constitutional issue under color of law

You need to get one of those U S Codes and include it in the case there’s plenty of them and they did plenty of violations Each one of them will get a summons to court

That real estate agent should be on the top of the list

The sheriff definitely because she informed them back in June

You’re going to have to file that paperwork yourself

You will have to study a little bit

We can give you guidance